

Speculative Realms

FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2011

The Girl Who Saved Her Own Life

Hypnosis Session 29 and the Emma Woods Case

To Alfred Lehmborg

by Gary Haden

In the Emma Woods Case, ground zero for controversy has been David Jacobs's implantation of a post-hypnotic suggestion that Miss Woods has Multiple Personality Disorder, ostensibly for the purpose of Jacobs's evasion of mind-reading aliens.

I've had the opportunity to listen to the entire four-hour recording David Jacobs sent to Emma Woods. The recording includes discussion regarding what I call the *MPD Game*, as pertains to the Elizabeth Smith hypnosis and Jacobs's decision to deploy the tactic with Emma Woods, threats, injuries to abductees, and concludes with the controversial MPD posthypnotic suggestion. Jacobs contends Miss Woods agreed to the tactic.

At no point in the four-hour tract I listened to did David Jacobs ask Emma Woods's permission to administer to her a posthypnotic suggestion she had multiple personality disorder. The only possible stance Jacobs could take with that approach would be to say he had implied consent.

The consent to have a posthypnotic suggestion administered that one suffers from Multiple Personality Disorder is *prima facie* evidence of impairment. In essence, the act for which consent is purportedly sought, or has supposedly impliedly been given, is so heinous as to call the competence of the grantor into question. No one in their right mind would consent to the act. I'll remind the reader that these discussions were occurring within the context of a hypnosis session and that Emma Woods, because of that situational fact, could not have been in her right mind.

That destroys the presumption of competence in any issue of consent.

However, consent in this case was never sought. David Jacobs in fact *decided for* Emma Woods that the MPD suggestion would be administered, as will be covered in a future post. This behavior is in keeping with his decisions for her concerning the narrative. Most of the talking done in the Emma Woods hypnosis is effected by David Jacobs. In most of the hypnosis, *HE tells her about alien behavior, not vice versa.*

This blog has previously assessed motive in the [MPD of David Jacobs](#). What follows is another hypothesis as to motive.

As to motive, why would anyone plant a hypnotic suggestion in someone's mind that potentially could damage them for life?

Suppose that David Jacobs is using the MPD diagnosis, or the *MPD Game*, as an alibi to cover up something incriminating in his hypnoses with his subjects.

We can prove that the aliens he contends he's running from do not exist, can we not? It is a simple process. He's not running. He's not running because they aren't there. And he knows it. And despite all the protestation to the contrary, *his supporters* know it.

Well, if the MPD diagnosis is *NOT* a ruse of war for the benefit of aliens what is it? The aliens aren't the ones who can damage David Jacobs. Who can damage him? His critics? If David Jacobs isn't running from aliens, what *IS* David Jacobs running from?

Liability?

RESEARCH RESOURCES

- [Anomalist](#)
- [Crankdotnet](#)
- [Emma Woods's Website](#)
- [Fact Check Dot Org](#)
- [False Memory Syndrome Foundation](#)
- [Federation of American Scientists](#)
- [FindArticles.com](#)
- [Glossarist Dictionaries](#)
- [Independent Investigations Group](#)
- [Joe Nickell](#)
- [Journal of Scientific Exploration](#)
- [JSTOR.ORG](#)
- [Law.com's Law Dictionary](#)
- [Magonia](#)
- [Paranthropology](#)
- [Philosophical Terms and Names](#)
- [Rhine Research Center](#)
- [Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice](#)
- [Skeptic's Dictionary](#)
- [Sleep and Hypnosis: An International Journal](#)
- [Sleep Paralysis Page](#)
- [SUNlite](#)
- [The Trickster Book by George Hansen](#)
- [The Word Nerds](#)
- [Trickster's Way Online Journal](#)
- [When Healers Harm](#)

THE LATEST

[Annie Jacobsen](#) [Kepler Space Telescope](#) [Libya](#)
[NATO](#) [Fukushima](#) [Syria](#)

['Area 51' expose by investigative reporter Annie](#)
Plain Dealer

The real Area 51, as depicted in investigative journalist Annie Jacobsen's often fascinating, ultimately exasperating expose, is, on the whole, less spooky than its Hollywood persona. Ultra-secret, yes, but more "Dr. Strangelove" than "The X-Files. ...

[Nazi UFOs, Roswell and Mengele's alien children](#)
Daily Mail (blog)

So it wasn't aliens after all, says American Journalist Annie Jacobsen, who has written an entertaining (and otherwise plausible) history of Area 51, the secret military testing ground in Nevada just up the road from Roswell. ...

[Related Articles »](#)

[IndieBound: Bestselling books of the week of August 4](#)
Alaska Dispatch
Area 51, Annie Jacobsen, Little Brown 1. The Help, Kathryn Stockett, Berkley 2. Room, Emma Donoghue, Back Bay 3. A Visit From the Goon Squad, Jennifer Egan, Anchor 4. Cutting for Stone, Abraham Verghese, Vintage 5. Sarah's Key, Tatiana De Rosnay, ...

[Area 51 book is thought-provoking despite Roswell](#)
UFODigest

The new book about Area 51 by writer Annie Jacobsen has triggered controversy about what actually crashed – if anything – near Roswell, New Mexico, in the summer of 1947. The explanation for the Roswell incident, reportedly from a retired scientist, ...

powered by [Google™](#)

Now, factor in something else. Suppose David Jacobs discovered, say in the 1980s, when, according to his son, the “lunatics” and “crazies” first set upon him, that his clients were acting out his leading questions as though they were hypnotic suggestions. What if David Jacobs discovered that his clients were injuring themselves in the process of acting out the behavior of alien-human hybrids and in the process manufacturing evidence of physical and sexual abuse?

What if Jacobs became alarmed at the lengths to which his clients would go to comply with his scenarios? Couldn't one of his clients one day act out a hybrid threat to kill them through an act of suicide and couldn't an investigation reveal textual similarities between his hypnosis sessions and the client's behavior, as it has in the Emma Woods Case?

Who exactly would be liable for the self-injury of a hypnotic subject acting in compliance with a posthypnotic suggestion or leading questioning administered under hypnosis?

The MPD Theatre Presents

David Jacobs is careful in the Emma Woods hypnosis to point out to Woods that there are certain limits to what the aliens will do. The aliens, for instance, never kill anyone. There has never been an instance where an abductee was killed. Jacobs tells Woods in his 29th hypnosis session, which the author has listened to in its entirety and which is the ringing of the death knell for alien-abduction hypnosis, they never dismember people or gouge out their eyes.

“Well, of course,” Jacobs lectures Emma Woods in his 29th Hypnosis Session with her, “you have no way to defend yourself. You're just completely at their mercy. But because you're at their mercy you have to understand that there is an awful lot of things that they could do to you that they don't do. They'll do sexual assault, they'll do humiliation, they'll press at your face, they'll press on your chest—that sort of stuff—but, they don't cut your little finger off, for example.”

“In other words,” Jacobs continues, “they could pretty much do whatever they wanted to do and you think gee, I must have had an accident when I was opening up a can this morning. Or whatever, you know what I mean. So there—so in spite of how horrible it is—and when it comes to this kind of assault it's pretty horrible, yet at the same time it's still within these narrowly defined limits that they obviously have. In other words, they don't say okay well, were just gonna poke out one eye, you got another one, don't ya? And then you wake up and you're blind in one eye. You see, they're not gonna do that. They only go so far. In all these years, they still only go so far. Now, they've threatened and they've dunked your head under water and you think you're gonna drown and all the rest of this stuff.”

“But they—they still only go so far and its all within this realm of fear and intimidation,” Jacobs instructs her, “Other than the standard stuff of pushing you to—the floor and picking you up with your hair again—which was what they do with [name] virtually constantly. And um uh I told you, you know, they junk her in a shower and force her to have to look up towards the water so that it runs all into her face. ... But it's not anymore horrible than pushing your face into the swimming pool or the water or something—its all the same thing. But it's still narrowly defined limits so that they're not really inflicting any sort of permanent damage—and even these cuts...these strange cuts, they heal up very, very quickly. And they're not leaving scars, or are they?”

He tells her this despite the fact that the hybrids in her hypnosis had threatened to kill him and her family. Woods reiterates at the close of the third hour of the 29th hypnosis session that the Aliens intend to kill Jacobs and blame Woods for it. The next hour, the fourth and final, Jacobs deploys the MPD “tactic.”

And importantly, that well-worn tract, in its unedited version, contains no explicit reference to aliens. It's one trigger phrase features the word “people”. Let's remember that Jacobs has just concluded a session wherein lives were threatened, including his own, and where he had detailed “cuts” to another client's genitalia to Emma Woods.

Here's the unedited version of the tract with Emma Woods's edits for time omitted:

“I'm going to count from five to one, and just remember now, my diagnosis is that this is Multiple Personality Disorder and you should take medication for it. (nervous chuckle from Emma Woods) I have seen lots of cases of MPD, and this absolutely fits the MPD profile. And, my professional diagnosis therefore is Multiple Personality Disorder.”

“I am studying it. I am writing a book about it,” Jacobs continues. “That is my next book. I feel that the whole sort of alien business is all a matter of multiple personality disorder. It's a much more widespread phenomenon than people think. Lots of people

THE SCIENCE NETWORK PODCASTS

- [Barbara Bigby](#)
- [Selectivity and Symmetry in the Function of the Cerebral Cortex](#)
- [From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather](#)
- [From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather](#)
- [Aviv Bergman](#)

THE SCOOP SHEET

- [Today's News With Alternative Feeds and Think Tanks](#)
- [Terror Economies, Perverting Language, and Misogyny](#)

NEWSJACK – TOPICAL SKETCH SHOW

DAKTARI IMPOSSIBLE

- [UFOlogical Suspension of the Ethical](#)
- [Gawd Awful Time for Paranormal Cognoscenti](#)
- [Mindwebs](#)
- [The Uncomfortable Juxtaposition: Lennox versus Hawking](#)
- [The Interesting Juxtaposition: Is Mothman the Answer to Our Economic Woes?](#)

KURZWEILAI.NET ACCELERATING INTELLIGENCE NEWS

- [Delivery of biologically active compounds through the skin](#)
- [Human skin cells converted directly into functional neurons](#)
- [Adashboard for your body](#)
- [FAA looks into News Corp's Daily drone](#)
- [Susan Greenfield: living online is changing our brains](#)

SCIENCE: SCIENCE WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- [Science Weekly podcast: Hearing is believing](#)
- [Science Weekly Podcast: The science of sleep | Alok Jha talks to Prof Russell Foster](#)

THE PROCESS IS...

- [Lies, Levitation, and Defamations Most Foul](#)
- [Remembering Lies: Interview with Psychiatric Abuse Victim Jeanette Bartha](#)
- [Electric](#)
- [Last Train to Transcendental](#)
- [Imagining the World without You](#)

JULIAN BAGGIN

- [Clemency still has its place](#)
- [The Shrink & The Sage: Independence](#)
- [Today - BBC Radio Four](#)

FORUM NETWORK | PUBLIC LECTURES PODCAST

- [Net Delusion: Dark Side of Internet Freedom](#)
- [Fab Lab: How to Make \(Almost\) Anything](#)
- [Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar](#)
- [Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar](#)
- [Understanding Porpoises Using Technology](#)

BRITANNICA BLOG » THE FUTURIST

- [Surviving the Great Recession's Aftershocks](#)

are walking around with it. It's a public health problem. And that, you are unfortunately suffering from it. And, my opinion is that yours is a classic case, and that – that the only thing that will help you will be medication. And um, I am not interested in–in–your stories, I am only interested in the fact that you tell those stories, because multiple personalities are all different.”

“As you know, that each – each individual within Multiple Personality Disorder can be different—some can be male, some can be female,” Jacobs lectures. “They all have different sort of experiences. Some imagine that they are lying on tables. Some imagine that they are talking with other people. It's just—it's all over the place. Depending on the personality that comes out. And, I think that we've been dealing with three or four personalities here (name)... And so yours is, in fact, Multiple Personality Disorder.”

“And, when people want to talk to you about the - about your contact with me, that is the first thing you tell them,” Jacobs continues. “I have decided it is all Multiple Personality Disorder, and that's what I'm going to be talking about. A psychiatric condition. Multiple Personality – Personality Disorder, well-known in the world. And, you think I may be wrong, but I think that I'm right. And, that's what it is. And, this is what it is. And this is where my studies are leading. My studies are going directly to multiple personality disorder. And that's all there is to it. So, now I'll count from five to one and bring you out of this. And, we'll talk about MPD a little bit more. Five, kind of coming out of this, feeling good, feeling alert, ready to have a semblance of an afternoon left, four, coming out even more, and feeling good, three, no depression, no fear, *just threats*, that's all, you've had these for years now, you can deal with it. Two, all the way out of it. And one, completely out of it.” (Emphases supplied.)

Let's pull out the trigger phrase. It states: *And, when people want to talk to you about the—about your contact with me, that is the first thing you tell them. I have decided it is all Multiple Personality Disorder, and that's what I'm going to be talking about.*

It says *WHEN PEOPLE* want to talk to you about your contact with me. It does not say *WHEN ALIENS* want to talk to you about your contact with me. It does not say *WHEN HYBRIDS* want to talk to you about your contact with me. It does not say *WHEN INSECTOIDS, GRAYS, TALL WHITES* or *JUNIOR MINTS* want to talk to you about your contact with me.

It says when *PEOPLE* want to talk to you about your contact with me.

What kinds of people? People, for instance, investigating his client's mysterious wounds and REM Sleep accidents?

Is it because if his client injures herself acting out his hypnotic scenarios he can claim one of her alters did it, exempting him from liability?

He tells her there are narrowly defined limits to hybrid behavior despite the fact that he has advertised their sociopathy to her. They think they can do anything they want. They're sociopaths. They have no sense of morality.

Why would sociopaths conveniently develop moral restraint at precisely the points where David Jacobs could be held liable for injury to his clients or to others?

If his clients were acting out hybrid behavior, as might be the case with the Woods and the Elizabeth Smith hypnoses, mightn't it be useful to have a debunking mechanism at the ready to use to malign his clients and ascribe responsibility for their injuries to them alone?

In the 1980s, that mechanism was the defamatory declaration that one of his clients was an “undiagnosed paranoid schizophrenic.” The “diagnosis” fits the behavior and maligns the client as a “crazy.” Note the serial recourse to the craziness of the Jacobs clients as a defense for his conduct.

That client qualifies for redress.

The MPD diagnosis describes the behavior—the assumption of an alternate identity by the client—to a tee. It is deployed to “deflect” responsibility for any injury the client inflicts upon herself or others, up to and including mortal ones. It is just that the client does not have the disorder. It is NOT an alternate personality surfacing in their behavior. It IS a character assigned to them to play-act in a theatrical performance.

The trouble is, David Jacobs's characters are physically and sexually violent. And his clients are creatively gifted people who take his work very seriously.

The Client is acting out the behavior as instructed by the hypnotist. They play the role of the hybrid as well as of the abductee. When clients act out as a hybrid or gray or any other character appearing in Jacobs's hypnotic fiction, David Jacobs is

- [Kyoto: City of a Thousand Futures](#)
- [Nurturing the Spirit in the Age of the Web](#)
- [Reviving the Aral Sea](#)
- [“Waste Heat” a Potential Threat to the Climate](#)

UFO RELIGIONS

- [Probability of ET Life Arbitrarily Small](#)
- [Junk DNA as Evidence of ET Life in our Genes? Try Again.](#)
- [Could We Tell An Advanced ET from God?](#)
- [Geraldo Rivera Predicts Alien Contact in 25 Years](#)
- [Intelligent Panspermia News](#)

DR. DAVID CLARKE

- [Hilary Evans 1929-2011](#)
- [Aliens in the imagination](#)
- [UFOs and the Vietnam War](#)
- [Only joking folks!](#)
- [Lost in the land of Oz](#)

POINT OF INQUIRY

- [David Frum and Kenneth Silber - Conservatives and Science](#)
- [Jaco Gericke - Confessions of a Died-Again Christian](#)
- [Rebecca Watson - Skepticism and Feminism](#)
- [Richard Wiseman - Paranormality](#)

PHILOSOPHY BITES

- [Luc Bovens on Catholicism and HIV](#)
- [Peter Singer on Henry Sidgwick's Ethics](#)
- [National Portrait Gallery 'Picturing Philosophers' a free tour](#)
- [Victor Tadros on Punishment](#)
- [Alison Gopnik on the Imagination](#)

21ST CENTURY RADIO WITH DR. BOB HIERONIMUS, PH.D.

- [Hour One with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Hour two with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Dr. Zohara Hieronimus, Guest Host: Paul Rademacher author of A Spiritual Hitchhiker's Guide to the Universe](#)

BAD UFOS: SKEPTICISM, UFOS, AND THE UNIVERSE

- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 3](#)
- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 2](#)
- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 1](#)
- ["Classic" UFO Photo from Belgian Wave - the Hoaxer Confesses](#)
- [Happy World UFO Disclosure Day!](#)

SKEPTICALITY: THE OFFICIAL PODCAST OF SKEPTIC MAGAZINE

- [Skepticality #162 - Bill Nye "the Science Guy" - Guest: William Sanford Nye](#)
- [Skepticality #161 - TAM9, Tyson From Outer Space - Interview: Neil deGrasse Tyson](#)
- [Skepticality #160 - Paranormality - Interview: Professor Richard Wiseman](#)
- [Skepticality #159 - From Darwin to The Ledge - Interview: Matthew Chapman](#)

THE SKEPTIC ZONE

- [The Skeptic Zone #145 - 29 July 2011](#)

responsible for any injury which occurs.

And *HE KNOWS THIS*.

He has to provide an escape route for himself in the event his clients injure themselves or worse.

Like any good magician, Jacobs allows you to see only what he wants you to see. In a sleight of hand maneuver, he says to George Knapp, *MAYBE SHE DOES HAVE MULTIPLE PERSONALITY DISORDER*. He tells Woods in a robotic hypnotic voice, in a dialogue meant to reinforce his MPD diagnosis suggestion, that she should think "*HE THINKS I'M SICK, HE THINKS I'M SICK, HE THINKS I'M SICK.*" And in the suggestion itself he says "[y]ou think I'm wrong, but *I KNOW I'M RIGHT.*"

Essentially, he tells George Knapp on the Coast to Coast Program he thinks she's sick. It is a performance as much for Woods's benefit as ours. It is a reinforcing shot designed to reignite his suggestion, to get her to *PROTEST TOO MUCH*, to *PROVE HOW OBSESSED SHE IS*, and *HOW SICK SHE IS*.

I'll remind the reader that Emma Woods, like her "twin" Elizabeth Smith, is a good hypnotic subject. She is an exceptionally bright and creative woman with a powerful and dynamic brain.

Couldn't we say of her that she is compliantly noncompliant?

Certainly, the retort will be, from Jacobsonian Hypnofans, in lockstep with their Master's instructions, that posthypnotic suggestions don't work in alien abduction. This is essentially a statement that people don't injure themselves in dissociative states.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

Psychotizing the Witnesses

What does hypnosis, sleep-wake confusion, and, for example, cutter behavior have in common?

Cutters are people, usually young and usually female, who cut themselves or burn themselves. They're called in the professional literature "self-injury" victims.

According to some scholars, these are all dissociative states. Multiple Personality Disorder is a dissociative disorder. That's why it's now known as dissociative identity disorder. These behaviors are phenomena of dissociation and as such they're related. Their resemblance is fine-tuned enough as to require any investigator to distinguish identical twins from one another.

That's how really very brilliant this move by Jacobs was.

Cutters, or self-injury patients, are described by some scholars as being in a dissociative state when they cut. They are outside their bodies. It is as though *someone else* was doing the cutting.

The point is, that dissociative cutter behavior proves that people can and do injure themselves in dissociative states. There are other examples, especially with soldiers suffering from PTSD, of dissociative fugues where dangerous behaviors are indulged. But the idea that people don't harm themselves in dissociative states is untrue.

In the following exchange, Jacobs discusses "cuts" to the genital regions of other clients. [In an e-mail, Emma Woods stated that the cuts they were discussing were *HER OWN*, NOT those of other clients, though she verified that other clients experienced cuts to other parts of their bodies, Tuesday, January 18, 2011.] We know that Jacobs's hybrids do not exist because he is not in flight from them.

So, ask yourself: If the hybrid below doesn't exist and *ISN'T* doing the cutting, *WHO IS?* If Jacobs's hybrids don't exist, the only person in the room *IS THE ABDUCTEE*.

Here's the dialogue:

David Jacobs: Does he [the hybrid] at any time... um ... have an interest in or is he focused on the genital region but off to the side a little bit?

Emma Woods: Um... not that I remember.

David Jacobs: In other words, what I'm asking is, is he going to leave you with a cut.

Emma Woods: Yeah. No, I don't think so.

- [The Skeptic Zone #144 - 23.July.2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #143 - 15.July.2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #142 - 9.July.2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #141 - 1.July.2011](#)

WHO SPILLED MORE BLOOD IN THE 20TH CENTURY?

Science
Religion
It is a tie
Not a fair question

Votes so far: 45

Ball closed

SEARCH THIS BLOG

Search

powered by 

DISCLAIMER

The Author of this blog is not responsible for comments made in the comments section. Opinions expressed there, except those of the blogger, are solely owned by the commenters.

FRIDAY NIGHT COMEDY FROM BBC RADIO 4

- [FriComedy: Chain Reaction Rhys Thomas Simon Day](#)

UNBELIEVABLE?

- [Unbelievable? 30 Jul 2011 - Does Religion make people kill? - 30 July 2011](#)
- [Unbelievable? 23 Jul 2011 - Is there justification for abortion? - 23 July 2011](#)

DHARMA PODCAST

- [Kazuaki Tanahashi & Beate Stolte: 7-29-11: Dogen Sesshin: Dharma-Blossoms \(Parts 1 of 5\)](#)
- [Joanna Harcourt-Smith & Joan Halifax 7-29-11: Do not squander your life](#)
- [Beate Stolte: 7-27-11: The Necessity of Finding One's Own Place and Path — Reflections on the Genjo Koan](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 8 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 7 of 8\)](#)

RADIO NATIONAL - THE SPIRIT OF THINGS

- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Una and Denis Glennon](#)
- [Play It Again God](#)
- [Global Village, or Techno-Tribalism?](#)
- [A Tale of Two Women in Jerusalem](#)
- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Dave and Bess Nungarrayi Price](#)

CONTEMPORARY PSYCHOANALYTIC MUSINGS

- [Safety and a Flexible Frame](#)
- [Richard Geist delineates Self from Ego Psychology](#)
- [Review of "Gaslighting, the Double Whammy, Interrogation, and other Methods of Covert Control in Psychotherapy and Analysis" by Theo Dorpat \(1996\).](#)
- ["Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?"](#)
- [An Introduction to "Prisoners of Childhood" by Alice Miller \(1981\)](#)

David Jacobs: Okay. Because, you know, we've found this a couple of times or at least once anyway. And we know that it –it seems to come with him. Maybe it comes with the apprentice too, I don't know. But it's the damndest thing and I cannot for the life of me figure out what it is and what it's for.

We find out later that it doesn't really matter what it's for. The point is, for purposes of a disclaimer, it isn't happening.

"You see," Jacobs pontificates in his reinforcing, post-posthypnotic MPD suggestion encyclical cigarette, "the record that you're doing is simply another manifestation of a written style of multiple personality disorder. We have many of these on record. One personality takes over and starts to write a record and starts to do these drawings. None of which are believable. They are all just a product of fantasy. This is not happening. It is just fantasy that comes from one of your alter personalities. And so when those personalities come out, that's when this—all this—nonsense comes out that you've been telling me. But I'm interested in the nonsense. Not that it's real. I KNOW IT'S NOT HAPPENING. I know that for an absolute fact it's not happening. But I also know for an absolute fact that people have multiple personality disorder and they make these kinds of claims and they don't even realize it themselves. It's their alter personalities who are doing it. And so, I think that you need to take medication for this and that's what I would remember." (Emphasis supplied.)

The dialogue continues from there:

Emma (nervously chuckling) What sort of medication?

Jacobs Um, you and your psychiatrist will have to decide that.

Emma: Okay. Oh God.

Jacobs: But that is what uh, um – that's my professional considered opinion. And that's what you must have in your mind when they say (in fake accent) "Oh my god, you talked to that guy again we told you not to," and that's what you have to decide, I mean, I'm sorry, that's what you have to have in your mind. **YOU MUST HAVE THAT IN YOUR MIND. (Robotically)** He thinks I'm sick. He thinks I have multiple personality disorder. He doesn't believe any of this. It's all multiple personality disorder. **(End robot.)** They probably will not have heard of this. **(Robot-voiced)** But you will say, no, no, no it's real. He thinks I've got it. **(End Robot)** And if they have heard of it, it'll make sense to 'em. There's no downside to this. This is all upside. So you have to say– I have–**(Robotically)** he thinks I have multiple personality disorder. Everything we've talked about he thinks is not true. He's told me I have multiple personality disorder and that I must to take medication for it. **(End robot.)** Now that's important to say. Because it's not just that I'm saying it: I'm suggesting a course of action. So, I'm going to write you an email about that too. (Emphases supplied.)

Emma: Okay. Right.

Jacobs: And I'll write you maybe several emails about that.

Emma: Right.

Jacobs: This has got to be kept uppermost in your mind because you're going to have a visit probably tonight and tomorrow night as well, and they're going to figure out...oh, oh, uh-oh, she did it again and you've got to be able to counter—he doesn't believe anything, he thinks it's multiple personality disorder, that's got to be uppermost in your mind, so tonight, when you're putzing around the house and you're doing this and doing that, think MPD, MPD, MPD.

(Emma changes the subject. They discuss similarities between her case and Elizabeth Smith's. Jacobs then changes the subject back.)

Jacobs: So, the object is to keep Multiple Personality Disorder in your mind—you want to deflect them—

Emma: (nervously chuckling) We've both got the same disease...

Jacobs: Right—you want to deflect them away from me though so that it makes it safer for you and they don't harp so much on me and all the rest of that stuff. You've got to convince them that I am—I AM CONVINCED there's no question in my mind, that this is multiple personality disorder and nothing unusual is happening other than what is coming out of your mind. And that I fear for your mental health. (Emphasis supplied.)

Emma (nervously) Yeah. Okay.

MONSTERTALK

- Ancient Alien Astronauts: Interview with Ken Feder
- Hayley Stevens' Lake Monster Mysteries
- Searching For Sasquatch
- The Zombie Autopsies
- Tracking the Manbeasts

COUNT GORE DEVOL'S DEVILISH PODCASTS

- The Bloody Ape & Jebediah Buzzard!
- Last man on Earth - Part 1
- Last Man on Earth - Part 2
- The Last Man on Earth - Part 3

FILM: FILM WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- Film Weekly podcast: Dominic Cooper on playing the Devil's Double - audio
- Film Weekly podcast: Alternative content in our cinemas - audio
- Film Weekly podcast: Jamie Thraves on Treacle Jr and Bobby Fischer revisited – audio
- Film Weekly podcast: Jessica Chastain on Terrence Malick's Tree of Life - audio
- Film Weekly goes Huge with Johnny Harris

DOCTOR MADBLOOD

- Madblood Manor Tour
- Doctor Madblood's Podcast: "30 Years of Madness: The Podcast"
- Doctor Madblood's Podcast Episode #22: "Dimitri Slept Here"

SUBSCRIBE TO

BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▼ 2011 (34)
 - ▶ July (3)
 - ▶ June (2)
 - ▶ May (4)
 - ▶ April (5)
 - ▶ March (5)
 - ▶ February (7)
 - ▼ January (8)
 - Female Abductee Madonna Complex A Gender-Identifi...
 - Madonnas of the Saucers
 - The Girl Who Saved Her Own Life, Part II: Did Temp...
 - The Girl Who Saved Her Own Life
 - Veteran Investigator Matt Graeber Interviews Emma ...
 - Veteran Investigator Matt Graeber Interviews Emma ...
 - Veteran Investigator Matt Graeber Interviews

LABELS

- Acting (2)
- alien abduction church (6)
- American Bullyism (1)
- anthropocentrism (1)
- anthropomorphism (1)
- argument from authority (1)
- Argument from Bloodbath (1)
- argument from ignorance (2)
- argument from omniscience (1)
- Attack on Iran (1)
- Banning (2)
- Blood Feud (1)
- Bozotopia (5)
- Centre for Global Research (1)
- Chronicles of the Dark Side (1)
- Couter-transference (1)
- Cyberbullying (1)
- Dan Burisch (1)
- David Icke (1)
- David Jacobs (21)
- Doctor Madblood (1)
- Doctor Who (1)
- Emma Woods (31)

Jacobs: *This is extremely important. It worked for [Elizabeth]. And this is extremely important and of course, as you know, it is true. (Laughs) So ...*

Emma: *(Joking) Many would agree.*

[Note the leading in the following: Jacobs tells Emma she will be visited. She's not TELLING HIM.]

Jacobs: *Yeah, it's probably true. So—but you have to keep that in your mind you can't just sort of forget it, you gotta' keep that in your mind, because it is going to happen tonight and you're gonna wake up and this jerk's gonna be sitting on your bed again and then, he's gonna stare at you and he's gonna say, "Oh shit!"—that's what he's gonna say in his own inamicable fashion. And then you've got—the first thing he expresses—well, this is bad, you've been in contact with him—you've got to think multiple personality disorder. You might also wanta think New York City. That puts me away in my psychological thought and it also puts me away in distance. It makes them feel safer.*

Notice that Jacobs insisted Emma Woods seek medication. He didn't say "fake going to your doctor and getting medication." He seems to want her to back-up her suggestion with real-world actions.

We also get the impression Jacobs deployed the MPD tactic with Elizabeth Smith. So, he's potentially applied a posthypnotic suggestion that his client has MPD twice.

It seems he can't only eat just one.

It isn't as if David Jacobs has confined himself to the attempted destruction of one psyche. He seems to be a serial abuser of mental health consumers. If his behavior with Emma Woods is paralleled in the Elizabeth Smith hypnosis and he violated Smith by giving her a posthypnotic suggestion that SHE had MPD, he is guilty of serial abuse. But Jacobs seems to have had a history of scandalizing mental health consumers.

We have this testimony in an internet post from Jacobs's own son:

"It's not even the crazies that pop up every now and then in our home life.... In the late 80s, a woman who claimed to be an abductee turned out to be an undiagnosed schizophrenic making up stories to get attention. She called our house over and over again [a familiar refrain] until he [Jacobs] finally cut ties with her."

"His [Jacobs] having lunatics as peers has nurtured a tendency in Dad and me to get extremely defensive about our sanity."

The emphases were supplied.

If David Jacobs's clients are acting out his hypnotic scenarios, playing all roles and in the process injuring themselves, then Jacobs should be investigated by the Office of Human Research Protections for possible human rights violations.

I'm not saying Jacobs is guilty of any crime. I am saying that if there is a possibility that his clients are physically acting out their abductions and are injuring themselves and HE KNOWS ABOUT IT, there should be a thorough investigation. If Temple University is guilty of covering up his behavior, if the above is true, then the University similarly should be investigated.

If his clients are indulging in PSYCHOLOGICAL AS WELL AS PHYSICAL SELF-INJURY, then David Jacobs's practice of alien-abduction hypnosis is extremely dangerous and steps should be taken to deprive him of the right to conduct it in the future. And if David Jacobs became a participant in the hypnosis, as previously contended on this blog, Jacobs has placed himself at risk with his practices along with his clients.

Again, there are no laws against this practice in the State of Pennsylvania. Model law exists in Connecticut for legal redress against hypnotists who violate their clients. The only law available to prosecute the case is federal law governing human research protections.

That law, as we shall see, is one David Jacobs laughs at.

Sources

All quotes and dialogue from David Jacobs's 29th Hypnosis Session, in its entirety. Transcriptions by Gary Haden.

Evan Jacobs. [My Dad the UFO Expert](#).

Interviews
Emma ...

Veteran
Investigator Matt
Graeber
Interviews
Emma ...

► 2010 (59)

- EHM (3)
- Eugenics (2)
- Extradition Act of 2003 (2)
- Faith-based communities (2)
- False Memory (3)
- falsifiability (1)
- fermi paradox (1)
- Fluffcasts (1)
- Flying+Saucer+Nukes Psyops (1)
- Friday Fakes (4)
- Gameplaying (1)
- Gary McKinnon (2)
- Gender Issues (1)
- Girl Who Saved Her Own Life (2)
- Grays (2)
- Ham and Egger Review (6)
- Human Subjects Research (2)
- Humanity Plus (1)
- Hybridization-Dehumanization (1)
- Hyperbolic Chamber (2)
- Hypnosis (7)
- hypnotic regression fraud (4)
- Immigration Laws (1)
- Jeff Ritzman (1)
- Jeremy Vaeni (1)
- Jungian Compensation Theory (2)
- Karl Marx (1)
- Madonna Complex (1)
- Mary Magdalene (1)
- mass hypnosis (1)
- Matt Graeber (10)
- MLABs (1)
- Miracle Man (2)
- MMORPG (1)
- Moderation policy (1)
- monkey trial (1)
- moon anomalies (1)
- MPD (3)
- Multiple Personality Disorder (4)
- Munchausen Syndrome By Proxy (1)
- Music (1)
- Nazi Super Race Fantasy (1)
- New Normal (1)
- Night Terror (2)
- Nora D'Amico (1)
- Paracast (3)
- Paranoid Pomo (1)
- Paratopia (2)
- Perspectivalism (1)
- Psychosocial Hypothesis (1)
- Ray Kurzweil (1)
- Regan Lee (1)
- Reptilians (1)
- Repto-Religionists (1)
- Satan Shrinks of Texas (2)
- Satanic Ritual Abuse (2)

Office of Human Subjects Research. [Sheet 7: RESEARCH INVOLVING COGNITIVELY IMPAIRED SUBJECTS: A REVIEW OF SOME ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS \(PDF\)](#)

Recommendations from the Subcommittee for the Inclusion of Individuals with Impaired Decision Making in Research (SIIDR), Secretary's Advisory Committee on Human Research Protections (SACHRP). Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP).

Follow-ups

The Girl Who Saved Her Own Life, Part II

E. David Klonsky. *The functions of deliberate self-injury: A review of the evidence.* Clinical Psychology Review 27 (2007) 226-239.

LD Butler, RE Duran, P Jasiukaitis, C Koopman and D Spiegel. *Hypnotizability and traumatic experience: a diathesis-stress model of dissociative Symptomatology.* [Abstract] Am J Psychiatry 1996; 153:42-63.

John Ryan Haule. Pierre Janet and Dissociation: *The First Transference Theory and Its Origins in Hypnosis.* American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis 29 (2) (October, 1986), pp. 86-94.

Posted by Gary Haden at 7:44 AM 

Labels: [David Jacobs](#), [Emma Woods](#), [Girl Who Saved Her Own Life](#), [Self-Injury](#)

4 comments:



Alfred Lehmborg said...

Seems to me? Gary's listened to the tapes... jus' sayin'...

alienview@roadrunner.com

> www.AlienView.net

>> AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

>>> UFO Ma g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

January 14, 2011 8:06 PM

jjflash said...

Hello, Gary-

Thank you for the work you have invested in the Woods/Jacobs saga. I have a personal appreciation for your activism.

My name is Jack Brewer and I help operate an Internet discussion forum where the Woods case has become a topic of discussion. I have referenced this blog and will do so again.

Emma Woods joined the discussion and I invite you and/or interested parties to also join. Readers are welcome to register, offer their words of encouragement to Woods, or express their opinions as they may so choose. The forum and relevant thread may be viewed at:

<http://ufomania.proboards.com/index.cgi?action=display&board=organizations&thread=833&page=1#9603>

Regards,
Jack Brewer
screen name jjflash

January 15, 2011 7:55 AM



Alfred Lehmborg said...

Mike Barrows writes:

Hi Alfred, I have been personally acquainted with Dave Jacobs since 1973 because of my interest in UFOs since I was a kid, and because I was a student at Temple University. I have seen him working with his graduate students, etc. and he seems like a normal- good guy as far as I can tell. I have read his books, listened to his lectures, interviews, and so on. Unfortunately, I have not kept up with the Emma Woods case, but I can understand your being pissed off. Dave Jacobs is one of several tenured professors at Temple that have had the guts to make all out serious, aggressive efforts to get at the truth, even if it meant putting themselves in personal danger. The last time I saw Dave was this past summer at a MJFON meeting. He really seemed nervous- just my observation, and opinion. I'm speculating that something "is up," I left that meeting with that

- [Saucers of Mass Destruction \(1\)](#)
- [Science Fiction \(1\)](#)
- [scientific egomania \(2\)](#)
- [Scopes Trial \(1\)](#)
- [Second Skin \(1\)](#)
- [Self-Injury \(1\)](#)
- [Sideways Worlds \(2\)](#)
- [Singularity \(1\)](#)
- [skepticism \(1\)](#)
- [skeptics \(3\)](#)
- [Sleep Disorders \(1\)](#)
- [Source A \(1\)](#)
- [Special Compartment in Hell \(4\)](#)
- [Spooky Chronister \(1\)](#)
- [Spring Shadows Glen \(1\)](#)
- [SRA \(1\)](#)
- [Starlost \(1\)](#)
- [Super Bowl Predictions \(1\)](#)
- [Survival of the Fittest \(1\)](#)
- [T-Rex Reptilians \(1\)](#)
- [The Final Solution \(1\)](#)
- [The Pickerings \(1\)](#)
- [Thugs of the Universe \(1\)](#)
- [Toxic Slop \(1\)](#)
- [Transhumans \(2\)](#)
- [TV Horror Hosts \(1\)](#)
- [UFO Papacy \(1\)](#)
- [UFO Religion \(1\)](#)
- [Ufology \(1\)](#)
- [UFOOLogy \(2\)](#)
- [Virginia Creepers \(1\)](#)
- [World War III \(1\)](#)

feeling. Perhaps he knows more than he has talked about, or is willing to talk about. Something has scared the shit out of him, which I think would not have been easy to do. I think we would all like to know the truth, which is what's most important.

Cordially, Mke Barrows

I respond:

A closer look at the Emma Woods case would disabuse your obvious sympathies somewhat, I suspect. DJ treated this woman abominably, imo; he raped her in a non-physical sense, betrayed reasonable expectations of some kind of professional behavior by Woods regarding the doctor, and then threw her under a bus with a hypnotic suggestion that she had a _severe_ mental disorder when she started asking questions and threatening suspected pecuniary considerations. Moreover, I've discovered on my own over the months that when you don't have a dog in the hunt? Ms Woods comes across _remarkably_ sane.

No, Dr. Jacobs erred but erred hugely and would do well to step off. Step down. Step away.

I'm not a Jacobs hater. Quite the contrary. I've always had a high appreciation of him. I've spoken with him at length. I was convinced of his total sincerity. Bought his books. Attended his lectures and workshops. Respected the milieu. Am not dismissive of method.

This affair, on the other hand, strains all bounds and is entirely apart from those things mentioned in the previous paragraph. I'm as astonished as I am outraged. I feel a little betrayed myself. I submit he needs to mea culpa and depart the field.

Closing, I recall Dr. Jacobs has said on numerous occasions that his interest in UFOs has always precluded a "tenure." Hrrrrrrrr.

alienview@roadrunner.com
> www.AlienView.net
>> AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>
>>> U F O M a g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

January 15, 2011 9:34 PM

 **Gary Haden said..**

Correction

In the post above it was stated:

"In the following exchange, Jacobs discusses "cuts" to the genital regions of other clients. We know that Jacobs's hybrids do not exist because he is not in flight from them."

The cuts to the genital region Jacobs discussed with Emma Woods were her own. Not those of other clients.

A note acknowledging the error was placed in the text 1-18-2011. The text was left as evidence of error.

January 18, 2011 8:00 AM


Post a Comment

Note: Only a member of this blog may post a comment.

Comment as: Google Account 

Post Comment

Preview



[Newer Post](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

Simple template. Powered by [Blogger](#).