

Speculative Realms

MONDAY, APRIL 26, 2010

Evidentiary Disposition of the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis (ETH)

by Gary Haden

In fairness to skeptics who've read this blog, it seems only fitting to offer a few third-party definitions of the fallacy of appeal to ignorance, or, as commented on below, the argument from ignorance, so that the argument is out there from a relatively objective source:

The fallacy of appeal to ignorance*["The Latin name of this fallacy is *argumentum ad ignorantiam*.] is an argument that uses an opponent's inability to disprove a conclusion as proof of the conclusion's correctness. By shifting the burden of proof outside the argument onto the person hearing the argument, such an argument becomes irrelevant. One's inability to disprove a conclusion cannot by itself be regarded as proof that the conclusion is true. (Emphasis in original.)

S. Morris Engel, *With Good Reason: An Introduction to Informal Fallacies*, 4th Ed. St. Martin's Press, New York: 1990, p. 214.

"The argument ad ignorantiam (from ignorance)," writes Irving M. Copi & Carl Cohen, "is the mistake that is committed when it is argued that a proposition is true simply on the basis that it has not been proved false, or that it is false because it has not been proved true. We realize, on reflection, that many false propositions have not yet been proved false, and many true propositions have not yet been proved true—and thus our ignorance of how to prove or disprove a proposition does not establish either truth or falsehood. This fallacious appeal to ignorance crops up most commonly in the misunderstandings incidental to *developing science*, where propositions whose truth cannot yet be established are mistakenly held to be false for that reason, and also in the world of *pseudoscience*, where propositions about psychic phenomena and the like are fallaciously held to be true because their falsehood has not been conclusively established." (Bold emphasis in original; italics added.)

Irving M. Copi & Carl Cohen. *Introduction to Logic*, 10th Edition. Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: 1998, pp. 162. 163.

Skepticality et al.'s call for evidence, I assume, stems from an admission on their parts they aren't able to disprove the ETH but also, given their charge of the argument from ignorance, it isn't their responsibility, and that the evidence has not been presented. In other words, they're guilty of the fallacy as well since, by claiming lack of evidence, Copi and Cohen would say, Skepticality et al. are contending the ETH was false because it hasn't been proven true. Ergo, their own argument is from ignorance. That's the trick with these fallacies. They're so dialectical. They cut both ways. Charging someone with fallacious violations inevitably involves you in the crime.

I'm assuming hereunder that Skeptics would classify UFOlogical and paranormal research as pseudoscience, even though, where UFOs are concerned, a nuclear physicist has offered hypotheses concerning the possibility of interstellar travel and historical-critical analysis has been conducted on the documentary evidence by that same nuclear physicist and others. There is also trace evidence research on saucer landings and physician-led research on bodily implants. Eye-witness accounts are admissible in court, as are photographs, and surveillance film. I would, therefore, by way of contrast, classify UFOlogical research as developing science.

The evidence presented by UFOlogical scholars has not been proved false by fundamentalist science. By the Skeptics' own admission. To invoke the argument from ignorance in the face of voluminous evidence is to admit the weakness of your own rebuttal—a rebuttal which, in Skepticality's case, consists of complete disrespect of many years hard labor undertaken by the anti-fundamentalist UFOlogical researchers.

Skeptics would probably nominate SETI to be the politically correct choice to investigate the ETH. They are the established Church of Reason in the search for extraterrestrial life. They also seem to be excessively fond of radio telescopes.

RESEARCH RESOURCES

- [Anomalist](#)
- [Crankdotnet](#)
- [Emma Woods's Website](#)
- [Fact Check Dot Org](#)
- [False Memory Syndrome Foundation](#)
- [Federation of American Scientists](#)
- [FindArticles.com](#)
- [Glossarist Dictionaries](#)
- [Independent Investigations Group](#)
- [Joe Nickell](#)
- [Journal of Scientific Exploration](#)
- [JSTOR.ORG](#)
- [Law.com's Law Dictionary](#)
- [Magonia](#)
- [Paranthropology](#)
- [Philosophical Terms and Names](#)
- [Rhine Research Center](#)
- [Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice](#)
- [Skeptic's Dictionary](#)
- [Sleep and Hypnosis: An International Journal](#)
- [Sleep Paralysis Page](#)
- [SUNlite](#)
- [The Trickster Book by George Hansen](#)
- [The Word Nerds](#)
- [Trickster's Way Online Journal](#)
- [When Healers Harm](#)

THE LATEST

[Annie Jacobsen](#) [Kepler Space Telescope](#) [Libya](#) [NATO](#) [Fukushima](#) [Syria](#)

['Area 51' book stretches truth, ex-workers say](#)

Las Vegas Review - Journal

Jake Kelly/Las Vegas Review-Journal » Buy this photo Annie Jacobsen's nonfiction book on Area 51 has sparked criticism from men who worked at the secret installation in the 1960s. Jake Kelly/Las Vegas Review-Journal » Buy this photo By Keith Rogers For ...

[Nazi UFOs, Roswell and Mengele's alien children](#)

Daily Mail (blog)

So it wasn't aliens after all, says American Journalist Annie Jacobsen, who has written an entertaining (and otherwise plausible) history of Area 51, the secret military testing ground in Nevada just up the road from Roswell. ...

[Related Articles »](#)

[Best-sellers](#)

Lexington Herald Leader

"Area 51," by Annie Jacobsen (Little, Brown: \$27.99) A history of the top-secret Nevada base with familiar conspiracy theories and unexpected ties to Stalin. The Herald-Leader allows readers to comment on stories; the views expressed here are not those ...

[Related Articles »](#)

[Area 51 book is thought-provoking despite Roswell](#)

UFODigest

The new book about Area 51 by writer Annie Jacobsen has triggered controversy about what actually crashed — if anything — near Roswell, New Mexico, in the summer of 1947. The explanation for the Roswell incident, reportedly from a retired scientist, ...

powered by [Google™](#)

Despite their favorite-son status, SETI's lack of progress is irritating even their fundamentalist-scientist brethren.

Because I have a duty to believers in the ETH and agnostics, I make a countercharge to Skeptics and accuse them, in their debunking procedurals, of the following fallacy:

[The fallacy of appeal to authority:]"We make an appeal to authority whenever we try to justify an idea by citing some source of expertise as a reason for holding that idea. Appeals to authority are often valid, as when we tell someone to use a certain medicine because the doctor has prescribed it. But appeals to authority can be fallacious, as when we cite those who have no special competence regarding the matter at hand. *The fallacy of appeal to authority*, therefore, is an argument that attempts to overawe an opponent into accepting a conclusion by playing on his or her reluctance to challenge famous people, time-honored customs, or widely held beliefs. The fallacy appeals, at base, to our feelings of modesty,* to our sense that others know better than we do. [*The British Philosopher John Locke gave this fallacy its Latin name, *argumentum ad verecundiam*, literally, "an argument addressed to our sense of modesty." *Verecundiam* carries connotations of shame as well as modesty, emphasizing how we may be browbeaten into accepting an erroneous conclusion because we are ashamed to dispute supposed authority.]" (Emphasis in original.)

Engel p. 208.

And from Copi & Cohen:

"The *fallacy ad verecundiam* arises **when the appeal is made to parties having no legitimate claim to authority in the matter at hand**. Thus, in an argument about morality, an appeal to the opinions of Darwin, a towering figure in biology, would be fallacious, as would be an appeal to the opinions of a great artist such as Picasso to settle an economic dispute." (Emphases in original.)

Copi & Cohen p. 165.

Skeptics would suggest that the authorities in the UFO question are [James Randi](#), [Carl Sagan](#), [Bill Nye](#), and [Phil Klass](#). These are all outstanding gentlemen, especially Randi, who has exposed many a fraud in his day. With the exception of Klass, none of these gentlemen have ever done field work investigating flying saucers. They've never done extensive interviews. They have never analyzed trace evidence or done lab work on bodily implants. I don't think they've ever interviewed the many people who've had their lives threatened because of their involvement in the subject. I'm sure the only photographs they've examined are the obvious fakes by the usual suspects, such as Adamsky and Meier et al.

Now, I am sure that Skeptics would contend Stanton Friedman, a nuclear physicist, is an inappropriate authority. I'm sure they'd contend Ted Phillips, the workaholic trace-evidence investigator, is an inappropriate authority. I'm sure Nick Pope, the former chief UFO debunker of the UK's MoD, is an inappropriate authority. Claiming the insanity defense, a form of the fallacy of personal attack, I'm sure Skeptics would anoint Ed Mitchell, Gordon Cooper, and Buzz Aldrin, Apollo Astronauts inappropriate authorities. I'm sure the ironic of these contentions would be lost on them.

I contend that the usual Skeptics called to debunk UFOs on Larry King, FOX News, or some other fake news source are inappropriate authorities because they've done no work in the field. They're used as experts to shame any dispute of the skeptical reception of the ETH.

Well, they wouldn't be caught dead doing such a thing as investigating a UFO. How preposterous!

One, it would kill their academic careers. Two, there is no money in it.

If there's no money in it, there's one thing a skeptical science-fundamentalist is going to say. To quote people from my neighborhood, "AWH, Hell no!"

All Skeptics have to do is recite the usual zingers, take the check from Larry King, and go back to their Saturday Morning Kiddies Shows.

There isn't any conclusion a fundamentalist scientist can't reach if she is paid enough money. No field work involved. No interviews with the witnesses. Just cold hard adherence to the script, baby. Just like Karl Rove taught ya. And the lucrative check is in the mail.

The evidence in support of the ETH is not lacking. Skeptics simply haven't reviewed it. And by reviewing it, I mean stitch by stitch, yard by yard. Every photograph. Every eye-witness report. Every piece of footage. Every pilot report. Every soil sample. Every gynecological exam. Every report by a law enforcement officer, fire chief, medical examiner, doctor, nurse, and talking horse. The Cometa Report. The authenticated memos in addition to the discredited memos. I'll accept the judgment that the evidence is not proof if I have evidence the evidence was gone over rigorously in the first place.

THE SCIENCE NETWORK PODCASTS

- [Barbara Bigby](#)
- [Selectivity and Symmetry in the Function of the Cerebral Cortex](#)
- [From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather](#)
- [From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather](#)
- [Aviv Bergman](#)

THE SCOOP SHEET

- [Today's News With Alternative Feeds and Think Tanks](#)
- [Terror Economies, Perverting Language, and Msogyny](#)

NEWSJACK – TOPICAL SKETCH SHOW

DAKTARI IMPOSSIBLE

- [UFOlogical Suspension of the Ethical](#)
- [Gawd Awful Time for Paranormal Cognoscenti](#)
- [Mindwebs](#)
- [The Uncomfortable Juxtaposition: Lennox versus Hawking](#)
- [The Interesting Juxtaposition: Is Mothman the Answer to Our Economic Woes?](#)

KURZWELAI.NET ACCELERATING INTELLIGENCE NEWS

- [Scientists build battery in a nanowire](#)
- [Solar cells get a boost from bouncing light](#)
- [Graphene used to increase storage density and speed of electronic memory devices](#)
- [Exploring space with chip-sized satellites](#)
- [Sun-free photovoltaics powered by heat](#)

SCIENCE: SCIENCE WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- [Science Weekly podcast: Hearing is believing](#)
- [Science Weekly Podcast: The science of sleep | Alok Jha talks to Prof Russell Foster](#)

THE PROCESS IS...

- [Lies, Levitation, and Defamations Most Foul](#)
- [Remembering Lies: Interview with Psychiatric Abuse Victim Jeanette Bartha](#)
- [Electric](#)
- [Last Train to Transcendental](#)
- [Imagining the World without You](#)

JULIAN BAGGIN

- [The Shrink & The Sage: Independence](#)
- [Today - BBC Radio Four](#)
- [We Will Get Better](#)

FORUM NETWORK | PUBLIC LECTURES PODCAST

- [Net Delusion: Dark Side of Internet Freedom](#)
- [Fab Lab: How to Make \(Almost\) Anything](#)
- [Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar](#)
- [Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar](#)
- [Understanding Porpoises Using Technology](#)

BRITANNICA BLOG » THE FUTURIST

- [Surviving the Great Recession's Aftershocks](#)
- [Kyoto: City of a Thousand Futures](#)

The statement that there is no evidence is false. There is evidence. The quality of that evidence is tested by its thorough review, not by its summary dismissal. You can't dismiss evidence out of hand and then claim to be an authority on its validity. Your case would be thrown out by a higher court.

In other words, don't review the book if you haven't read it. I know that is a common response to skeptics. But don't say there isn't any evidence. If you've reviewed it, thoroughly, and found it bad or insufficient—say so. The proper phrase to use is "the evidence is insufficient"—not the evidence does not exist.

Having said that, it would be **AWFULLY NICE** if we had **HARD EVIDENCE** in the form of a walking, talking extraterrestrial on the White House Lawn, the Rose Garden, or on Larry King.

Follow Ups

Stanton T. Friedman. [Flying Saucers and Science](#). New Page Books: Franklin Lakes, NJ, 2008.

Richard M. Dolan. [UFOs and the National Security State: Unclassified History Volume I: 1941-1973](#). Keyhole Publishing, 2000.

James Randi. [Flim Flam! The truth about unicorns, parapsychology, and other delusions](#). Lippincott & Crowell: New York, 1980.

Theodore Schick, Lewis Vaughn. [How to Think About Weird Things: Critical Thinking for a New Age](#). McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Languages; 6 edition (February 3, 2010)

Terence Hines. [Pseudoscience and the Paranormal](#). Prometheus Books: New York, 2003.

Richard Dolan. [Twelve Government Documents that Take UFOs Seriously](#).

[Center for Physical Trace Research](#)

[Bill Nye Debating Roswell on Larry King](#)

Shameless Plugs

I don't know if there are any DOCTOR WHO fans out there, but David Tennant, who recently retired from the role of the Doctor, took on the role of [Hamlet](#) for the Royal Shakespeare Company. Great Performances on PBS is going to broadcast it on Wednesday here in the east coast. The buzz is that the performance is something else. Patrick Stewart plays Claudius so this Hamlet has true Sci-Fi roots. I was shocked to the bone when Tennant assumed the role of the Doctor. I was a complete Christopher Eccleston fan. Tennant OWNED the Doctor so completely I am still recovering. I expect the same mastercraft in Hamlet. Look out for it.

[Skepticality](#) is an excellent podcast. I encourage believers, agnostics, atheists, priests, nuns, novitiates, and alien beings to check them out. I especially urge the paranormal community to listen to what they have to say. Their feed is on the sidebar.

If anyone is interested in alternative news, check out [Daktari Impossible](#). The feed is on the sidebar.

Apparently, [Paratopia](#) is winding down. Jeremy Vaeni and Jeff Ritzman did awesome work with that show. Seventy shows is a lot. Maybe they'd like to create something new. I hope they do. They are very talented and bright guys. Hopefully, they will be producing something from their mad scientist laboratories very soon.

Posted by Gary Haden at 6:08 AM 

Labels: [argument from authority](#), [ETH](#), [scientific egomania](#), [skeptics](#)

1 comments:

Wil Kein said...

To begin I'd like to point out one small error. When you refer to skeptics, I assume you mean "pseudoskeptics" due to the fact that the examples given (Klass, Randi) are only skeptical of things they don't particularly care for. Actual skeptics are those who entertain all possibilities, and would probably never engage in the sort of childish antics and "flim-flam" for which Randi is notorious.

That being said, even if it were proven that some UFOs were definitely "real",

- [Nurturing the Spirit in the Age of the Web](#)
- [Reviving the Aral Sea](#)
- ["Waste Heat" a Potential Threat to the Climate](#)

UFO RELIGIONS

- [Probability of ET Life Arbitrarily Small](#)
- [Junk DNAs Evidence of ET Life in our Genes? Try Again.](#)
- [Could We Tell An Advanced ET from God?](#)
- [Geraldo Rivera Predicts Alien Contact in 25 Years](#)
- [Intelligent Panspermia News](#)

DR. DAVID CLARKE

- [Hilary Evans 1929-2011](#)
- [Aliens in the imagination](#)
- [UFOs and the Vietnam War](#)
- [Only joking folks!](#)
- [Lost in the land of Oz](#)

POINT OF INQUIRY

- [Jaco Gericke - Confessions of a Died-Again Christian](#)
- [Rebecca Watson - Skepticism and Feminism](#)
- [Richard Wiseman - Paranormality](#)
- [Matthew Chapman - The Ledge](#)

PHILOSOPHY BITES

- [Luc Bovens on Catholicism and HIV](#)
- [Peter Singer on Henry Sidgwick's Ethics](#)
- [National Portrait Gallery 'Picturing Philosophers' a free tour](#)
- [Victor Tadros on Punishment](#)
- [Alison Gopnik on the Imagination](#)

21ST CENTURY RADIO WITH DR. BOB HIERONIMUS, PH.D.

- [Hour One with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Hour two with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Dr. Zohara Hieronimus, Guest Host: Paul Rademacher author of A Spiritual Hitchhiker's Guide to the Universe](#)

BAD UFOS: SKEPTICISM, UFOS, AND THE UNIVERSE

- ["Classic" UFO Photo from Belgian Wave - the Hoaxer Confesses](#)
- [Happy World UFO Disclosure Day!](#)
- ["UFO Mothership & Fleet over London"](#)
- [That "Ghostly Mirage City" in China](#)
- [More "Night Vision" UFOs : A Squadron of UFOs flying over Oakland?](#)

SKEPTICALITY: THE OFFICIAL PODCAST OF SKEPTIC MAGAZINE

- [Skepticality #161 - TAM9, Tyson From Outer Space - Interview: Neil deGrasse Tyson](#)
- [Skepticality #160 - Paranormality - Interview: Professor Richard Wiseman](#)
- [Skepticality #159 - From Darwin to The Ledge - Interview: Matthew Chapman](#)
- [Skepticality #158 - Return to Lake Skepticamp - Featured Talk: Tim Farley](#)

THE SKEPTIC ZONE

- [The Skeptic Zone #145 - 29 July 2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #144 - 23 July 2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #143 - 15 July 2011](#)

there is no solid evidence that they are ET in nature. And much (if not all) of the "evidence" that people reference is not evidence of the ETH, but merely evidence that something strange is afoot. In fact, there is much evidence that undermines the ETH, but it is summarily ignored by "serious researchers" (who seem to only be serious about their personal pet beliefs).

I would encourage everyone interested in UFOs and other strange phenomena to read a bit on non-Aristotelian logic and general semantics, otherwise they might ignore the excluded middle.

After all, UFOs, the ETH... these are ideas which were originally invented by SciFi authors and the USAir Force.

Considering that a close eye is kept on things entering the Earth's Atmosphere (weird goings-on might get noticed), I'm a big fan of both the Interdimensional Hypothesis and the Time Traveler Hypothesis - but I reserve the right to change my mind tomorrow (or yesterday for the time travelers). John Keel's "Ultraterrestrials" and even the various people throughout history who have theorized a superior civilization living right here on Earth (Cryptoterrestrials, perhaps?) have also crossed my mind. Might explain those Ancient "Astronauts" (perhaps those "space" helmets sometimes depicted could be analogous to scuba-type helmets).

Anyway, something to think about.

And yes, David Tennant was an awesome Doctor - my girlfriend's favorite, I hate to admit.

June 23, 2010 12:42 AM

Post a Comment

Note: Only a member of this blog may post a comment.

Comment as: Google Account



[Newer Post](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

- [The Skeptic Zone #143 - 13 July 2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #142 - 9 July 2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #141 - 1 July 2011](#)

WHO SPILLED MORE BLOOD IN THE 20TH CENTURY?

Science
Religion
It is a tie
Not a fair question

Votes so far: 45

Poll closed

SEARCH THIS BLOG

powered by ™

DISCLAIMER

The Author of this blog is not responsible for comments made in the comments section. Opinions expressed there, except those of the blogger, are solely owned by the commenters.

FRIDAY NIGHT COMEDY FROM BBC RADIO 4

- [Fri Comedy: Chain Reaction Rhys Thomas Simon Day](#)

UNBELIEVABLE?

- [Unbelievable? 30 Jul 2011 - Does Religion make people kill? - 30 July 2011](#)
- [Unbelievable? 23 Jul 2011 - Is there justification for abortion? - 23 July 2011](#)

DHARMA PODCAST

- [Joanna Harcourt-Smith & Joan Halifax 7-29-11: Do not squander your life](#)
- [Beate Stolte: 7-27-11: The Necessity of Finding One's Own Place and Path — Reflections on the Genjo Koan](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mnd And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 8 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mnd And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 7 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-23-11: Mnd And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 6 of 8\)](#)

RADIO NATIONAL - THE SPIRIT OF THINGS

- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Una and Denis Glennon](#)
- [Play It Again God](#)
- [Global Village, or Techno-Tribalism?](#)
- [A Tale of Two Women in Jerusalem](#)
- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Dave and Bess Nungarrayi Price](#)

CONTEMPORARY PSYCHOANALYTIC MUSINGS

- [Safety and a Flexible Frame](#)
- [Richard Geist delineates Self from Ego Psychology](#)
- [Review of "Gaslighting, the Double Whammy, Interrogation, and other Methods of Covert Control in Psychotherapy and Analysis" by Theo Dorpat \(1996\).](#)
- ["Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?"](#)
- [An Introduction to "Prisoners of Childhood" by Alice Miller \(1981\)](#)

MONSTERTALK

- [Ancient Alien Astronauts: Interview with Ken Feder](#)

- Hayley Stevens' Lake Monster Mysteries
- Searching For Sasquatch
- The Zombie Autopsies
- Tracking the Manbeasts

COUNT GORE DEVOL'S DEVILISH PODCASTS

- The Bloody Ape & Jebediah Buzzard!
- Last man on Earth - Part 1
- Last Man on Earth - Part 2
- The Last Man on Earth - Part 3

FILM: FILM WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- Film Weekly podcast: Alternative content in our cinemas - audio
- Film Weekly podcast: Jamie Thraves on Treadle Jr and Bobby Fischer revisited – audio
- Film Weekly podcast: Jessica Chastain on Terrence Malick's Tree of Life - audio
- Film Weekly goes Huge with Johnny Harris
- Film Weekly podcast: Bridesmaids, and Putty Hill director Matt Porterfield

DOCTOR MADBLOOD

- Madblood Manor Tour
- Doctor Madblood's Podcast: "30 Years of Madness: The Podcast"
- Doctor Madblood's Podcast Episode #22: "Dimitri Slept Here"

SUBSCRIBE TO

 Posts 

 Comments 

BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▶ 2011 (34)
- ▼ 2010 (60)
 - ▶ December (5)
 - ▶ November (3)
 - ▶ October (1)
 - ▶ September (6)
 - ▶ August (2)
 - ▶ July (6)
 - ▶ June (11)
 - ▶ May (14)
 - ▼ April (12)
 - We Don't Know How Damning a Diagnosis of Multiple ...
 - Inherit the Saucer: David Jacobs-- The New William ...
 - Kurzweil Abominations and the Grays: Eternal Recur...
 - Evidentiary Disposition of the Extraterrestrial Hy..
 - The Zoo-Keeper Hypothesis
 - Misanthropic Disbelief and Seven-Foot Reptilians
 - ASpecial Compartment in

LABELS

- Acting (2)
- alien abduction church (6)
- American Bullyism (1)
- anthropocentrism (1)
- anthropomorphism (1)
- argument from authority (1)
- Argument from Bloodbath (1)
- argument from ignorance (2)
- argument from omniscience (1)
- Attack on Iran (1)
- Banning (2)
- Blood Feud (1)
- Boztopia (5)
- Centre for Global Research (1)
- Chronicles of the Dark Side (1)
- Couter-transference (1)
- Cyberbullying (1)
- Dan Burisch (1)
- David Icke (1)
- David Jacobs (21)
- Doctor Madblood (1)
- Doctor Who (1)
- Emma Woods (31)
- ETH (3)
- Eugenics (2)
- Extradition Act of 2003

Hell

The Argument from Ignorance and Skeptical Omnicie...

How to Abduct Your More-Real-Than-Real Night Terro...

The Self-Hypnosis of David Jacobs

Interesting Short Net Bibliography in Relation to...

Rasputin Returns: David Jacobs versus Emma Woods

(2)

- Faith-based communities (2)
- False Memory (3)
- falsifiability (1)
- fermi paradox (1)
- Fluffcasts (1)
- Flying+Saucer+Nukes Psyops (1)
- Friday Fakes (4)
- Gameplaying (1)
- Gary McKinnon (2)
- Gender Issues (1)
- Girl Who Saved Her Own Life (2)
- Grays (2)
- Ham and Egger Review (6)
- Human Subjects Research (2)
- Humanity Plus (1)
- Hybridization-Dehumanization (1)
- Hyperbolic Chamber (2)
- Hypnosis (7)
- hypnotic regression fraud (4)
- Immigration Laws (1)
- Jeff Ritzman (1)
- Jeremy Vaeni (1)
- Jungian Compensation Theory (2)
- Karl Marx (1)
- Madonna Complex (1)
- Mary Magdalene (1)
- mass hypnosis (1)
- Matt Graeber (10)
- MLABs (1)
- Miracle Man (2)
- MMORPG (1)
- Moderation policy (1)
- monkey trial (1)
- moon anomalies (1)
- MPD (3)
- Multiple Personality Disorder (4)
- Munchausen Syndrome By Proxy (1)
- Music (1)
- Nazi Super Race Fantasy (1)
- New Normal (1)
- Night Terror (2)
- Nora D'Amico (1)
- Paracast (3)
- Paranoid Porno (1)
- Paratopia (2)
- Perspectivalism (1)
- Psychosocial Hypothesis (1)
- Ray Kurzweil (1)
- Regan Lee (1)
- Reptilians (1)
- Repto-Religionists (1)
- Satan Shrinks of Texas (2)
- Satanic Ritual Abuse (2)
- Saucers of Mass Destruction (1)
- Science Fiction (1)

- [scientific egomania](#) (2)
- [Scopes Trial](#) (1)
- [Second Skin](#) (1)
- [Self-Injury](#) (1)
- [Sideways Worlds](#) (2)
- [Singularity](#) (1)
- [skepticism](#) (1)
- [skeptics](#) (3)
- [Sleep Disorders](#) (1)
- [Source A](#) (1)
- [Special Compartment in Hell](#) (4)
- [Spooky Chronister](#) (1)
- [Spring Shadows Glen](#) (1)
- [SRA](#) (1)
- [Starlost](#) (1)
- [Super Bowl Predictions](#) (1)
- [Survival of the Fittest](#) (1)
- [T-Rex Reptilians](#) (1)
- [The Final Solution](#) (1)
- [The Pickerings](#) (1)
- [Thugs of the Universe](#) (1)
- [Toxic Slop](#) (1)
- [Transhumans](#) (2)
- [TV Horror Hosts](#) (1)
- [UFO Papacy](#) (1)
- [UFO Religion](#) (1)
- [Ufology](#) (1)
- [UFOLOGY](#) (2)
- [unbelievable](#) (1)
- [Virginia Creepers](#) (1)
- [World War III](#) (1)

Simple template. Powered by [Blogger](#).