

Speculative Realms

MONDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2010

Banning, Badge Checking, Sociopathy and the Mass-Hypnotic Herd Mentality of the Paranormal World

The Project of Dehumanization underneath the Alien Abduction Hybridization Myth

By Gary Haden

I think there is some sort of misconception blowing around out there that I wish to become some sort of "paranormal expert" or "paranormal celebrity."

Sorry to disappoint. I think paranormal celebrities are inseparable from their self-appointed perches and incapable of ending any and all monetary exploitation of a very vulnerable population.

Maybe some feel that I'm guilty by association and therefore conform to and adopt the practices of people who appear to agree with me.

Look, some "celebrities" and "experts" are the real deal. They can stand toe to toe with any of the skeptics I admire and on occasion can whip them in a debate. A few are undefeated when facing a skeptic in debate.

But I'm not going to name any of these people. That would allow whoever disagrees with me to form a posse and go after them.

I believe the ET hypothesis best explains flying saucers. But that's not a conclusion based on faith. And the notion of intelligent life elsewhere is not dependent upon what is inside a flying saucer. Probability math supports the existence of intelligent life elsewhere. And the Universe keeps coughing up new planets in the lenses of our new telescopes, making the Rare Earth Hypothesis seem like so much rarefied air.

Just because I find any of that convincing doesn't mean I'm a card-carrying member of the "paranormal community."

I'm about to tear up that membership card here and now.

Paranormal celebrities have raised the question as to whether I have the right to question David Jacobs's sanity when I am not a psychologist and also suggesting hypocrisy on my part as one of my contentions has been that David Jacobs has no right to call Emma Woods crazy because HE is not a psychologist.

Let me remind the reader that many paranormal celebrities ban free speech on their websites. This appears related in that it seems they're banning free inquiry now. I suppose they'll be banning library cards next.

Reason #1 to tear up your membership card: You are not allowed to THINK in the paranormal community.

Someone who bans free speech is intellectually compromised *ab initio*. How can anyone possibly hope to be placed in an informed position if she will entertain only those sources which flatter her worldview, produce revenue, or contribute to the continued hypnotic stupor the paranormal "community" is dying in?

Someone who bans free speech is not a scholar. Whatever valid points she makes gets immasculated by her practices. If the research of a banner ever got past the paranormal community, the first thing brought up would her banning practices. It is ludicrously naïve to believe that anyone would be taken as a scholar in the mainstream who bans free speech.

Their entire narrative gets footshot by the banning process.

RESEARCH RESOURCES

- [Anomalist](#)
- [Crankdotnet](#)
- [Emma Woods's Website](#)
- [Fact Check Dot Org](#)
- [False Memory Syndrome Foundation](#)
- [Federation of American Scientists](#)
- [FindArticles.com](#)
- [Glossarist Dictionaries](#)
- [Independent Investigations Group](#)
- [Joe Nickell](#)
- [Journal of Scientific Exploration](#)
- [JSTOR.ORG](#)
- [Law.com's Law Dictionary](#)
- [Magonia](#)
- [Paranthropology](#)
- [Philosophical Terms and Names](#)
- [Rhine Research Center](#)
- [Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice](#)
- [Skeptic's Dictionary](#)
- [Sleep and Hypnosis: An International Journal](#)
- [Sleep Paralysis Page](#)
- [SUNlite](#)
- [The Trickster Book by George Hansen](#)
- [The Word Nerds](#)
- [Trickster's Way Online Journal](#)
- [When Healers Harm](#)

THE LATEST

[Annie Jacobsen](#) [Kepler Space Telescope](#) [Libya](#) [NATO](#) [Fukushima](#) [Syria](#)

['Area 51' expose by investigative reporter Annie](#)

Plain Dealer

The real Area 51, as depicted in investigative journalist Annie Jacobsen's often fascinating, ultimately exasperating expose, is, on the whole, less spooky than its Hollywood persona. Ultra-secret, yes, but more "Dr. Strangelove" than "The X-Files. ...

[Nazi UFOs, Roswell and Mengele's alien children](#)

Daily Mail (blog)

So it wasn't aliens after all, says American Journalist Annie Jacobsen, who has written an entertaining (and otherwise plausible) history of Area 51, the secret military testing ground in Nevada just up the road from Roswell. ...

[Related Articles »](#)

[IndieBound: Bestselling books of the week of August 4](#)

Alaska Dispatch

Area 51, Annie Jacobsen, Little Brown 1. The Help, Kathryn Stockett, Berkley 2. Room, Emma Donoghue, Back Bay 3. A Visit From the Goon Squad, Jennifer Egan, Anchor 4. Cutting for Stone, Abraham Verghese, Vintage 5. Sarah's Key, Tatiana De Rosnay, ...

[Area 51 book is thought-provoking despite Roswell](#)

UFODigest

The new book about Area 51 by writer Annie Jacobsen has triggered controversy about what actually crashed – if anything – near Roswell, New Mexico, in the summer of 1947. The explanation for the Roswell incident, reportedly from a retired scientist, ...

powered by [Google™](#)

It is not a black and white issue. There is a gray area. But that gray area constitutes 5% of the discourse. Someone who posts under the id of @40tHellSmut and proceeds to vomit a ragefest painted in every version of the word "fuck" you've ever encountered is *SELF-REFUTED* and *SELF-BANNED*. It is a redundant waste of time to ban them. If they thought they were making valid points, they'd ID themselves. Instead, they're part of the daily ejaculations of internet rage that pester us all but have zero meaning. Their posts are intellectually equal to a monkey typing zeros.

Someone who posts anonymously may be avoiding responsibility but they may also be persons involved in the dispute who do not wish to identify themselves.

Banning someone who *disagrees* with you is *WRONG*.

It does not establish your authority. It impeaches it. It proves your intellectual weakness. It gives you an unearned crown of competency. It gives you a false sense of security. It deludes you into thinking you know what you're talking about when you don't really know that you do because you've banned people who might give you a clue.

It is difficult to see how charging people a fee to view or listen to content with the possibility that they may be banned for what comments they make amounts to anything more than contempt for the audience.

This kind of behavior allows bait-and-switch artists like David Jacobs to assert, quite credibly, that people who criticize him are profiteers.

It sure seems like Dave has that right.

It is no accident that a community that is struggling to win respect for its intellectual products fails miserably in that regard. Several websites in the paranormal community ban speech and ban people who disagree with their pet theories. I've been banned by them. That ban is a badge of honor for me, and if I may be so bold, an *honorary degree* permitting me the liberty to get the hell out and avoid the permanent stigma of becoming an "expert" in the paranormal field. Being an "expert" in the field is only slightly better than being a "celebrity" in the "field." Being called a celebrity in the field is a little like being called a cowpie in the field.

The "field" is a Potter's field. If you want a life, leave it. If you want to be buried prematurely, become an "expert" in the paranormal field. If you wish to see your intellectual curiosity shamed out of existence, become an "expert" in the paranormal field.

I am not an expert in the paranormal field. If I am ever made one, I'll slaughter that idea. I will lay the axe to the root. I will tear that image limb from limb and I'll give the paranormal piranhas everything they need to enjoy a nice meal.

My feeling is, the banners in the community will do that for me. I consider that a hopeful sign and deem it a rite of passage. Their contempt is a passport into the real world. One shouldn't feel scapegoated by it. One should feel liberated by it. It means the banned have a shot at a life of the mind where the banners don't. Banners and piranhas, skip down to the postscript where you can chomp on some chunky morsels!

These folks will be mired for the rest of their lives in never-ending, Hatfield and McCoy, high school-level digital personality feuds, eventually developing Aspergers from a life lived in front of the computer, youth wasted in hunting down and banning enemies and rebels. They don't know what a tree looks like. They don't know what a cactus looks like. They never climb mountains and they never go for a hike in the woods.

They spend all their time hunting @40tHellSmut down like Ahab after Moby Dick. They've become digitized. They are antivirus programs hunting dissent. They want their contempt to go viral.

I write as a flawed human being struggling to make his way in the world, not as some esoteric guru weaving a hypnotic web, trapping vulnerable people in a cocoon, and getting a kick out of watching them squirm and die in my ridiculous, life-draining ideas. The paranormal community zombies its citizens.

The "community" is a paranoid-schizoid culture. If you don't understand that term, look it up. I don't need a degree in psychoanalytics to use it. Neither does anyone else.

The paranoia appealed to and utilized encourages the development of a schizoid state in its consumers. The schizoid state, in layman's terms, is a state of mind in which the real and the unreal are confused. While it is entertaining to be schizoid, and I've spent long passages of my life in orgasmic, schizoid genuflection before the

THE SCIENCE NETWORK PODCASTS

- Barbara Bigby
- *Selectivity and Symmetry in the Function of the Cerebral Cortex*
- *From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather*
- *From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather*
- Aviv Bergman

THE SCOOP SHEET

- *Today's News With Alternative Feeds and Think Tanks*
- *Terror Economies, Perverting Language, and Msogyny*

NEWSJACK – TOPICAL SKETCH SHOW

DAKTARI IMPOSSIBLE

- *UFOlogical Suspension of the Ethical*
- *Gawd Awful Time for Paranormal Cognoscenti*
- *Mindwebs*
- *The Uncomfortable Juxtaposition: Lennox versus Hawking*
- *The Interesting Juxtaposition: Is Mothman the Answer to Our Economic Woes?*

KURZWELAI.NET ACCELERATING INTELLIGENCE NEWS

- *Delivery of biologically active compounds through the skin*
- *Human skin cells converted directly into functional neurons*
- *Adashboard for your body*
- *FAA looks into News Corp's Daily drone*
- *Susan Greenfield: living online is changing our brains*

SCIENCE: SCIENCE WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- *Science Weekly podcast: Hearing is believing*
- *Science Weekly Podcast: The science of sleep | Alok Jha talks to Prof Russell Foster*

THE PROCESS IS..

- *Lies, Levitation, and Defamations Most Foul*
- *Remembering Lies: Interview with Psychiatric Abuse Victim Jeanette Bartha*
- *Electric*
- *Last Train to Transcendental*
- *Imagining the World without You*

JULIAN BAGGIN

- *Clemency still has its place*
- *The Shrink & The Sage: Independence*
- *Today - BBC Radio Four*

FORUM NETWORK | PUBLIC LECTURES PODCAST

- *Net Delusion: Dark Side of Internet Freedom*
- *Fab Lab: How to Make (Almost) Anything*
- *Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar*
- *Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar*
- *Understanding Porpoises Using Technology*

BRITANNICA BLOG » THE FUTURIST

- *Surviving the Great Recession's Aftershocks*

fantasies of the paranormal world, it generally makes for a *DISASTROUS LIFE*.

One of the worst aspects of being schizoid is that it makes you extremely susceptible to suggestion. It also splits out figures in your imagination, ejecting them from the seat in your inner world, and incarnates them in "real" life. Either you really see them as separate entities in tortured sleep-wake transition representations, or the projections infuse your perceptions of others so that the grocer across the street, thanks to a paranoid quake-induced schism in and a collapse of the boundary in your mind between real and unreal, becomes an alien-human hybrid.

So a spooky notion about a conspiracy that most people, with their real-unreal boundaries intact, let go of gets seriously entertained in people where those boundaries have collapsed, whether due to incredible creative ability, psychological trauma, or participation in a mass hypnotic paranormal culture. You see the world the way the paranoid fantasy says it is.

In fact, some research has concluded that great artists are schizotypic. That's why I've stated before that I consider David Jacobs an artistic genius. He is like a sociopathic Lord Byron of the mind. For anyone who believes they have been "abducted", he's dangerous to know.

The more frightened you become the more goblins you see around you. Then you take the goblins to an abduction researcher (please read *performance hypnotist*) and he makes them real for you until the personality cultist piranhas gather and tear you limb from limb and you find yourself homeless on the street with no life, a footnote to some professional sadist's self-glorification. In fact, you're published in his book as the freak who proves his point.

He's made a meal of you.

FREE SPEECH, FREE INQUIRY? HERE'S YOUR TIN FOIL HAT, WHAT'S YOUR HURRY?

It is increasingly apparent to me that the only reason to write about the paranormal world at all is as part of a rescue operation, like the searches by responders in radiation suits, rummaging through Chernobyl for survivors. Speckled among the irradiated are experiencers, contactees, and researchers alike.

Ironically, this trouble with free speech appears to be a particularly American disease.

I don't need an advanced degree, or a degree of any kind, or even a grade school education, to give me *PERMISSION TO THINK*. The *FREEDOM TO THINK* is a right every human being has. I don't need the permission of a paranormal celebrity to write what I write, to cite the sources I cite, or to speak about an offense that damages people and to adequately warn the public about a hazard to be avoided.

And neither do *ANY OF YOU*.

Many people who are bloggers or reporters don't have advanced degrees in the subject matter they write about. Most reporters have Bachelors Degrees in Journalism. They're taught how to make inquiry. They're not taught to be experts in every field they cover, but they're provided with investigative skills to allow them to deliver an informed report. Their bachelor's degrees don't defraud their research or render their scholarship invalid or deprive them of the ability, as free individuals, to *THINK FOR THEMSELVES*.

Too many people in the paranormal community refuse to *THINK FOR THEMSELVES*. To paraphrase the ever-perceptive Oracle in the Matrix, they refuse *TO MAKE UP THEIR OWN DAMN MINDS*. To make up your own damn mind you have to do your own damn reading and you have to do your own damn thinking. If I wish to consult the writings of hypnoanalysts, psychologists, sociologists, criminologists, legal



- [Kyoto: City of a Thousand Futures](#)
- [Nurturing the Spirit in the Age of the Web](#)
- [Reviving the Aral Sea](#)
- ["Waste Heat" a Potential Threat to the Climate](#)

UFO RELIGIONS

- [Probability of ET Life Arbitrarily Small](#)
- [Junk DNA as Evidence of ET Life in our Genes? Try Again.](#)
- [Could We Tell An Advanced ET from God?](#)
- [Geraldo Rivera Predicts Alien Contact in 25 Years](#)
- [Intelligent Panspermia News](#)

DR. DAVID CLARKE

- [Hilary Evans 1929-2011](#)
- [Aliens in the imagination](#)
- [UFOs and the Vietnam War](#)
- [Only joking folks!](#)
- [Lost in the land of Oz](#)

POINT OF INQUIRY

- [David Frum and Kenneth Silber - Conservatives and Science](#)
- [Jaco Gericke - Confessions of a Died-Again Christian](#)
- [Rebecca Watson - Skepticism and Feminism](#)
- [Richard Wiseman - Paranormality](#)

PHILOSOPHY BITES

- [Luc Bovens on Catholicism and HIV](#)
- [Peter Singer on Henry Sidgwick's Ethics](#)
- [National Portrait Gallery 'Picturing Philosophers' a free tour](#)
- [Victor Tadros on Punishment](#)
- [Alison Gopnik on the Imagination](#)

21ST CENTURY RADIO WITH DR. BOB HIERONIMUS, PH.D.

- [Hour One with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Hour two with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Dr. Zohara Hieronimus, Guest Host: Paul Rademacher author of A Spiritual Hitchhiker's Guide to the Universe](#)

BAD UFOS: SKEPTICISM, UFOS, AND THE UNIVERSE

- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 3](#)
- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 2](#)
- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 1](#)
- ["Classic" UFO Photo from Belgian Wave - the Hoaxer Confesses](#)
- [Happy World UFO Disclosure Day!](#)

SKEPTICALITY: THE OFFICIAL PODCAST OF SKEPTIC MAGAZINE

- [Skepticality #162 - Bill Nye "the Science Guy" - Guest: William Sanford Nye](#)
- [Skepticality #161 - TAM9, Tyson From Outer Space - Interview: Neil deGrasse Tyson](#)
- [Skepticality #160 - Paranormality - Interview: Professor Richard Wiseman](#)
- [Skepticality #159 - From Darwin to The Ledge - Interview: Matthew Chapman](#)

THE SKEPTIC ZONE

- [The Skeptic Zone #145 - 29.July.2011](#)

scholars, art historians, philosophers, or whoever the hell appears to be a relevant source to help me make up *MY OWN DAMN MIND*, I will do *MY OWN DAMN READING* of their books, *I WILL DO MY OWN DAMN PERUSING* of the pertinent journals, and I will *DO MY OWN DAMN ANALYSIS* of their findings, and I will publish *MY OWN DAMN CONCLUSIONS* so that independent thinkers can *MAKE UP THEIR OWN DAMN MINDS* via the *SAME DAMN PROCESS*.

That's how it is done at University. That is how crooks are caught, cakes are baked, and advances in science are made.

What paranormal celebrities do, and they borrow this from some of the more repressive politicians in American Culture, is *THEY MAKE UP YOUR DAMN MIND FOR YOU* by banning information they don't want you to see.

How are they an improvement over the authoritarians who govern them?

I state that as an American, *supposedly* a citizen in a *supposedly* free republic constructed on the foundation of a Constitution whose free speech protections are almost universally reviled and *mocked* in almost every area of American Culture. It is a jaw-droppingly ridiculous experience to browse paranormal forums and find "threads" railing against the Patriot Act and the squelching of dissent and then read the particular site owner boasting proudly of his *BANNING STATS*.

You can't rail against the downfall of the Republic when you are participating in making it happen.

Though it is a complete joke to say so, considering the sad state of our (read *American*) culture, I refer here to and incorporate in this post the United States Constitution, as if fully set out, and assert this:

Library cards are free. Speech is free. Inquiry is free. Anyone could and everyone *should* do free inquiry.

And there is something wrong with someone who tells you *YOU CANT*.

BADGE CHECKING DAFFY DUCK: HE'S QUACKING LIKE A DUCK BUT CHECK FOR THE ONCOMING TRAIN, JUST TO BE SAFE

The issue of badge checking is important to raise. Ostensibly, the badge checker in the paranormal community is seeking to ferret out those he feels unqualified to speak on issues arising there.

However, the badge-checking function failed miserably with regard to "Doctor" David Jacobs, whose bait-and-switch PhD entitled him, in some circles, to assert competence in hypnosis and psychology where no competence, experience, or professional acumen existed.

They never read the writing on his degree. For a community full of badge checkers, they don't seem to have very good reading comprehension.

Referring to David Jacobs as "Doctor" in the context of hypnosis is like referring to Betty Crocker as a Doctor in the context of quantum physics. He doesn't know cake from quarks.

I'm sure Jacobs can cite his buddies in the Temple U Psych department and Budd Hopkins as authorities in his alien-abduction hypnosis research but you'll begin to encounter a dusty desert when you leave the Temple Faculty Wine and Cheese in particular and the paranormal community in general.

That's why he blatantly states regular hypnotists or hypnotherapists can't do what he does. All the scholarship they do in their communities would expose Jacobs as a quack. He's excusing himself from the rigor required.

That said, Daffy Duck is as qualified as Albert Einstein to post dispositive ideas on an issue if his sources are sound and back up his conclusions. He does not require a PhD to do so.

The burden of proof is a little steeper than the shine on your badge. And need I remind you that people with badges or advanced degrees have been party to some of the worst behavior humanity has exhibited.

I've worked in a radiology reading room with radiologists who were world-recognized authorities. I was responsible for seeing to it that they read the exam film. That there had to be someone performing that function says something about their accountability. I can tell you that when lives are on the line, even world-recognized

- [The Skeptic Zone #144 - 23.July2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #143 - 15.July2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #142 - 9.July2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #141 - 1.July2011](#)


WHO SPILLED MORE BLOOD IN THE 20TH CENTURY?

Science
Religion
It is a tie
Not a fair question

Votes so far: 45

Poll closed

SEARCH THIS BLOG

powered by 

DISCLAIMER

The Author of this blog is not responsible for comments made in the comments section. Opinions expressed there, except those of the blogger, are solely owned by the commenters.

FRIDAY NIGHT COMEDY FROM BBC RADIO 4

- [FriComedy: Chain Reaction Rhys Thomas Simon Day](#)

UNBELIEVABLE?

- [Unbelievable? 30 Jul 2011 - Does Religion make people kill? - 30 July 2011](#)
- [Unbelievable? 23 Jul 2011 - Is there justification for abortion? - 23 July 2011](#)

DHARMA PODCAST

- [Kazuaki Tanahashi & Beate Stolte: 7-29-11: Dogen Sesshin: Dharma-Blossoms \(Parts 1 of 5\)](#)
- [Joanna Harcourt-Smith & Joan Halifax: 7-29-11: Do not squander your life](#)
- [Beate Stolte: 7-27-11: The Necessity of Finding One's Own Place and Path — Reflections on the Genjo Koan](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 8 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 7 of 8\)](#)

RADIO NATIONAL - THE SPIRIT OF THINGS

- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Una and Denis Glennon](#)
- [Play It Again God](#)
- [Global Village, or Techno-Tribalism?](#)
- [A Tale of Two Women in Jerusalem](#)
- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Dave and Bess Nungarrayi Price](#)

CONTEMPORARY PSYCHOANALYTIC MUSINGS

- [Safety and a Flexible Frame](#)
- [Richard Geist delineates Self from Ego Psychology](#)
- [Review of "Gaslighting, the Double Whammy, Interrogation, and other Methods of Covert Control in Psychotherapy and Analysis" by Theo Dorpat \(1996\).](#)
- ["Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?"](#)
- [An Introduction to "Prisoners of Childhood" by Alice Miller \(1981\)](#)

physicians will SQUEAL and RUN. I also worked with physicians in that group who were hands down the most courageous people I've ever met.

You can't count on the advanced degree to help you perform a character analysis.

This is a version of the consider-the-source argument. The argument is sometimes successful when it clearly applies. A child molester ought not teach courses on child-rearing. However, distrusting a source who adequately informs you of the approach of an oncoming train is *lethal*.

The argument that David Jacobs has a *relevant* badge is untrue. The argument that you *have to have a badge* to state the truth and present evidence to back up that statement is equally untrue. To ignore evidence presented through free inquiry is a backdoor form of book burning. Had Jacobs been sufficiently rigorous in his work, he could claim authority. But he wasn't.

The point is, the badge is not the essential component. The evidence is. In David Jacobs's case, his badge acts as a hypnotic induction, swindling those he encounters into hallucinating that he has authority when in reality he has none. That's why his interviewers come off like college kid guinea pigs at a two-bit carnival hypnotist show.

Look, you don't like my books, fine. Cite your own. But don't cite your books while laying a match to mine.

Listen, you don't like my evidence, fine. Cite your own. But don't suppress the evidence from review.

If Daffy Duck hypothesized, as is apparently the case here, that David Jacobs was a sociopath, and presented evidence descriptive of his behavior as documented, or proposed a convincing explanatory hypothesis for the agreed-upon facts, he is entitled to a hearing and shutting him up is irresponsible, especially since, in the instant scenario, Mr. Jacobs appears ready to continue the practice of hypnosis. If the shoe doesn't fit, Daffy is a Duck and he can be ignored. *IGNORED BUT NOT BANNED*. If the shoe fits, you should check for the oncoming train.

Anyone who presents compelling evidence whether he be a duck, a clown, a homeless man, or a lonely woman in a far away land trying to save her own life, ought to be listened to. I'm not sufficiently enslaved to the mass-hypnotic herd mentality of the paranormal world to participate in squelching their dissent.

Toward that end, what the world sees in America ought reasonably to appear in its subcultures. The drift of American Culture toward authoritarianism ought to be evident in its constituent parts. And so it is. There are very serious and disturbing free speech and free inquiry issues in the Paranormal Community.

IF IT QUACKS LIKE A SOCIOPATH

People say, these are geezers on the verge of retirement. Some are sick. Some should write science fiction. They tell us wonderful tales of critters from the cosmos being intensely interested in us. That's mind-blowing, man!

Well, Geezer Jacobs is attempting to brand a still-young woman for the rest of her life. Geezer Jacobs won't lose credibility losing his alien-abduction expertise. He'll still have a job. He'll still have a McMansion. He'll be okay.

But the person who dared challenge his findings will be damaged for life by his sadistic branding of her as a "deeply troubled" person. Would you hire a deeply troubled person? Would you enter into a relationship with a deeply troubled person? What kind of life is available to a "deeply troubled person?"

Essentially trying to end someone's socioeconomic life for the silly, wacky reason of preserving your status as a Cosmic Guru in touch with and in flight from goblins from another world, *IS SOCIOPATHIC*. The purpose is to irradiate her life. The intent is to turn her life into Chernobyl. Rot it out from under itself.

To name such behavior as Jacobs's sociopathic, whether it makes one a hypocrite or not, draws a brightline boundary around a toxic waste dump and warns people from the vicinity.

But yes, I do have some experience with psychological diagnostics. And I have some experience advocating for "deeply troubled people". And I have experience medicating them. I had to know how the drugs interacted with their symptoms. And I had to know how to interact with them. And all the training I've encountered informs me that the use of the phrase "deeply troubled person" is the guttural projectile of a "deeply bigoted person." If you've read my work, you'll notice I did a series of posts on the

MONSTERTALK

- [Ancient Alien Astronauts: Interview with Ken Feder](#)
- [Hayley Stevens' Lake Monster Mysteries](#)
- [Searching For Sasquatch](#)
- [The Zombie Autopsies](#)
- [Tracking the Manbeasts](#)

COUNT GOREDEVOL'S DEVILISH PODCASTS

- [The BloodyApe & Jebediah Buzzard!](#)
- [Last man on Earth - Part 1](#)
- [Last Man on Earth - Part 2](#)
- [The Last Man on Earth - Part 3](#)


FILM: FILM WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK


- [Film Weekly podcast: Dominic Cooper on playing the Devil's Double - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Alternative content in our cinemas - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Jamie Thraves on Treacle Jr and Bobby Fischer revisited – audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Jessica Chastain on Terrence Malick's Tree of Life - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly goes Huge with Johnny Harris](#)

DOCTOR MADBLOOD

- [Madblood Manor Tour](#)
- [Doctor Madblood's Podcast: "30 Years of Madness: The Podcast"](#)
- [Doctor Madblood's Podcast Episode #22: "Dimitri Slept Here"](#)

SUBSCRIBE TO

 Posts

 Comments

BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▶ [2011 \(34\)](#)
- ▼ [2010 \(59\)](#)
 - ▼ [December \(5\)](#)
 - [The Mass Hypnotic Artistry and Genius of David Jac...](#)
 - [Banning, Badge Checking, Sociopathy and the Mass-H...](#)
 - [Return of the Lensmen: Emma and the Tin-Foil Hat](#)
 - [Doctor Jacobs and Mr. Hybrid: Take us to your hybr...](#)
 - [The Fifth Season of Doctor Who: A Doctor for the S...](#)
 - ▶ [November \(3\)](#)
 - ▶ [October \(1\)](#)
 - ▶ [September \(6\)](#)
 - ▶ [August \(2\)](#)
 - ▶ [July \(6\)](#)
 - ▶ [June \(11\)](#)
 - ▶ [May \(13\)](#)
 - ▶ [April \(12\)](#)

LABELS

- [Acting \(2\)](#)
- [alien abduction church \(6\)](#)
- [American Bullyism \(1\)](#)
- [anthropocentrism \(1\)](#)
- [anthropomorphism \(1\)](#)
- [argument from authority \(1\)](#)
- [Argument from Bloodbath \(1\)](#)
- [argument from ignorance \(2\)](#)
- [argument from omniscience \(1\)](#)
- [Attack on Iran \(1\)](#)
- [Banning \(2\)](#)
- [Blood Feud \(1\)](#)
- [Boztopia \(5\)](#)
- [Centre for Global Research \(1\)](#)
- [Chronicles of the Dark Side \(1\)](#)
- [Couter-transference \(1\)](#)
- [Cyberbullying \(1\)](#)
- [Dan Burisch \(1\)](#)
- [David Icke \(1\)](#)
- [David Jacobs \(21\)](#)
- [Doctor Madblood \(1\)](#)
- [Doctor Who \(1\)](#)
- [Emma Woods \(31\)](#)

eugenics movement.

Did my arguments there give you any indication I'd *EVER* allow *ANYONE*, especially paranormal "experts" and paranormal "celebrities", to put past me the implication that it's *OPEN SEASON* on "deeply troubled people?" Was there anything there that implied I'd accept scapegoating "deeply troubled people" as mitigating evidence in evaluating whether goblins are jerking people out of bed at night?

And make no mistake: I've respected the rights of the sociopaths I've been involved with and I've medicated and I've interacted with them but I also had a mandate from the organization I worked for to assert my own humanity to them if I had to.

Because to a sociopath, the Other has *NO HUMANITY*. David Jacobs holds his entire project out as the Threat to Humanity. His project is one of dehumanization but it is he, and not hybrid goblins, who dehumanizes his hypnotic subjects.

Emma Woods asserted her humanity to a person who denied it. If Jacobs accepted her as human, we wouldn't be having this conversation.

Speaking of mitigating evidence, yes I do have experience in the legal field. A decade's worth. All that experience tells me David Jacobs's case stinks. I've simply provided him with a scouting report that will be repeated to his face by any lawyer interested in avoiding a catastrophic career choice by taking Jacobs on.

I've gone through the following argument before but people don't read my work so I guess I'll have to repeat myself.

David Jacobs is on record as stating that he implanted the MPD diagnosis in Emma's mind to evade capture by hybrid aliens. David Jacobs has not relocated. He doesn't behave like a man in flight. He still retains his residence. He still retains his teaching position. He is not in flight from anyone, least of all aliens.

David Jacobs claimed to Emma Woods that he knew where the hybrids lived. He had their addresses. It is a simple matter to drive there and swab their cheeks. An entire team of ghost hunters, ex-Navy Seals, macho h-men of the universe, could be assembled to assist in that task. They could even don Menkin Helmets.

But that won't happen. Because if those addresses exist at all, human beings live there. And were those human beings burst upon by a horde of paranormal crackpots the paranormal crackpots would be arrested. To prove his point, David Jacobs would have to violate the rights of real human beings. Real human beings who would be shocked from their skulls to discover they were genetic abominations from another world.

David Jacobs's hybrids *DO NOT EXIST*.

David Jacobs hasn't moved because he *KNOWS* the hybrid aliens don't exist. So if the hybrids don't exist and Jacobs knows it and sees no threat to his person, why did he plant the diagnosis? If the hybrids were real—and they're not—he'd be guilty of using Emma Woods as a human shield. *Is using someone as a human shield okay? Wouldn't that qualify as psychopathic?*

Since the hybrids are provably not real, what other possible motive would Jacobs have for doing such a thing? In investigating apparent crimes, criminologists study the modus operandi of criminals and they look to see if anyone has done something similar to the act perpetrated by the crook in question. Zoologists studying the prevalence of masturbation in monkeys study the species that masturbate.

The question therefore is, has anyone else done something similar to what David Jacobs has done?

And the answer is, yes.

In the Judith Peterson case, it was alleged that mental health consumers were posthypnotically lead to believe, by PhD/MA-trained providers, that they had multiple personalities and were being ritually abused by Satanists to *MAKE THEM SICKER* than they actually were for the purpose of collecting additional fees from insurance companies. They were given prescription medication to treat the manufactured symptoms which prescriptions *ALSO MADE THEM SICKER*.

I'll remind the reader that in his posthypnotic suggestion that Emma Woods had MPD he said she should take *MEDICATION* for it. Since he was ostensibly deploying her as a human shield, Emma might conceivably have sought a prescription simply in an effort to be a good soldier in the fight against the attacking Goblins.

Obviously, in the Peterson Cases, the practitioners' PhDs and MAs were not

- [ETH \(3\)](#)
- [Eugenics \(2\)](#)
- [Extradition Act of 2003 \(2\)](#)
- [Faith-based communities \(2\)](#)
- [False Memory \(3\)](#)
- [falsifiability \(1\)](#)
- [fermi paradox \(1\)](#)
- [Fluffcasts \(1\)](#)
- [Flying+Saucer+Nukes Psyops \(1\)](#)
- [Friday Fakes \(4\)](#)
- [Gameplaying \(1\)](#)
- [Gary McKinnon \(2\)](#)
- [Gender Issues \(1\)](#)
- [Girl Who Saved Her Own Life \(2\)](#)
- [Grays \(2\)](#)
- [Ham and Egger Review \(6\)](#)
- [Human Subjects Research \(2\)](#)
- [Humanity Plus \(1\)](#)
- [Hybridization-Dehumanization \(1\)](#)
- [Hyperbolic Chamber \(2\)](#)
- [Hypnosis \(7\)](#)
- [hypnotic regression fraud \(4\)](#)
- [Immigration Laws \(1\)](#)
- [Jeff Ritzman \(1\)](#)
- [Jeremy Vaeni \(1\)](#)
- [Jungian Compensation Theory \(2\)](#)
- [Karl Marx \(1\)](#)
- [Madonna Complex \(1\)](#)
- [Mary Magdalene \(1\)](#)
- [mass hypnosis \(1\)](#)
- [Matt Graeber \(10\)](#)
- [MLABs \(1\)](#)
- [Miracle Man \(2\)](#)
- [MMORPG \(1\)](#)
- [Moderation policy \(1\)](#)
- [monkey trial \(1\)](#)
- [moon anomalies \(1\)](#)
- [MPD \(3\)](#)
- [Multiple Personality Disorder \(4\)](#)
- [Munchausen Syndrome By Proxy \(1\)](#)
- [Music \(1\)](#)
- [Nazi Super Race Fantasy \(1\)](#)
- [New Normal \(1\)](#)
- [Night Terror \(2\)](#)
- [Nora D'Amico \(1\)](#)
- [Paracast \(3\)](#)
- [Paranoid Pomo \(1\)](#)
- [Paratopia \(2\)](#)
- [Perspectivalism \(1\)](#)
- [Psychosocial Hypothesis \(1\)](#)
- [Ray Kurzweil \(1\)](#)
- [Regan Lee \(1\)](#)
- [Reptilians \(1\)](#)
- [Repto-Religionists \(1\)](#)
- [Satan Shrinks of Texas \(2\)](#)
- [Satanic Ritual Abuse \(2\)](#)

effective enough *BADGES* to make them ethically competent. If you'd read the posts I did on the eugenics movement, you'd note that the people who were forcibly sterilizing defectives were MDs. *Advanced degrees don't prevent people from perpetrating atrocities.*

Whenever there is enough money involved, anyone can become a sociopath.

In *Peterson*, the patients and their families sued and the case was settled out of court. The settlement did not convict the providers of *anything* but it did not *absolve* them either. However, the important point to note is that essentially the Peterson providers *GOT AWAY* with it, and it is possible that Jacobs was aware of that outcome.

The repository for most of the information regarding *Peterson et al* lives at the False Memory Syndrome Foundation website, an organization Jacobs has cited in his books. Because mental health consumers are so widely perceived, in a common bigotry, as possessing *NO HUMAN RIGHTS WHATSOEVER*, their condition is used to refute their testimony. The schizoid condition produced where the consumer cannot distinguish between what is real and what isn't, which in the Peterson cases was deliberately *WORSENERD*, provides the *FOUNDATION* for a provider's complete *LIBERTY* to do whatever the hell he or she wants to do and a way to refute witness testimony in court. It is a form of witness nullification.

And the professionals involved in that Satanic Panic were, in my estimation, *SOCIOPATHS*.

Citing mental illness is one of the most effective ways to nullify allegations of abuse.

As a possible motive, I suggest and have suggested that David Jacobs used the MPD diagnosis in an attempt to *MAKE EMMA WOODS SICK*. He has augmented that suggestion by insisting publicly that she is deeply troubled and went so far as to suggest on the Coast to Coast Interview that *"MAYBE SHE DOES HAVE MULTIPLE PERSONALITY DISORDER."* He stated in the Knapp interview he believes posthypnotic suggestions don't work in the special field of alien-abduction hypnosis.

Yet, speculating that Emma may have MPD suggests he believes otherwise. That he made that statement constitutes to me an attempt to augment Emma's receipt of that suggestion with the *paranormal public's receipt of that suggestion*. That Knapp did not question him on it suggests to me that Knapp was compromised by the suggestion and became Jacobs's hypnotic subject through the doorway of Knapp's faith in the artifacts of the schizoid culture of alien abduction. Jacobs victimizes Knapp's intelligence and takes pride in his accomplishment at the same time.

That was sociopathic.

Knapp's deferral to Jacobs's authority was his own hypnotic induction. His refusal to think for himself *ALLOWED JACOBS TO MAKE UP HIS MIND FOR HIM*. You can contest Knapp's hypnotizability and even the idea that he was in trance, but his performance was so poor I contend that for all intents and purposes *HE MAY AS WELL HAVE BEEN IN A TRANCE*.

Jacobs says his posthypnotic suggestions don't work.

I would suggest David Jacobs has *PROFOUND FAITH* in the power of his posthypnotic suggestions. I would further suggest that his target audience is *SUSCEPTIBLE* to David Jacobs because it is basically paranoid-schizoid in its cultural orientation and the schizoid aspects, the inability to separate fantasy from reality, and the deep religious faith in the products of the *SCHIZOID* state, are *HIGHLY HYPNOTIZABLE* conditions that allow Jacobs to procure hypnotic subjects, whose *ABILITY TO THINK* has been compromised, allowing Jacobs to peddle his wares. His exploitation of mental health consumers, whatever the status of their sanity, is for the purpose of capitalizing on the powerful creativity many mental health consumers possess in order to provide vivid renditions of his hybrids and to *ABDUCT* their symptoms for the purposes of creating a paranoid universe and, if it came to it, witness nullification.

That is sociopathic. It becomes psychopathic when he actually attempts to do something like plant an MPD diagnosis in the mind of a person in an extremely vulnerable position, accounting her as so much human waste product, disposable except for purposes of dispensing the crazy person symptoms he wants from her which then allows him to assert to his high-hypnotizable advocates in the paranormal media—intellectually compromised and made suggestible by the schizoid condition of their faith in extraterrestrials—that she is crazy and they follow through with his suggestion like compliant little puppies attacking a stick at the behest of the dog whisperer.

Only a person in hypnotic trance could allow Jacobs to assert that his brand of

- ↳
- Saucers of Mass Destruction (1)
- Science Fiction (1)
- scientific egomania (2)
- Scopes Trial (1)
- Second Skin (1)
- Self-Injury (1)
- Sideways Worlds (2)
- Singularity (1)
- skepticism (1)
- skeptics (3)
- Sleep Disorders (1)
- Source A (1)
- Special Compartment in Hell (4)
- Spooky Chronister (1)
- Spring Shadows Glen (1)
- SRA (1)
- Starlost (1)
- Super Bowl Predictions (1)
- Survival of the Fittest (1)
- T-Rex Reptilians (1)
- The Final Solution (1)
- The Pickerings (1)
- Thugs of the Universe (1)
- Toxic Slop (1)
- Transhumans (2)
- TV Horror Hosts (1)
- UFO Papacy (1)
- UFO Religion (1)
- Ufology (1)
- UFOLOGY (2)
- Virginia Creepers (1)
- World War III (1)

hypnosis is unique and operates under completely alien mechanisms from ordinary hypnosis. That trance is established by faith in extraterrestrials. Faith in extraterrestrials is Jacobs's justification for his behavior. That faith nullifies reason in his hypnotic subjects, allowing him to wildly assert his exemption from things like confabulation, false memory retrieval, and countertransference, things that others are susceptible to but that magically he is immune from.

If Dave Jacobs is immune from human psychology, what does that make him? An alien? In his manic denial of responsibility, Jacobs *dehumanizes himself*.

The magic that makes a miracle out of David Jacobs's wonders is the trance that smiles across the face of his victims. His victims include the paranormal community.

In the presence of their master, they will believe anything. If David Jacobs told them he could swallow a gallon of syrup of ipecac, turn himself inside out, and inverse-vomit himself to the moon, it would sound like Isaac Newton methodically and logically proving his theory of gravity point by point.

He knows his hypnotic subjects, a target population which includes the paranormal community, have deep faith in ETs. He can make "the devil made me do it" arguments in the paranormal church. They are already in trance. He doesn't have to step through an induction.

Their faith is the induction.

Jacobs insults the intelligence of the paranormal community by using their faith in the abduction phenomenon to induce faith-based hypnotic trance and achieve assent to his ludicrous claims, claims which have victimized and threatened to, for all intents and purposes, essentially end the life of a woman with over half her life left to live.

Why?

Because he and his entranced congregation believe in goblins from another world.

That is sociopathic.

The depth of the trance in the paranormal community is seen in how enthusiastically they nod in agreement to every third fantasticality Jacobs manufactures. In refusing to wake up, they participate in their own intellectual dehumanization.

CONCLUDING UNSCIENTIFIC POSTSCRIPT: FISH FOOD FOR THE PIRANHAS

Psychological Assessments

While I am not a psychotherapist, I have worked as a counselor in a Drug and Alcohol Rehab Hospital and a Residential Technician for a Community Services Recovery Support Program for homeless alcoholics and drug addicts. These are populations that are considered dually diagnostic. In other words, addicts often have psychiatric issues co-occurring with the addiction.

The census for the Hospital was typically 100 to 200. The census for the Community Services program was roughly 10-20 a month. In those capacities, I've read nearly 1,000 Psychological Assessments. I interacted extensively with the people those assessments were conducted on. In the Community Services Program, I was certified to dispense medication and had to understand the impact of the drugs on the client and the symptoms they were designed to treat.

Both these organizations were supervised by Clinical Directors. One was a psychiatrist, the other a psychologist.

David Jacobs has never been clinically supervised by anyone. Budd Hopkins does not qualify as a clinical supervisor.

You might wonder why lay staff members are allowed to read psychological assessments. The reason they are is workplace safety. If there is a client, now thought of as "consumers", who has a history of violence, the staff needed to know. In fact, consumers do act out violently when they are "in crisis" and so to that end, we received training in physical restraint. I was fortunate enough to have to break up only one fight. A colleague of mine, however, was once cornered, overpowered by three men and beaten to a pulp on one occasion.

While working in these capacities, I was required to attend in-services and continuing education seminars on psychosocial aspects of consumer behavior. In addition,

many training hours were devoted to inculcating an understanding in staff of the client's human rights.

It is doubtful David Jacobs has ever darkened the door of a human rights seminar.

In both institutions, I was required to write progress notes assessing the consumer/patient's emotional state. I could not have performed that function without at least a rudimentary understanding of human behavior.

The clientele, in addition to the obvious addictive personalities and others, included borderline personalities, sociopaths, and schizophrenics. I interacted with them on a daily basis.

The argument posted is that if David Jacobs, who is not a qualified psychologist, is not allowed to diagnose Emma Woods, then anyone who is not a qualified psychologist is not allowed to diagnose David Jacobs. The person who does so is guilty of Jacobs's crime.

This affords David Jacobs an opportunity to continue his slander while shutting up his critics. Any consumer advocate worth his salt, and the consumer here is the alien-abductee or experiencer, not David Jacobs, will want to forewarn consumers of any possible harm that could come to them in seeking the services of a person invalidly holding himself out to be a competent provider.

David Jacobs intends to continue to hold Miss Woods out as a "deeply troubled" person which to me confirms his ignorance of her human rights and constitutes a malicious attempt to permanently damage her. It is sociopathic and a brightline ought to be drawn in order to detour vulnerable consumers from the area.

The loss of expertise in alien abduction is not equivalent to the loss of one's human rights as a result of permanent stigma. The one is a loss in a game of charades. The other is loss amounting to complete ruin.

The Stool of Law

Next, we come to the matter of my legal experience. I'm not a lawyer but ...

I have ten years experience editing and proofreading the Law. I've read criminal law, civil law, zoning law, corporate law, tax law, court rules, rules of evidence, proof of facts, ordinances, letters, memorandums, and briefs.

Working in legal research, I've read and helped prepare U.S. Supreme Court briefs, State Supreme Court briefs, Class-action briefs, and divorce court briefs. One brief was a State Supreme Court brief on the Catholic Sex Abuse Scandal which dealt with false-memory retrieval and the use of hypnosis in detail. Cases in that vein along with the Judith Peterson Case would be applicable to the Emma Woods Case, both in terms of what kind of perpetration was involved and the insufficiency of hypnosis as an evidence gathering tool.

I can tell you that in a majority of the cases I've reviewed hypnosis sessions were not admissible in court, even forensic hypnosis products, because of the tendency of the modality to manufacture evidence. Most people successfully suing the Catholic Church for childhood sexual abuse are victorious on grounds other than hypnotic retrieval of traumatic memories.

I've worked with legal scholars who had razor wire for brains. I've had my logic so cut to pieces it felt like I should stand in a corner with a dunce cap on. I had to know what to approach them with and what not to. If my argument was poor, I was publicly humiliated. If I saw a flaw in their argument, I damn well had better approach them with the evidence. I had to have research skills to do the job properly.

So when the Stool of Lawyers in the Paranormal Community spout off about suing Emma Woods, or any of the people who published her case, I have a pretty good idea where their case stands.

Again, I'm not an expert, but I'm hardly a layperson.

And since David Jacobs appears to be in possession of the addresses of Alien Human Hybrids, if I or anyone else were sued, those addresses would be the first thing I'd request in discovery and those hybrids would be the first people I called to the stand in any court case. If memory serves, he also asserted in the Woods hypnosis that Emma Woods's neighbors were hybrids. Those individuals would also be called to the stand. I'm sure these humans would be more than willing to submit to a swab of the cheek to check Jacobs's hypothesis.

That is why I call this a blatant case of Inheriting the Saucer. If David Jacobs took

Emma Woods to court, he'd end up looking like the beleaguered William Jennings Bryan in a 21st Century Monkey Trial, sweat pouring from his head, possessed of a faith that was lethal in its power. All he would lose would be his science fiction career. Emma Woods could lose whatever life she has left. There is a huge gulf between how much damage would occur to the one versus the other.

The Philosophic Bent

I have a Bachelor's Degree in Philosophy and Religion. I did my thesis on Kierkegaard and Nietzsche. It assessed their work on the individual and the herd mentality and realism and antirealism. I've always been interested in the tension between the individual and the group and the impact of the influence of the group on the individual's sense of reality. Whether something is real or not is one of the most pressing philosophical issues of our time. My advisors laughed off my attempt as being a work of fantasy and a schizoid projection.

Nonetheless, they graduated me with distinction.

Needless to say, talk of goblins interests me as a philosopher.

So with that I'm done with the fish food. I don't want a "career" as an expert or celebrity and you won't see a premium link on my page nor will I ever ask you for donations. I'm as interested in a buck as the next sociopath, but I'm much more interested in integrity, even if I die in penniless disgrace because of that interest. I don't want your money. And you'll forgive me if I confine my writing about the paranormal to helping people escape. There are others in the "community" doing the same. But I'll be blasted if I'll name them. The piranhas would swirl to their gluteus maximi with the swiftness of Zeus.

Since science fiction seems to be the paradigm of paranormal "reality", I'll paraphrase one of the great lines from Sc-Fi:

Come with us if you want to live.

Posted by Gary Haden at 8:21 AM 

Labels: [Banning](#), [Hybridization-Dehumanization](#), [mass hypnosis](#)

7 comments:



Regan Lee said...

Another fantastic post Gary

December 27, 2010 12:29 PM



Brownie said...

Gary wroet: "David Jacobs claimed to Emma Woods that he knew where the hybrids lived. He had their addresses. It is a simple matter to drive there and swab their cheeks. An entire team of ghost hunters, ex-Navy Seals, macho h-men of the universe, could be assembled to assist in that task. They could even don Menkin Helmets."

>But that won't happen. Because if those addresses exist at all, human beings live there." ---

Jacobs could have contacted Jesse Ventura and his Conspiracy program on Tru-TV (which is a pretty good program, considering the restraints that are probably in place on primetime corporate tv).

But we all know, there are no hybrids at these addresses. So why does Jacobs still have CoasttoCoastAM, George Knapp and most others in the 'field', in his pocket? Awillingness to believe mixed with a combination of sexism perhaps....I just don't get it.

~ Susan

December 27, 2010 4:31 PM



Gary Haden said..

BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION, BEHAVIORAL HYBRIDIZATION, DEHUMANIZATION, COUNTERTRANSFERENCE

Hypnosis is, as some in the paranormal media have pointed out, a behavior modification tool. It modifies behavior. By modifying behavior, it modifies personalities.

This makes the use of the MPD diagnosis in the Woods Case especially dangerous. It also subjects a practitioner who recognizes no earthly analytic framework as applicable in alien-abduction hypnosis to considerable risk of personality modification himself.

An analytic framework functions not just as a way of understanding the hypnosis but as boundary enforcement protecting the practitioner's identity and helping sort out what belongs to him and what belongs to the client. The analytic framework deployed by the practitioner here was faith in extraterrestrials which functioned as his induction into mutual trance.

Mutual trance has been documented in hypnoanalytic circles and its artifacts included, where it had been deliberately induced, SHARED experiences.

In standard hypnoanalysis, it is accepted that the phenomenon of transference/countertransference will occur. This is where the identities of the persons involved in the hypnosis can be confused and bundled. The analytic framework, i.e., the particular disciplinary approach of the practitioner, is required to unbundle the transference. Here, the artifacts of the hypnosis are religious in nature and the practitioner is a devotee of the religion the artifacts refer to and, thus, they never receive analytical inspection. The practitioner's analytic here is faith in extraterrestrials, which induced trance and permitted, at least in the Woods Case, the behavioral hybridization of his personality.

The hybrids in his science fiction he identifies as sociopaths.

To state it differently, if David Jacobs is a hybrid, psychologically identified with artifacts of the Woods hypnosis, as a result of an unanalyzed countertransference, as I've contended, he cannot NOT be a sociopath because he has identified them as such in his interviews and in his autobiographies of their behavior.

Now, the question is: Does he STAY a sociopath? It depends apparently on who he is interacting with and who he is talking about. He suffers from identity relativism. He's a chameleon. His identity shifts based on the particular countertransference he reverts to. This is why he appears to have Multiple Personality Disorder.

December 28, 2010 7:33 AM

e Gary Haden said..

BEHAVIORAL HYBRIDIZATION--THE SELF-DEHUMANIZATION OF DAVID JACOBS

Jacobs's refusal to recognize any earthly authority, meaning mainstream psychological, psychoanalytical or sociological analysts, as dispositive in alien-abduction hypnosis, dehumanizes his ideological framework and allows him to assert a completely alien, NOT NEW, form of hypnosis. He dehumanizes his analytic framework by arrogantly asserting no human has the authority to confront him. He also deprives himself of the protective covering necessary to endure any form of quasi-therapeutic endeavor. He abuses himself by refusing to analyze his work with anything other than a theological-framework. He thereby dehumanizes himself.

Nor is his dissociative state necessarily confined to one personality, here the hybrid. He appears in the Elizabeth Smith hypnosis to have become convinced he is an-about-to-be abductee, in peril of capture by hybrids. This would lend credence to the countertransference-run-amok theory which would give the appearance that Jacobs has multiple personality disorder. Indeed, the countertransference in the Smith hypnosis would undoubtedly be stronger, given the fact that he has been involved with her for many years. He has to be thoroughly identified with her narrative, absent any countervailing analytic.

That he recognizes no applicability for a psychotherapeutic framework here subjects Jacobs to tremendous psychological pressure his faith is unable to withstand. His identity therefore has no protection analytically. It is polluted now, where it may not have been in his early career, with 30 years of unanalyzed countertransference.

December 28, 2010 7:36 AM

e Gary Haden said..

THE MULTIPLE PERSONALITY DISORDER OF DAMD JACOBS

In the Emma Woods Case, he behaves like a hybrid. He abducts her narrative. He violates her psychological boundaries. He intends to publish her story despite her revocation of permission. He intends to stigmatize her for life. Lay waste to her life. His book will be a trophy taken from his abduction of her. His negative reaction to Emma Woods behaviorally hybridized him.

In the Elizabeth Smith Case, Jacobs is on the run from the hybrids, a

paranoid-schizoid abductee-to-be, finding a hybrid face in every passing human who strikes him funny. His favorable reaction to Mss Smith behaviorally modified his personality into that of an abductee.

Since he fancied Mss Smith over Mss Woods, he behaved like a hybrid toward Mss Woods, at the same time accounting himself an abductee-in-waiting, flattering Smith's narrative, perhaps due to unanalyzed feelings or gratitude for Mss Smith.

The one client threatened his narrative. The other did not.

The last was cooperative while the previous was not. The degree of cooperation Jacobs receives apparently dictates which personality he will adopt. And thanks to his refusal to recognize competent authorities, Jacobs has no earthly clue what has been happening to him.

As far back as Sigmund Freud, COOPERATION has been recognized as an issue in the TRANSFERENCE. When psychotherapists finally recognized their own humanity, they admitted the existence of countertransference.

David Jacobs does not recognize the authority of psychotherapists. Therefore, it would not be surprising to see that he wouldn't recognize the existence of countertransference. Where the unacknowledged-by-Jacobs psychotherapist recognizes her own humanity, Jacobs refuses to recognize his humanity.

Behavioral hybridization was accomplished in the Woods Case through behavior modification in the countertransference occurring in mutual trance. Mutual trance increases the octane level of transference phenomena. Dehumanization of Mss Woods occurred as a result of the behavioral hybridization of David Jacobs due to his lack of analytic prophylactic. Dehumanization of David Jacobs was achieved by his refusal to analytically protect himself.

December 28, 2010 7:37 AM



Bruce Duensing said..

I come away from your series on this tragicomedy with the sad acknowledgment that entanglement is not always a definition useful to physicists alone. You are to be lauded for being a responsible voice in this wilderness. It is indeed one thing to theoretically speculate and another to put the cart before the horse, and then ruthlessly pursue a personal agenda at an equally personal cost to others by sociopolitical exploitation. Yet, the victims go beyond the filing cabinet of the paranormal, and the deeply embedded curse is that more often than not, we are, all too often willing and voluntary victims. For what? For whom? These questions often lead back to the third layer of entanglement, a willingness at any cost to achieve certainty, which in the end, is always an illusionist's trick, a buffer. a desire to imprint our desires against a blank page. Thanks to you for not whispering or holding back in a moral valuation in the preciousness of life.

December 29, 2010 8:29 AM



Gary Haden said..

Bruce,

Thank you, sir.

Gary

December 30, 2010 6:40 AM

Post a Comment

Note: Only a member of this blog may post a comment.

[Empty comment box]

Comment as: Google Account 

Post Comment Preview



[Newer Post](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

Simple template. Powered by [Blogger](#).