

Speculative Realms

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2010

The Multiple Personality Disorder of David Jacobs

by Gary Haden

[Morbis, that thing out there. It's you.](#)

---Leslie Nielsen, *Forbidden Planet*

Because, Edward, *you* are one of his personalities.

--Alfred Molina, *Identity*

On August 24, 2009, Emma Woods submitted a complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight at the [Office for Human Research Protections \(OHRP\)](#) concerning violations of her Protections as a Human Research Subject. Her complaint outlined alien-abduction researcher David Jacobs's conduct, in their hypnosis sessions together, in painstaking detail. The excerpts are presumed to have been reviewed by the Division in assessing Emma Woods's claim.

Recently, Emma Woods sent copies of her Complaint to me for review.

Repeatedly, in the hypnotic regressions conducted by David Jacobs upon Emma Woods, the Client is subjected to what appear to be mini-lectures, under hypnotic trance, on the tendency Alien Human Hybrids have historically shown toward physical violence and aggressive sexual behavior. She is being told, in other words, what to experience. She is not being asked what her experience is. Consequently, what is disclosed in the Complaint amounts to Jacobs's redaction of the experiences of Emma Woods, reshaped into what can only be defined as simulated experiences of emotional, physical, and sexual trauma.

Emma Woods is a foreign national who operates under a pseudonym to protect herself from further exploitation.

In Emma Woods's compilation of material in her Details of Complaint part I, the phrase "Dr. Jacobs told me" appears 167 times, in the body of the complaint, covering material generated in 15 hypnosis sessions. Each instance is following by transcription of the specific exchange from the audio archive Woods created of the sessions.

The phrase "Dr. Jacobs asked me" appears in the document only 23 times, the majority of which were most assuredly not open-ended questions.

RESEARCH RESOURCES

- [Anomalist](#)
- [Crankdotnet](#)
- [Emma Woods's Website](#)
- [Fact Check Dot Org](#)
- [False Memory Syndrome Foundation](#)
- [Federation of American Scientists](#)
- [FindArticles.com](#)
- [Glossarist Dictionaries](#)
- [Independent Investigations Group](#)
- [Joe Nickell](#)
- [Journal of Scientific Exploration](#)
- [JSTOR.ORG](#)
- [Law.com's Law Dictionary](#)
- [Magonia](#)
- [Paranthropology](#)
- [Philosophical Terms and Names](#)
- [Rhine Research Center](#)
- [Scientific Review of Mental Health Practice](#)
- [Skeptic's Dictionary](#)
- [Sleep and Hypnosis: An International Journal](#)
- [Sleep Paralysis Page](#)
- [SUNlite](#)
- [The Trickster Book by George Hansen](#)
- [The Word Nerds](#)
- [Trickster's Way Online Journal](#)
- [When Healers Harm](#)

THE LATEST

[Annie Jacobsen](#) [Kepler Space Telescope](#) [Libya](#)
[NATO](#) [Fukushima](#) [Syria](#)

['Area 51' expose by investigative reporter Annie](#)
Plain Dealer

The real Area 51, as depicted in investigative journalist Annie Jacobsen's often fascinating, ultimately exasperating expose, is, on the whole, less spooky than its Hollywood persona. Ultra-secret, yes, but more "Dr. Strangelove" than "The X-Files. ...

['Area 51' book stretches truth, ex-workers say](#)

Las Vegas Review - Journal

Jake Kelly/Las Vegas Review-Journal » Buy this photo Annie Jacobsen's nonfiction book on Area 51 has sparked criticism from men who worked at the secret installation in the 1960s. Jake Kelly/Las Vegas Review-Journal » Buy this photo By Keith Rogers For ...

[Nazi UFOs, Roswell and Mengele's alien children](#)

Daily Mail (blog)

So it wasn't aliens after all, says American Journalist Annie Jacobsen, who has written an entertaining (and otherwise plausible) history of Area 51, the secret military testing ground in Nevada just up the road from Roswell. ...

[Related Articles »](#)

[IndieBound: Bestselling books of the week of August 4](#)

Alaska Dispatch

Area 51, Annie Jacobsen, Little Brown 1. The Help, Kathryn Stockett, Berkley 2. Room, Emma Donoghue, Back Bay 3. AVsit From the Goon Squad, Jennifer Egan, Anchor 4. Cutting for Stone, Abraham Verghese, Vintage 5. Sarah's Key, Tatiana De Rosnay, ...

powered by [Google™](#)

The words “sex,” (10), used 8 times by Jacobs and twice by Emma, “sexual”, (26), the overwhelming majority of usages belonging to Jacobs with a few instances of use by Emma and one use attributed to Elizabeth, Dr. Jacobs’s webmaster, and “sexually,” (6), all used by Emma, usually describing comments by Jacobs, appear a total of 42 times.

The words “assault,” “assaulting,” “assaulted,” “assaults” appear 18 times. The phrase “sexual assault(s)” appears ten times. Jacobs uses the term 7 times, Emma 3. In Emma’s case, she mentions worrying about sexual assault in section 186 of the complaint, again in section 186.1, and in sharing her concerns with Jacobs in the audio transcription in section 186.2.

These figures are gleaned from just the first fifteen hypnosis sessions. David Jacobs conducted 37 hypnosis sessions with Emma Woods.

Jacobs’s use of the term “sexual assault(s)” appears in conjunction with his providing information to Emma, under hypnotic trance and in a highly suggestible state, on the behavior of hybrid aliens.

There appears to be a clear progression in the Complaint with regard to sexual assault and physical violence. Information sessions appear in the hypnosis sessions educating the subject on the fact that hybrids sexually and physically assault abductees. This continual reinforcement of the tendency of aliens to inflict trauma on their victims eventually pays off with the subject finally producing the experiences in subsequent sessions.

In other words, as documented in part 1 of Emma Woods’s Complaint, submitted to OHRP in August of 2009, the subject is encouraged to live out physical and sexual trauma in her hypnosis sessions. Encouragement also comes before the session begins, during her waking moments. The hypnosis practitioner in the case has no formal training in hypnosis nor any advanced degree in psychology or psychiatry.

In my opinion, and it is opinion and not fact, this constitutes a crime and requires legislative action by the State of Pennsylvania to make new law regulating the practice of hypnotic regression. Further, as Emma Woods is a foreign national and the possibility exists that her human rights may have been violated, the case ought to be referred to the Attorney General’s Office for formal investigation to determine its nature, independent of the affiliations, public and private, of the two parties.

David Jacobs is entitled to the presumption of innocence. He has not been charged with a crime. There is no law respecting hypnosis in the Consolidated Statutes of Pennsylvania, from a cursory online search, which means there is no law against what David Jacobs has done. My accounting of his conduct as criminal has no legal weight

THE SCIENCE NETWORK PODCASTS

- Barbara Bigby
- Selectivity and Symmetry in the Function of the Cerebral Cortex
- From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather
- From grandmother cells to tales of a great-grandfather
- Aviv Bergman

THE SCOOP SHEET

- Today’s News With Alternative Feeds and Think Tanks
- Terror Economies, Perverting Language, and Msogyny

NEWSJACK – TOPICAL SKETCH SHOW

DAKTARI IMPOSSIBLE

- UFOlogical Suspension of the Ethical
- Gawd Awful Time for Paranormal Cognoscenti
- Mindwebs
- The Uncomfortable Juxtaposition: Lennox versus Hawking
- The Interesting Juxtaposition: Is Mothman the Answer to Our Economic Woes?

KURZWELAI.NET ACCELERATING INTELLIGENCE NEWS

- How the brain organizes itself during development
- Why diets don’t work: starved brain cells eat themselves
- Evolutionary computation offers flexibility, insight
- Researchers develop webcam tool to improve posture of office workers
- Web search is ready for a shakeup, says researcher

SCIENCE: SCIENCE WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- Science Weekly podcast: Hearing is believing
- Science Weekly Podcast: The science of sleep | Alok Jha talks to Prof Russell Foster

THE PROCESS IS..

- Lies, Levitation, and Defamations Most Foul
- Remembering Lies: Interview with Psychiatric Abuse Victim Jeanette Bartha
- Electric
- Last Train to Transcendental
- Imagining the World without You

JULIAN BAGGIN

- Clemency still has its place
- The Shrink & The Sage: Independence
- Today - BBC Radio Four

FORUM NETWORK | PUBLIC LECTURES PODCAST

- Net Delusion: Dark Side of Internet Freedom
- Fab Lab: How to Make (Almost) Anything
- Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar
- Exorbitant Privilege: Rise, Fall and Future of the Dollar
- Understanding Porpoises Using Technology

BRITANNICA BLOG » THE FUTURIST

- Surviving the Great Recession’s Aftershocks
- Kyoto: City of a Thousand Futures

whatsoever. It constitutes an opinion, formed from review of pertinent literature. I'm convinced he intentionally did what he did. I am convinced he understood what he did was a mistake.

I am not yet convinced that he understood, because of his lack of training, beyond vague intuitions of doom, the criminal nature of his actions. However, had he an inkling that his actions were possibly criminal, given his conduct in the case, I am uncertain that would have been enough to stop him.

And so it is that I believe David Jacobs ought to publicly retire from the practice of hypnotic regression.

As should be seen from what follows, if no law exists making the conduct a crime, then that law should be created. Permission to publish transcriptions from Emma Woods's Complaint was asked for and received.

The subject of sexual relations with aliens was first broached by David Jacobs in just his fourth hypnosis session with Emma Woods. He asked if Emma remembered sexual relations with hybrids. In the session, Jacobs speculates, while Emma Woods is under hypnosis, whether, as a teenager, she lost her virginity to her boyfriend or as a result of a "procedure."

Later in that session, according to the Complaint, Jacobs informs her that the aliens wish to keep their sperm separate from human sperm. There seems to be a confusion here as to who the hypnotic subject is, who is to be providing the data and who is to be collecting it. The transcription appears at section 75 of the Complaint.

The character appearing as "Myself" in the quoted material should be understood hereout to be Emma Woods.

(75) During my fourth hypnosis session Dr. Jacobs engaged in suggestion[]

(75.2.1) While I was hypnotized Dr. Jacobs asked me whether I had sex as a teenager with an alien-human "hybrid"

Transcribed from point 0:34:13 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: I'm going to ask you a difficult question.

Myself [Emma Woods]: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: This is a difficult question. And while you're lying there, and you're saying this, "I just want to be normal", can you think back to other incidents within the previous three years when something like this [having sex with my boyfriend] might have happened to you, with them [hybrids], or - ?

Myself: Um.

Dr. Jacobs: In other words, is that why they're saying this, or - ?

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Or not? ... What I'm asking ultimately is do you remember *sexual relations* that happened to you when you were younger which is why they were saying, "Stick with us not with him"?

Myself: Yeah.

- [Nurturing the Spirit in the Age of the Web](#)
- [Reviving the Aral Sea](#)
- ["Waste Heat" a Potential Threat to the Climate](#)

UFO RELIGIONS

- [Probability of ET Life Arbitrarily Small](#)
- [Junk DNAs Evidence of ET Life in our Genes? Try Again.](#)
- [Could We Tell An Advanced ET from God?](#)
- [Geraldo Rivera Predicts Alien Contact in 25 Years](#)
- [Intelligent Panspermia News](#)

DR. DAVID CLARKE

- [Hilary Evans 1929-2011](#)
- [Aliens in the imagination](#)
- [UFOs and the Vietnam War](#)
- [Only joking folks!](#)
- [Lost in the land of Oz](#)

POINT OF INQUIRY

- [David Frum and Kenneth Silber - Conservatives and Science](#)
- [Jaco Gericke - Confessions of a Died-Again Christian](#)
- [Rebecca Watson - Skepticism and Feminism](#)
- [Richard Wiseman - Paranormality](#)

PHILOSOPHY BITES

- [Luc Bovens on Catholicism and HIV](#)
- [Peter Singer on Henry Sidgwick's Ethics](#)
- [National Portrait Gallery 'Picturing Philosophers' a free tour](#)
- [Victor Tadros on Punishment](#)
- [Alison Gopnik on the Imagination](#)

21ST CENTURY RADIO WITH DR. BOB HERONIMUS, PH.D.

- [Hour One with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Hour two with Nancy Jo Fox author of Liberties with Liberty The Fascinating History of America's Proudest Symbol - 7/17/11](#)
- [Dr. Zohara Hieronimus, Guest Host: Paul Rademacher author of A Spiritual Hitchhiker's Guide to the Universe](#)

BAD UFOS: SKEPTICISM, UFOS, AND THE UNIVERSE

- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 2](#)
- [ASkeptic does the MJFON Symposium - Part 1](#)
- ["Classic" UFO Photo from Belgian Wave - the Hoaxer Confesses](#)
- [Happy World UFO Disclosure Day!](#)
- ["UFO Mothership & Fleet over London"](#)

SKEPTICALITY: THE OFFICIAL PODCAST OF SKEPTIC MAGAZINE

- [Skepticity #162 - Bill Nye "the Science Guy" - Guest: William Sanford Nye](#)
- [Skepticity #161 - TAM9, Tyson From Outer Space - Interview: Neil deGrasse Tyson](#)
- [Skepticity #160 - Paranormality - Interview: Professor Richard Wiseman](#)
- [Skepticity #159 - From Darwin to The Ledge - Interview: Matthew Chapman](#)

THE SKEPTIC ZONE

- [The Skeptic Zone #145 - 29.July.2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #144 - 23.July.2011](#)

Dr. Jacobs: Now you have to understand that any -

Myself: I think so, yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Right. *Now I don't want to put that in your mind*, of course, but if you're saying that - when you're - the frame of reference that you're discussing this with them - like you're saying, "No, I want to be normal." Does this relate to events that happened on board the object beforehand?

Myself: Yeah. I have a sort of a feeling that - that - um - maybe that - that - um - that kind of human-looking person, or somebody, or - had -

Dr. Jacobs: I see. So there's more of a relationship with him than - perhaps maybe in the - ?

Myself: Maybe.

Dr. Jacobs: In the past? ... Because you did talk [on another occasion] about how, you know, when you were thirteen years old, you noticed that something was - that the hymen - your hymen was missing, and there was no particular reason for it that you could remember.

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: And, then the question then is, was this - *was this because of sexual relations, or was this because of a procedure that went on that caused it that was not a sexual event*, or was it because of some accidental thing that happened just in your normal life?

Myself: I think I did have - I think I - I think I did have sex with him before. I kind of remember it.

Dr. Jacobs: I see. Okay.
[Section 75, in Part 1 of the Complaint. Emphasis supplied.]

As regards separating human sperm from alien sperm:

(75.2.2) While I was hypnotized *Dr. Jacobs told me* that when I was a teenager "aliens" did not want my boyfriend's sperm to be mixed with "hybrids" sperm

Transcribed from point 1:42:03 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: They were in full prevent mode, so to speak. ... to prevent you from seeing someone else ... But I'll tell you the reason in a few minutes, but as you'll see.

Transcribed from point 1:48:32 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: *But the thing that you have to sort of know here, is that ... at sixteen they were using you for sort of a variety of reproductive events. And what they did not want to have is a mixing of sperm, you see, with the hybrid guy. Because the hybrid has his sperm and everybody else has got his, and so that's the thing to avoid. And I - and the reason I know this, is because of - I've had exactly this situation before.*

Myself: Right.

Dr. Jacobs: And one woman who was married, but the guy had had a vasectomy. ... And she was about to have an affair ... With some other guy she'd met. ... And they did not like that at all. ... They prevented it from happening.

Myself: Oh, really?

Dr. Jacobs: And they admonished her, and they -

Myself: God.

Dr. Jacobs: And, I mean, it was a big deal. A big deal. And you knew it was for - it was for that reason. ... *They - you know, this was - they got somebody here who they don't have to worry about somebody else's sperm, and - and this was just perfect for 'em, and so they want to keep it that way. In other words, it's for selfish purposes. ... That's the reason. ... It's not that they don't want you to have a relationship with somebody. They don't care about that. ... It's just the mixing of the sperm that bothered 'em.*

[Emphasis applied to "mini-lectures" and leading-type content; bracketed material is explanatory supplied by Emma Woods.]

In their Eleventh Hypnosis session, Jacobs told Emma

- [The Skeptic Zone #143 - 15.July2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #142 - 9.July2011](#)
- [The Skeptic Zone #141 - 1.July2011](#)

WHO SPILLED MORE BLOOD IN THE 20TH CENTURY?

Science
Religion
It is a tie
Not a fair question

Votes so far: 45

Bell closed

SEARCH THIS BLOG

powered by Google™

DISCLAIMER

The Author of this blog is not responsible for comments made in the comments section. Opinions expressed there, except those of the blogger, are solely owned by the commenters.

FRIDAY NIGHT COMEDY FROM BBC RADIO 4

- [FriComedy: Chain Reaction Rhys Thomas Simon Day](#)

UNBELIEVABLE?

- [Unbelievable? 30 Jul 2011 - Does Religion make people kill? - 30 July 2011](#)
- [Unbelievable? 23 Jul 2011 - Is there justification for abortion? - 23 July 2011](#)

DHARMA PODCAST

- [Joanna Harcourt-Smith & Joan Halifax 7-29-11: Do not squander your life](#)
- [Beate Stolte: 7-27-11: The Necessity of Finding One's Own Place and Path — Reflections on the Genjo Koan](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 8 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-24-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 7 of 8\)](#)
- [John Dunne: 7-23-11: Mind And Meditation: The Self Beyond Thought \(Part 6 of 8\)](#)

RADIO NATIONAL - THE SPIRIT OF THINGS

- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Una and Denis Glennon](#)
- [Play It Again God](#)
- [Global Village, or Techno-Tribalism?](#)
- [A Tale of Two Women in Jerusalem](#)
- [Couples: In the Light of Love - Dave and Bess Nungarrayi Price](#)

CONTEMPORARY PSYCHOANALYTIC MUSINGS

- [Safety and a Flexible Frame](#)
- [Richard Geist delineates Self from Ego Psychology](#)
- [Review of "Gaslighting, the Double Whammy, Interrogation, and other Methods of Covert Control in Psychotherapy and Analysis" by Theo Dorpat \(1996\).](#)
- ["Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?"](#)
- [An Introduction to "Prisoners of Childhood" by Alice Miller \(1981\)](#)

MONSTERTALK

Woods that aliens abused people. He did not receive that information from Emma Woods in response to an open-ended question. Under hypnotic trance, Emma was told by Jacobs that, when the hybrids find out people are working with him, his clients' children are threatened and that "every once in a while you'd see sexual assaults on people." Emma Woods is under hypnosis and the focus is on David Jacobs's reputation with the alien beings.

Note, in the following, David Jacobs's sketch of himself as the center of concern for the aliens and the final line about sexual assault:

(112.1) While I was hypnotized during my eleventh hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me that "aliens" threatened and abused people.

Transcribed from point 2:19:51 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: Now, when I started out doing this kind of work ... in 1986 ... *when they found out that people were talking to me ... they did, in fact, admonish them.* "Oh, that's not good. That's bad. ... you shouldn't do that." ... But it never amounted to a hill 'a beans. ... then I began to hear of more intense ... *when people were really working with me for long periods of time ... then some of them, a few of them, not – not all of them, but a few of them, were under intense pressure ... not to do anything. ... [N]ot to say anything. ... Even to the point of – of – of threats against their children. And once ... they started threatening the children, then that was usually the signal that they were never gonna see me again.* And, so ... it was still primarily in the matter of threats. ... And every once in a while you'd see *sexual assaults* on people.

[Section 112.1 of the Complaint, Part 1. Emphasis supplied.]

In that same session, Jacobs asked Emma Woods, at point 3:27:41 in the audio archive, "if there's a sexual thing." He is asking a question. It is clearly not open-ended:

Transcribed from point 3:27:41 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: Well, I guess – see, what I'm asking here is, *if there's a sexual thing is – is he – because he's angry, is he aggressive?* That's what I'm – I'm trying to get at.

Myself: I don't know. Maybe. I don't know. I'm finding it really hard to remember. It's like I've got this kind of block. It just feels very stressful. I do kind of remember that that happened.

[Section 119.1 of the Complaint, Part 1. Emphasis supplied.]

In the eleventh and the twelfth hypnosis sessions, David Jacobs told Emma Woods that hybrids assault people. In the eleventh session, at point 4:33:50 in the audio archive which was the source of transcription, Jacobs contaminates the session with material collected from another client, stating that the hybrids "threatened her [the other client], they have done a lot of sexual intimidation, they've thrown her around the room a bit."

Transcribed from point 4:33:50 in the [eleventh] [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Myself: Do they threaten her the same way, like with me? ...

Dr. Jacobs: They have threatened her, they have ... done a lot of *sexual intimidation*, they've thrown her around the room a bit.

Myself: Oh, God. ...

Dr. Jacobs: They brought out her daughter, and said – and intimidated ... that they could be having sex with her too. ... and that sort of stuff.

[Section 131.1 of the Complaint, Part 1.]

In the twelfth session, at point 2:21:34 in the audio archive, while Emma Woods is in hypnotic trance, Jacobs stated that angry hybrids sexually assault people. In the thirteenth

- [Ancient Alien Astronauts: Interview with Ken Feder](#)
- [Hayley Stevens' Lake Monster Mysteries](#)
- [Searching For Sasquatch](#)
- [The Zombie Autopsies](#)
- [Tracking the Manbeasts](#)

COUNT GOREDEVOL'S DEVILISH PODCASTS

- [The Bloody Ape & Jebediah Buzzard!](#)
- [Last man on Earth - Part 1](#)
- [Last Man on Earth - Part 2](#)
- [The Last Man on Earth - Part 3](#)

FILM: FILM WEEKLY | GUARDIAN.CO.UK

- [Film Weekly podcast: Dominic Cooper on playing the Devil's Double - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Alternative content in our cinemas - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Jamie Thraves on Treadle Jr and Bobby Fischer revisited – audio](#)
- [Film Weekly podcast: Jessica Chastain on Terrence Malick's Tree of Life - audio](#)
- [Film Weekly goes Huge with Johnny Harris](#)

DOCTOR MADBLOOD

- [Madblood Manor Tour](#)
- [Doctor Madblood's Podcast: "30 Years of Madness: The Podcast"](#)
- [Doctor Madblood's Podcast Episode #22: "Dimitri Slept Here"](#)

SUBSCRIBE TO

 Posts 

 Comments 

BLOG ARCHIVE

- ▶ [2011](#) (34)
- ▼ [2010](#) (59)
 - ▶ [December](#) (5)
 - ▶ [November](#) (3)
 - ▶ [October](#) (1)
 - ▼ [September](#) (6)
 - [The Multiple Personality Disorder of David Jacobs](#)
 - [A Call for Legislative Action in the State of Penn...](#)
 - [Crash of the Titans: Renunciation of a New Religio...](#)
 - [Be Ye Ghosts from Hell or Goblins Damned Ye Will I...](#)
 - [Alien-Human Hybrids: They Walk Among Us](#)
 - [Why the Wars can't be Won](#)
- ▶ [August](#) (2)
- ▶ [July](#) (6)
- ▶ [June](#) (11)
- ▶ [May](#) (13)
- ▶ [April](#) (12)

LABELS

- [Acting](#) (2)
- [alien abduction church](#) (6)
- [American Bullyism](#) (1)
- [anthropocentrism](#) (1)
- [anthropomorphism](#) (1)
- [argument from authority](#) (1)
- [Argument from Bloodbath](#) (1)
- [argument from ignorance](#) (2)
- [argument from omniscience](#) (1)
- [Attack on Iran](#) (1)
- [Banning](#) (2)
- [Blood Feud](#) (1)
- [Bozotopia](#) (5)
- [Centre for Global Research](#) (1)
- [Chronicles of the Dark Side](#) (1)
- [Couter-transference](#) (1)
- [Cyberbullying](#) (1)
- [Dan Burisch](#) (1)
- [David Icke](#) (1)
- [David Jacobs](#) (21)
- [Doctor Madblood](#) (1)
- [Doctor Who](#) (1)
- [Emma Woods](#) (31)
- [ETH](#) (3)
- [Eugenics](#) (2)

hypnosis session, involving other clients, Jacobs tells Emma Woods, *before induction*, that hybrids came and took one person into one room and the other into another and stated that there was “all this sexual stuff.”

Transcribed from point 2:21:34 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: We've seen pushing people down on the bed, pushing people onto the couch, and ... onto the floor. ... And, we've seen ... hair pulling. ... picking 'em up ... by the hair. Making 'em stand up by grabbing the hair ... when a hybrid is really, really, really angry. ... And, we've seen sexual assault[] with angry, angry, hybrids. [Section 146.1 of the Complaint, Part 1.]

Transcribed from point 00:10:55 in [Hypnosis Session 13](#) (mp3) – Excerpts 1:

Dr. Jacobs: ... they suddenly realized, when they drove out, that there was ... somebody following them ... really closely. Really, really, really closely. ... [T]he woman who was driving was so angry that these people were ... tail-gaiting [sic] 'em ... driving really closely to 'em. Now, when she got to a red light, she jammed on the brakes, she opened ... the door, and she ran back ... to this car ... and the ... person who I was actually ... having a session with, was saying, “Don't do that! Don't do it! What's the matter with you? Are you crazy?” ... the woman ... who was driving ... came back and said, “Everything is okay.” ... “We're gonna follow them now.” ... “We're gonna follow them.” ... [T]his other car behind them got in front of them ... and then they drove ... all the way to ... an abandoned NASA station. There was ... a base there, and there was ... an abandoned building, and they drove right on to it. ... And, these hybrids came ... and took one in one room ... took one in the other room. *There was all this sexual stuff*, and this stuff and that stuff ... [Section 159.1 of the Complaint, Part 1. Emphasis supplied.]

In the thirteenth hypnosis session, Jacobs told Emma Woods, at point 1:46:21, while she was under hypnotic trance, that there was a lot of sexual contact between hybrids and humans, and that, at point 1:51:59 in the archive of the session, he'd heard of perhaps “a hundred sexual encounters,” and that they can be aggressive and hostile. He then goes into a rendition of the various sexual positions the hybrids assume.

Transcribed from point 1:46:21 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: *I do know that we see a lot of sexual contact between hybrids and humans ...*

Transcribed from point 1:51:59 in the [hypnosis session](#) (mp3):

Dr. Jacobs: *I've heard ... maybe a hundred sexual encounters ... with hybrids. ... maybe not that many, but a lot. ... and sometimes they can be fairly aggressive ... and even hostile in a sense. ... And sometimes ... you get a sense that there's really unsocialized hybrids around who'll just – who've figured out that they can do anything they want to do to anybody and they'll never remember it, so they just go ahead and do it. ... [A]nd we do find that from time to time. ... [I]n terms of the sexual act, we find ... any number of variations here, too. ... In other words, there's the sort of standard man on top of female, female on top of man, that sort of stuff. ... in one situation, they threw a woman down ... on a table, that is to say she was bent over on a table ... and intercourse ... was done that way. ... and there's things like that that happen, too.*

[Section 162.1 of the Complaint., Part 1. Emphasis supplied.]

Again, there seems to be a confusion as to who should be providing the data.

A good hypnotic subject responds to the suggestions given her by the hypnotist. In the thirteenth session, Emma responds to the coaching she's been given, detailing an account of hybrids threatening and abusing her. They were going to take everything from her flat. They threaten her family. They smash her head into the floor. They're going to kill her mother. (From section 165, the Complaint, Part 1; [audio](#) (mp3) contains material additional to that transcribed in the next three citations.)

Transcribed from point 2:51:00 in the hypnosis session:

- [Extradition Act of 2003](#) (2)
- [Faith-based communities](#) (2)
- [False Memory](#) (3)
- [falsifiability](#) (1)
- [fermi paradox](#) (1)
- [Fluffcasts](#) (1)
- [Flying+Saucer+Nukes Psyops](#) (1)
- [Friday Fakes](#) (4)
- [Gameplaying](#) (1)
- [Gary McKinnon](#) (2)
- [Gender Issues](#) (1)
- [Girl Who Saved Her Own Life](#) (2)
- [Grays](#) (2)
- [Ham and Egger Review](#) (6)
- [Human Subjects Research](#) (2)
- [Humanity Plus](#) (1)
- [Hybridization-Dehumanization](#) (1)
- [Hyperbolic Chamber](#) (2)
- [Hypnosis](#) (7)
- [hypnotic regression fraud](#) (4)
- [Immigration Laws](#) (1)
- [Jeff Ritzman](#) (1)
- [Jeremy Vaeni](#) (1)
- [Jungian Compensation Theory](#) (2)
- [Karl Marx](#) (1)
- [Madonna Complex](#) (1)
- [Mary Magdalene](#) (1)
- [mass hypnosis](#) (1)
- [Matt Graeber](#) (10)
- [MLABs](#) (1)
- [Miracle Man](#) (2)
- [MMORPG](#) (1)
- [Moderation policy](#) (1)
- [monkey trial](#) (1)
- [moon anomalies](#) (1)
- [MPD](#) (3)
- [Multiple Personality Disorder](#) (4)
- [Munchausen Syndrome By Proxy](#) (1)
- [Music](#) (1)
- [Nazi Super Race Fantasy](#) (1)
- [New Normal](#) (1)
- [Night Terror](#) (2)
- [Nora D'Amico](#) (1)
- [Paracast](#) (3)
- [Paranoid Pomo](#) (1)
- [Paratopia](#) (2)
- [Perspectivalism](#) (1)
- [Psychosocial Hypothesis](#) (1)
- [Ray Kurzweil](#) (1)
- [Regan Lee](#) (1)
- [Reptilians](#) (1)
- [Repto-Religionists](#) (1)
- [Satan Shrinks of Texas](#) (2)
- [Satanic Ritual Abuse](#) (2)
- [Saucers of Mass Destruction](#) (1)

Myself: ... the other guy was ... grabbing me by my hair now. ... And it was just like to pull me round the place. He's ... taking me over to ... my computer ... He's ... telling me he's going to smash my head in and all that.

Dr. Jacobs: They're saying they're going to do that?

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay.

Myself: Like ... do I want to die, and all that. ... they had me ... down on the floor ... he was ... pushing my head down on the floor ... he was saying ... I'm going to die, and I should think about it.

Transcribed from point 2:53:07 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: So, they're ... smashing your head into the floor, and saying, "Do you want to die? Is that what you want?"...

Myself: He's ... dragging me round the corner ... into the passageway ... he ... had me down on the floor in the passageway ... he was ... on top of me ... It was ... like I was in real trouble, you know. Like saying I was really in—I felt like I was ... really in danger or something.

Transcribed from point 2:54:15 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: But, you get the sense they're trying to instill in you that you're in great danger.

Myself: Yeah. ... They're saying ... my mom's going to die ...

Dr. Jacobs: Your mother's going to die where? ...

Myself: Like they're going to ... kill my mother ...
[Section 165.1 in the Complaint, Part 1.]

At point 3:26:32 in the audio archive cited in the transcription, elaborating on the hybrids' threat and responding to Emma's account above, David Jacobs tells Emma Woods, while she is under hypnosis, in interpreting the behavior of the hybrids, that she's going to die, her family is going to die, and that he is going to die.

Transcribed from point 3:26:32 in the hypnosis session:

Myself: I think I was just scared.

Dr. Jacobs: Well, if there's anything that's going to scare anybody, this was it. ... I mean ... this is capital A-1 fear. ... it's hard to imagine ... a more fearful situation without actually anything happening ... other than dragging you around. ... I mean, compared to what they're saying, that was pretty tame stuff, but, the fact is, though, that ... you gotta remember that ... this is fear that's directly injected right into your brain. ... And you're not thinking to yourself, "Oh, hell, they won't do that. That's stupid."

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: You're just trying to fend off yourself ... and yet they have the upper hand in this, and ... they can ... just pour it in, in every conceivable way. You're going to die. Your family's going to die. I'm going to die. They're going to destroy your equipment. They're going to rip up your house. They're going to do this. They're going to do that. Everything is going to be gone. ... I mean, it's on and on and on and on and on. ... it's almost Orwellian in a sense.
[Section 165.1, in the Complaint, Part 1.]

Stunningly, at point 3:31:58 in the audio archive cited, Jacobs states: "It's almost like you're in *Abu Ghraib prison* in Iraq." A second later, he declares "*It's just torture.*"

Transcribed from point 3:31:58 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: So, this is two hours of threats. ... It's almost like you're in *Abu Ghraib prison* in Iraq. You know what I mean?

Myself: Yeah. ...

Dr. Jacobs: *It's just torture.*

- Science Fiction (1)
- scientific egomania (2)
- Scopes Trial (1)
- Second Skin (1)
- Self-Injury (1)
- Sideways Worlds (2)
- Singularity (1)
- skepticism (1)
- skeptics (3)
- Sleep Disorders (1)
- Source A (1)
- Special Compartment in Hell (4)
- Spooky Chronister (1)
- Spring Shadows Glen (1)
- SRA (1)
- Starlost (1)
- Super Bowl Predictions (1)
- Survival of the Fittest (1)
- T-Rex Reptilians (1)
- The Final Solution (1)
- The Pickerings (1)
- Thugs of the Universe (1)
- Toxic Slop (1)
- Transhumans (2)
- TV Horror Hosts (1)
- UFO Papacy (1)
- UFO Religion (1)
- Ufology (1)
- UFOLOGY (2)
- Virginia Creepers (1)
- World War III (1)

Myself: Yeah. It's ... like they just want to break you down, hey.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah. That's what they want to do. That's exactly it, break you down. They just want to break you down.
[Emphases supplied; Transcription from section 165.1, in the Complaint, Part 1.]

Everything a hypnotist says while his subject is in hypnotic trance is potentially **iatrogenic**. Everything he says, while his client is in a highly suggestible state, should be understood as suggestive. Trained hypnotherapists exercise strict discipline in the comments they make while the client is in trance. Such a practitioner would be guilty of criminal negligence had they indulged in the conduct above.

In the above, had David Jacobs the proper training, he would have known that he could be understood as asking Emma Woods to live-out episodes of torture under hypnotic regression.

Critics of Emma Woods, including David Jacobs, have intimated that Emma Woods is crazy. (See the Addendum in the Comments below.) Would not obediently living-out episodes of torture, per hypnotic suggestion, be generative of psychological disturbance?

Jacobs doesn't confine his lectures to the formal hypnosis sessions. Many times in the Complaint, in what could be viewed as priming, he holds court on the sexual behavior of aliens. At point 00:00:46 in the audio, transcribed from hypnosis Session 14 at section 187 of the Complaint, Jacobs notes that "I've heard so much sexual stuff with hybrids." At section 188.1, he tells Emma there have been episodes of "pure sexual assault. And, sexual assault from ... *three of them at once*."

(187) Transcribed from point 00:00:46 in [Hypnosis Session 14](#) – Excerpts 1:
Dr. Jacobs: I've heard so much sexual stuff with hybrids ... and you gotta remember, these hybrids really are ... more human ... than they're not, obviously, and ... therefore they're going to have a *wide range of sexual desires*, and – it's just built into 'em. And ... they have this situation where ... they know they can do any damn thing that they please, and you're going to forget all about it. It's this kind of total power situation. And ... they're just not socialized properly, and ... the sense of morality doesn't seem to be there. I think that ... the sense of morality is gone because they can abduct people at will, and they can bend them to their will. *They don't ... really need a sense of morality. ... There's no repercussions. ...* You know what I mean? They don't live ... in a world where's there's consequences. ... At least not in our world where there's consequences. Maybe in their own world there is ... so there's no reason to be socialized for our customs ... for ... our sensitivities.
[Emphasis supplied. Transcription from section 187 of the Complaint, Part 1, hypnosis session 14]

Transcribed from point 00:02:03 in [Hypnosis Session 14](#) (mp3) – Excerpts 1:
Dr. Jacobs: There have been episodes ... of pure sexual assault. And, sexual assault from ... *three of them at once*.

Myself: God.

Dr. Jacobs: And ... it's absolutely pure sexual assault. Not that she remembered anything the next day. But ... the way it was described ... you could just tell ... these guys didn't care what they did to this woman. ... Because ... she was going to forget anyway. So they could do anything they wanted to her. And they did, you know. ... And I've heard that from ... several people.
[Section 188.1, in the Complaint, Part 1. Emphasis supplied.]

It is clear that Jacobs follows up on this particular priming session in the subsequent hypnosis. At [point 00:24:49](#) (mp3), transcribed at Section 190 of the Complaint, Emma Woods is

now in hypnotic trance. Talking about a hybrid, Jacobs tells Emma that a hybrid they've been talking about "basically wants to have sex."

Dr. Jacobs: So ... he basically wants to have sex, and he does. ... Now when - after he's pushed you to the ground, and he's pulled your hair, and he's done this, and he's done that, and then he wants to have sex and all that ... is this some sort of a loving situation or is this purely mechanical?

Myself: I don't know. I can't remember. I just remember him standing there and ... I can remember him ... pulling my top off and that, but I can't really remember anything else. ...

Dr. Jacobs: Okay. Well, what I'm asking is whether he's doing this to punish you, or to satisfy himself. See what I mean?
[Section 190 in the Complaint Part 1, transcription of hypnosis session 14.]

Finally, at point 2:35:50 in the audio archive of the fifteenth **hypnosis session** (mp3), transcribed at section 214 of the Complaint, Emma Woods lives out a hypnotically simulated sexual assault.

Transcribed from point 2:35:50 in the hypnosis session:

Myself: I couldn't move. I think he made it so I ... couldn't move. ... I think he's ... going to take my pajama trousers off.

Transcribed from point 2:39:07 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: So ... what's happening now when they pull your trousers off?
...

Myself: I think he took my ... top off as well. ... he's going to get on top of me. That guy.

Dr. Jacobs: The other guy?

Myself: The guy I always see a lot.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah. Oh, you mean your friend, so to speak.

Myself: Yeah. He's just going to, like, you know - it just felt really - it doesn't feel, kind of like, really good. Sorry, I'm quite scared.

Dr. Jacobs: Sure. Well, is he ... saying anything to you while he does this?

Myself: Just ... a bad feeling. Like, not the usual kind of - like normally it's ... okay, kind of thing, but it felt - I just felt quite scared.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah.

Myself: They seem to be saying to me again ... I was going to stop the record. They were going to stop me. ... It was like I was powerless, or whatever.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah. Well, are they letting you speak? Are they letting you talk?

Myself: Not really. I wasn't really talking, I don't think.

Dr. Jacobs: In other words, they're not asking you a question, or waiting for a response?

Myself: No. ... They seem to be saying ... I was going to have horrible experiences. That kind of thing almost.

Dr. Jacobs: Like what?

Myself: I think that guy actually ... had sex ... all that usual stuff, but I don't think it was that nice, really. I don't think it was good like normal, you know? I don't think it was a pleasant experience, or anything.

Dr. Jacobs: You mean he was doing something different?

Myself: I don't think they ... did anything really different, but ... maybe it wasn't as good. It wasn't – it didn't feel good.
[Section 214.1 in the Complaint, Part 1.]

At about point 2:39:07, the following exchange occurs:

Dr. Jacobs: Well, are you feeling any discomfort? Any pain?

Myself: Yeah. Sorry, I just feel embarrassed now.

Dr. Jacobs: I'm sorry, what was that?

Myself: I just feel quite embarrassed to hell. Sorry.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh. Well, what I'm ... thinking of is, what caused that pain? What caused that scraping ... feeling? I mean, is he –

Myself: It could be, because I did - yeah. I think it was actually painful.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh, okay. Because I'm thinking is he doing anything different from which will purposely cause pain, you see?

Myself: I think normally ... he ... looks in my eyes ... and I ... participate type of thing. ... I don't think there was really much of that really. ... I didn't really feel ... it was a good experience.

Dr. Jacobs: Right. Well ... this is a different situation. 'Cause if you're not participating, in a sense, if he did not cause the participation – now, I'm going to be coldly clinical here ... so you're going to have to forgive me – that means often times that there's no lubrication, and that can be painful ... intercourse. And that happens quite often, of course ... with just men and women normally. And ... if it's strenuous enough, he can cause pain that way ... that you might feel later. ... If that's what you're getting at.

Myself: Maybe that's what – he's saying I'm going to have bad experiences. ... It probably was that.

Dr. Jacobs: Well, of course I'm just guessing ... but if you're not ... if they did not cause you to feel sexual arousal, and the normal physiological events that happen as a result of that ... then you can have – then it can be difficult.

Myself: It was painful. I'm sorry, I was kind of embarrassed, but it was, actually.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh, Okay. ... Well, does he say anything while he's doing this, or - ?

Myself: I think it was just before. Like, he was saying that ... I was going to have bad experiences, or something.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh, I see. ... Well, what does he say when he finishes?

Myself: I'm not sure, but I think I had a sense that I was ... bringing a lot of trouble to myself. ... I think he said that I must stop. It's going to be bad for me and all that.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah.

Myself: I think he was saying that he was going to hurt me, and all that, as well. He can become quite threatening at times.

Dr. Jacobs: Sure

The Trauma-Based Alien Abduction Hypnotic Regressionist: A New breed of Perpetrator?

These episodes culminate in the request by Jacobs that Emma Woods ship him her soiled **panties** (mp3 file) in a Ziploc storage bag, ostensibly to test the alien-human hybrid sperm thereon, subject it to DNA analysis, and confirm that alien human hybrids are conducting a hybridization project on the Planet Earth.

Were her panties evidence of alien-human hybridization or a trophy for David Jacobs? In other words, was what David Jacobs did to Emma Woods a new form of sexual violation? Is

a hypnotic regressionist criminally responsible if the characters he creates to populate a hypnotic subject's mind commit crimes against that subject, crimes the subject feels as intensely, vividly real?

If simulated assault occurs because of the hypnosis, an assault that absent hypnosis would not have been simulated or experienced, doesn't that imply the hypnotist is guilty of assault?

If the reality of alien human hybrids, at least as created by Jacobs, is a fraud, then it certainly would follow that the experience should be a fraud as well. Hypnotic regression ought not produce ill effects if the content is fictional.

If that is the case, why is it apparent that the quality of Emma Wood's life took a decided downturn during this period? Would she really have suffered that much, as detailed on her [website](#), had she not taken her sessions seriously?

Is it artifice or artifact and, in the context of hypnotic regression, is there any appreciable difference between the two in the intensity of the experience? If people seeking to recover memories of childhood abuse through hypnotic regression are at risk of retraumatizing themselves, and the value of reliving trauma is a subject of debate in the psychiatric literature, isn't an abductee, seeking to recover memories hidden in "missing time," at risk, *if their experiences are not true abductions*, of traumatizing themselves, the first go around, by consulting a practitioner whose signature themes are physical and sexual trauma visited upon human beings by aliens?

Why is there a clear progression from the fourth hypnosis session's probing concerning sexual relations with hybrids to consistent reinforcement of the sexual assault theme in his mini-lectures to her while she was under hypnosis to Emma's final caving-in and production of a sexual assault, to Jacobs's request for panties?

Why promote lived simulations of emotional, physical, and sexual trauma in your hypnotic subjects?

Why?

A Psychoanalytic Forensic: Motive, Projective Identification and Locating the Perpetrator

There is a kind of theory tucked in the above section as to motive: That, in point of fact, *David Jacobs is an alien-human hybrid*.

If there ever was a case that wreaked of late-Freudian presentation, it is the Emma Woods Case.

In the beginning, God created man and then man returned the compliment.

I believe I've shown, however crudely, that Jacobs heavily

redacts his client's experience to suit his hybrid **hermeneutics**. In the following, I'm going to ask the reader to imagine that, when David Jacobs talks about the hybrids, he's actually describing *himself*.

Is it, for instance, hybrid aliens Jacobs talks about here, or is it a description of the Hopkins-Jacobs School of Alien Abduction?

Transcribed from **point 1:40:38** (mp3 file) in the [fourteenth] hypnosis session:
Dr. Jacobs: And what they've done is, they have simply taken people and incorporated them into their plans.
[Section 210.1 in the Complaint, Part 1.]

Was Emma's narrative respected or was it abducted by Jacobs and incorporated into his plan?

In a conversation David Jacobs had with Emma Woods, archived on her website as **Audio Clip 8**, at point 44:54 in the recording, he says the following:

Dr. Jacobs: You can't imagine how many hours I've put in with you and how many hours I've thought about you and dealt with the situation that you had. I mean, it consumes my life to a large extent.

Not coincidentally, the hybrids appear to have expended a similar amount on energy on Emma's behalf:

Transcribed from point 3:06:12 in the [fifteenth] **hypnosis session** (mp3):
Dr. Jacobs: Because, you have to remember, they've got a lot of time and energy invested in you, and they can't just, you know, incapacitate –

Myself: Annihilate me, or whatever. ...

Dr. Jacobs: What's the point? There's no point in that. It's pointless. ... I mean, they want your help. ... If they get rid of you, so to speak ... then they can't have your help at all. If they get rid of ... me, well, then they can still have your help. So, threatening you doesn't make any sense whatsoever. See what I mean?

Myself: Yeah. ... I think ... if they did something to you, I think the subconscious – even in the altered state, the subconscious resistance would be so big, you know ... from me and probably, I'm sure, the other people that you work with, that ... it would be really counterproductive, I would say.

Dr. Jacobs: It probably would be, I would imagine. I hope so. ... But ... as you said before, and as ... I've said, and as everybody says ... it does seem like empty threats, because ... you're really ... a person of value to them, you know?

Myself: The hamster's had a lot of input.

Dr. Jacobs: [In a corrective tone of voice] Yeah, but at the same time, they do drag you around, and sexual assault, and all the rest of that kind of stuff. ... So ... there is a lot of physical intimidation to get you to comply. I mean ... there's no doubt about that.

[Section 218.1 in the Complaint, Part 1. Bracketed material interpretation of the author of this post.]

I read the above transcription against the audio of the session. There is a definite corrective tone to Jacobs's voice.

There is a bundle of stuff going on in the above excerpt. Not only is Jacobs's project and the Hybrid project operating incestuously, it also proves that the hybrids don't exist, as Emma Woods has concluded.

Jacobs bases his proof of the existence of the hybrids on the threats he received via instant messaging, threats Emma Woods contends were hoaxed, extended by another client.

This excerpt clearly proves there is no threat. At least as long as Emma Woods remains Jacobs's client.

Emma Woods has recently been posing the question that, well, if David Jacobs feels threatened, why hasn't he packed up and left town? Why hasn't he Dick Cheneyed himself to an undisclosed location?

The hybrids can't kill Emma because they need her. In actuality, this is a narrative about the entire project. Nothing will happen to Emma because Jacobs would lose his book material. Nothing will happen to Jacobs because he must write the book to get any recompense at all for his efforts, which were energy-draining and extensive.

As this establishes the narrative as a fiction, the notion that real, physical and sexual assault is occurring, as recovered memory, is subsequently refuted. This excerpt gives the lie to Jacobs's identification of alien human hybrids as being the source of the sexual assault experiences reported by Emma.

Jacobs knew something was wrong here. He makes an incoherent attempt to salvage his project at the close of the excerpt by reimporting the sexual assault and physical intimidation scenario. But he cannot state that they are empty threats on the one hand and then attempt to reestablish their validity in the very next breath.

He's realizing, far too late, that he is *jeopardizing the coherence* of his narrative. If the motives of the hybrids conflict, the narrative is incoherent. And if the narrative is incoherent, the existence of Jacobs's alien human hybrids can be called into question.

If the hybrid project and David Jacobs's project sound the same, it's because they are. The hybrid project equals David Jacobs's project because the hybrids equal David Jacobs.

The hypnotic experience of sexual and physical assault, however, subtracting the recovered memory controversy, can be vividly real.

To state it differently, borrowing from Alfred Molina, the *alien human hybrids are parts of David Jacobs's personality*.

He is therefore guilty of vicarious sexual and physical assault. And since it is not hands-on and vicarious, involving a sophisticated form of phone sex--these are sessions conducted over the telephone--it is an artifact in the history of human sexual perversion.

Conclusions

The psychological violation of Emma Woods was complete at the close of the fifteenth hypnosis session. There were 22 sessions remaining.

Not only was it psychological abuse, involving episodes of simulated torture, but it appears to have been a very

sophisticated brand of vicarious sexual assault accomplished by David Jacobs's psychological **avatars**, the Alien Human Hybrids, committed in the interest of producing material for Jacobs's new book--Emma was to be featured in it, the Complaint relates--and quite possibly to satiate subterranean sadistic impulses in Jacobs's own mind.

We should not forget that, as projected components of his own mind, the alien human hybrids are gods David Jacobs must believe in or he must question his own existence. If their existence can be questioned then his internal psychological framework can be questioned.

He worships the gods he created because *they be he*. It is a faith of a profound, multi-determined, and intricate narcissism. Every rage, every sadistic impulse, every introjected countertransference from the client, spewed out as reality like a Jackson Pollack extravaganza.

Everything is grist for the mill for Jacobs's projections.

Standing alone, the simulated sexual assault itself, encouraged as it was, should be ground enough for referral to the State Attorney General, since Emma Woods is a foreign national. But remember, David Jacobs gave Emma Woods a **posthypnotic suggestion** (mp3 file) implying that she had multiple personality disorder in an effort to evade the capture of his person by hybrids (as pointed out in the post at his website entitled, **The Defamation Campaign**).

Readers are invited to compare Jacobs's characterization of the MPD episode below with the audio of his hypnotic suggestion above.

I thought long and hard about what, if anything, I could do. I decided that one approach would be, during hypnosis, to give Alice [the condescending name given to Emma Woods by Jacobs] ideas to think about that would throw "mind-readers" off my trail. I explained my thinking to her before I acted. Then at one point during a hypnosis session I told her that as a researcher I was interested not in abductions but in multiple personality disorder. I chose this because it is believable--the disorder has characteristics in common with the effects of abductions. I hoped that she would be able to keep this idea in her mind and convey it to her abductors, thereby relieving some of the pressure both she and I felt. At no time did I think that Alice had multiple personality disorder, and of course neither did she. She knew exactly what was happening and why.--David Jacobs
[From the Defamation Campaign as posted September 28th, 2010. PDF archive taken of the website September 28th, 2010.]

That bizarre act could have caused irreparable damage to her, especially if she had sought and secured medication to treat the condition. It is alleged in some circles that psychotropic medication permanently alters brain chemistry.

Either of these acts alone constitute severe abuse. In combination, the two events confirm that Emma Woods was violated.

It also lays responsibility for her emotional difficulties, so arrogantly complained of by Jacobs and his defenders, solely at the foot of the abuse she endured as Jacobs's client. It

smells to heaven for a hypnosis practitioner, *with no psychological training*, and lacking expertise in the appropriate therapeutic countermeasures usually deployed when clients are reliving trauma, to create psychological trauma in his subject and then pronounce her crazy.

That is the purest definition of sadism.

It is Jacobs's contempt for the alien-abduction narrative and, by extension, for the purported abductee, which forms the hybrid narrative in his mind and it is this contempt that helps him build the abusive scenarios he pollutes his subjects' minds with. Because the hybrids are in a sense projections of Jacobs's hatred of the paranormal world, they behave hatefully toward the hypnotic subject.

"[T]he problem here," Jacobs says to Emma in the eighteenth [hypnosis session](#) (mp3 file), transcribed in part two of her Complaint, "is that there's never been any standardization of methodology. ... [T]here's no accrediting organization to teach people how to do this kind of research. There's no standards. There's ... no standards ... of protocols. ... [N]obody knows how to act. And ... there's no organization that oversees things ... that provides ... some sort of a floor for people to stand on."

The point is, like the Hybrids, *Jacobs* has no standards. *Jacobs* doesn't know how to act.

"[E]verybody knows that I'm just some guy off the street," he says to Emma, while she is under hypnotic trance at point 04:01:50 in the audio archive of the eighteenth session, transcribed in part 2 of the Complaint, "I'm just a [rank amateur](#).(mp3 file)"

Why the sexual focus? Is it because Jacobs must derive some vicarious satisfaction from the scenario, given the amount of time he invests in weaving the narrative in his client's mind? Is that why sexual themes are encouraged? Is this is why the sessions, on a few occasions, take on the contours of a chapter torn from the kama sutra or a page ripped from a copy of Penthouse Letters? Or is it that abduction narratives only sell books if they read like Harold Robbins novels.

Why the emphasis on assault?

Is it because Jacobs possesses a great deal of animosity toward the whole project and his hypnotic subjects that episodes of violent abuse emerge in the hypnotic narrative?

Secretly, the theory holds, David Jacobs regrets the day he ignored the advice of J. Allen Hynek, which advice was to stay away from investigating alien abduction using hypnotic regression. He didn't take it. He could have had a wonderful life as a history professor. He could even create historical narratives on the UFO phenomenon.

When he disgustedly complains that people are out to ruin his life when they question his methods in alien abduction research, David Jacobs is really cursing the day he decided to conduct it.

His behavior in the Emma Woods Case, as articulated by the hybrids, is David Jacobs's expression of his true regard for both the tool of hypnotic regression and the phenomenon of alien abduction.

Dr. Jacobs, those hybrids out there. *They're you.*

You've **introjected** the abduction narrative into your psyche and conflated it with your own disgust for it. Then you spit the entire conflict back out onto the client using the abduction narrative to dramatize it.

Unfortunately, you plied your trade with a hypnotic subject who was just too brilliantly alert for you, possibly due to your erectile dysfunction, if you'll pardon the late-Freudian joke, with regard to establishing a deep enough induction, and who was more aware than you were, *even in an altered state of consciousness.*

For her part, Emma Woods doesn't completely buy the late-Freudian analysis.

"In my opinion, Dr. Jacobs was not a bumbling man who was faced with transference and did not know how to deal with it," Emma Woods said in an e-mail. "He is a calculating manipulative abuser, and I think that he deliberately used me in a sick game for his own gratification. I think that there is a world of difference between those two things. The emotional distress that I went through was not caused by him failing to recognize transference between us, but by the psychological abuse that he subjected me to. I believe that what he is faced with now is not the result of him not knowing how to deal with transference, but rather, the truth of what he did to me, and to his other researcher [sic] subjects, coming out into the open."

Sources

Emma Woods. *Details of the Violations of My Protections as a Human Research Subject of Temple University (Part 1)*. Complaint to Division of Compliance Oversight, Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) Excerpts published on permission from Emma Woods.

Emma Woods. *Details of the Violations of My Protections as a Human Research Subject of Temple University (Part 2)*. Complaint to Division of Compliance Oversight, Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) Excerpts published on permission from Emma Woods.

Follow ups

[The Emma Woods Website](#)

[The David Jacobs Website](#)

[The Self-Hypnosis of David Jacobs](#)

[Projective Identification](#)

The Forthcoming UFO Magazine Article on Emma Woods by Jeremy Vaeni (as yet unpublished)

[The Collected Works of Jeremy Vaeni and Jeff Ritzmann](#)

For more on the Hopkins-Jacobs School, Hopkins Wing, see:

[The George Hansen Website](#)

Melanie Klein. *Envy and Gratitude*. Free Press, New York (1984)

[All Emma Woods Posts](#)

Cultural comparisons:

[Identity](#)

[Forbidden Planet](#)

Posted by Gary Haden at 11:12 AM 

Labels: [David Jacobs](#), [Emma Woods](#), [Human Subjects Research](#), [hypnotic regression fraud](#)

43 comments:

 **Gary Haden** said..

Addendum

David Jacobs bases his analysis of Emma Wood's sanity on his own paranoid-schizoid fantasy.

I proofread the quote Emma uses below against a PDF of Jacobs's Defamation Campaign post taken 9-28-2010 and found it to be accurate.

In an e-mail, in which David Jacobs arrogantly calls her "Alice" in a deliberate attempt to frame her as a figure from Wonderland, Emma Woods wrote:

"In his defamatory statement, Dr. Jacobs says that my hypnotically retrieved "memories" (although he does not acknowledge that they were hypnotically retrieved) are an example of my "troubling behavior". He then lists a series of events from my hypnotically retrieved "memories" as evidence of this."

"This is what he said:"

"Another example of Alice's troubling behavior comes from her interactions with her alleged abductors. [Whose existence, as contended above, is fictional.] In the following section I provide some context to make this clear. [Emma: If he was really providing context the first thing that he should have said was that they were hypnotically retrieved "memories", and that Elizabeth had the same "memories".]

Reported Threats from her Abductors

...

First, some background on Alice's abductors: From the beginning of our sessions, she described human-looking aliens ("hybrids") who were visiting her almost on a nightly basis. [The sources for Emma's descriptions of the hybrids are completely contaminated by Jacobs's hermeneutic redaction and since they do not exist, as contended above, and as Jacobs arguably knows they don't exist, the basis for the "background" here is fraudulent.]

...

[Note the almost one-to-one relationship between the hybrid threat to David Jacobs's life and Emma Woods's threat to his hybrid narrative. Jacobs takes Emma's internal doubts about working with him and tellingly reformulates them into Hybrid threats on his person. He will use the below to justify his diagnosis of Emma as crazy and to rationalize his insane deployment of a hypnotic suggestion she had MPD.]

[The motivating factor in both is not in anyway concern for his client, but concern for the viability of his fictional universe and his central place in it.]

One set of threats was directed at writing her Record. According to Alice, the hybrids visiting her conveyed displeasure that she was keeping a record. She told me that these hybrid beings wanted her to stop writing about her experiences. Of the 50 abduction events that occurred after we started working together, 32 of them included warnings from her abductors about writing her Record.

A second set of threats was directed at her working with me. Alice reported that when her abductors discovered she was talking to me, [see the above transcription from section 112.1 calling the source of this report into question and Jacobs occupies a special place in the hybrid project] they showed serious concern and wanted to know everything about me. They began to pressure her intensely to stop working with me. She said her abductors threatened her with physical punishment if she did not comply with their wishes; [Emma is in fact not complying with Jacobs] later she described the actual physical violence they committed against her for not obeying them. She also told me about threats that her abductors made against me directly; these threats included scenarios in which I was dead. Of the 50 abduction events that occurred after we started working together, 24 of them included warnings from her abductors about working with me."

There is evidence Jacobs did not take any of these threats seriously which exposes his unbelief, as Emma Woods rightly contends. As the reality of the hybrids is in serious question, and the trend, in Jacobs's handling of Emma's material, is toward redaction, to fit the behavior of his psychological avatars, the above attempt to prove Emma's insanity by an analysis of her interaction with his fantasms is itself insane.

It is sadistic.

September 29, 2010 6:59 AM

 **Gary Haden said..**

While Jacobs defamation claim is necessarily based on faith in his creations, Emma's claim is based on actual evidence. A defamation claim must prove the contested allegations untrue. Emma can show that David Jacobs's hybrids don't exist. Therefore they are untrue. His accusation that she is insane, based on the existence of his hybrids, is similarly untrue.

September 29, 2010 7:20 AM

 **Gary Haden said..**

On September 28, 2010, I added transcription to the original post and attached mp3 files corresponding to the transcribed material, except for a couple of instances.

September 29, 2010 7:34 AM



Emma@ufoalienabductee.com said..

Gary, thank you so much for taking the time to go through my submission to the Office for Human Research Protections. I am very grateful to you for helping to make this abuse known about in the UFO field.

I think the fact that Dr. Jacobs listed the contents of my hypnotic memories in his defamatory statement as implied evidence that I am mentally ill, shows that he does not even believe in his own research.

Dr. Jacobs deliberately implanted those hypnotic "memories" in me using hypnosis. He clearly understands that leading and suggestion creates false memories under hypnosis, and there is no way that he can have been unaware of what he was doing.

He told me that his other research subjects were having similar "memories", no doubt implanted in the same way.

For Dr. Jacobs to then try to say that the hypnotic "memories" that he himself implanted in me, and which were the same as his other research subjects' "memories", are evidence that I am mentally ill, is utter hypocrisy and extremely dishonest.

In my opinion it shows that Dr. Jacobs is a total fraud. He is promoting sham research that acts as a cover for his self-serving abuse of people in the vulnerable hypnotic state for his own gratification.

September 29, 2010 11:17 PM



Emma@ufoalienabductee.com said..

Dr. Jacobs told me that another female research subject sent him her underwear, and that they might contain "hybrid" sperm.

However, Dr. Jacobs said that he could not analyze her underpants for "hybrid" DNA because her husband was a "maniac" who would not let himself be tested to rule himself out as the source of the DNA.

Nevertheless, Dr. Jacobs said that he had kept her underpants, and that they were in a box in the room that he was in (where he was conducting my hypnosis session.)

If Dr. Jacobs knew that the woman's husband was a "maniac" before she

sent him the underpants, why did he get her to send them to him in the first place, if he was not going to be able to test them?

If Dr. Jacobs was not able to test her underpants for DNA, why did he not send them back to her? What was the point of keeping a pair of underpants that you cannot test?

If Dr. Jacobs really thought that the underpants had the sperm of either her husband or a "hybrid" on them, it would have been worth his while to have them analyzed regardless, as either way, there was a 50% chance it was "hybrid" DNA, which would be the biggest breakthrough in UFO research ever.

In my opinion, the fact that Dr. Jacobs just said that her husband was a "maniac" so he could not test them, and that he then he kept them in a box in the room where he conducts his hypnosis sessions, and tells his other research subjects about them, shows that they were just some kind of trophy for him, that he liked to show off.

September 29, 2010 11:27 PM

e purrigrurrl said..

Two people of questionable psychological stability locked in a fatal embrace . . . everyone should walk away from this dance to the death while holding their noses.

September 30, 2010 12:38 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Potential Model Law for Redress in Pennsylvania

A recent Connecticut bill addressing (hands-on) sexual assault by hypnotists, equates hypnosis with psychotherapy. That bill revised the definition of psychotherapist to include the term "hypnotist," to enable prosecutors to charge hypnotists.

1 Section 1. Subdivision (9) of section 53a-65 of the general statutes is
2 repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (Effective
3 October 1, 2006):

4 (9) "Psychotherapist" means a physician, psychologist, nurse,
5 substance abuse counselor, social worker, clergyman, marital and
6 family therapist, mental health service provider, hypnotist or other
7 person, whether or not licensed or certified by the state, who performs
8 or purports to perform psychotherapy.

[Not line 7, whether or not licensed or certified.]

The underline indicates the locus of revision.

"Explanation

The bill could facilitate prosecution or increase the criminal penalties imposed on any hypnotist who engages in consensual sexual intercourse or contact with clients, provided certain statutory conditions are met. To the extent that offenders are subject to incarceration or probation supervision in the community as a result of the bill, a potential cost to criminal justice agencies exists. On average, it costs the state \$2,150 to supervise an offender on probation in the community as compared to \$35,040 to incarcerate the offender (note that both figures include fringe benefits). It is anticipated that any revenues generated from criminal fines would be minimal on an annual basis."

(House Bill No. 5215)

The crux of the matter would be whether "consensual sexual intercourse or contact with clients" includes fabricated third-party aliens who represent portions of the practitioner's subconscious mind and involving contact in the psyche of the client rather than in physical relationship.

URL: <ftp://ftp.cga.ct.gov/2006/FC/pdf/2006HB-05215-R000171-FC.pdf>

September 30, 2010 6:45 AM

e Gary Haden said..

In the PDF, the word hypnotist is underlined indicating revision.

September 30, 2010 6:46 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Addendum for Data Junkies

The question was: Was Dr. Jacobs asking or telling? Was he asking or telling while Emma was under hypnosis and if he was asking her under hypnosis what was the content of the question? Was the question open-ended or leading? Word search conducted using Microsoft Word 2007 and after title analyses were deleted.

Part 1

Dr. Jacobs told me: 167 times

Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized: 57 times

Dr. Jacobs asked me: 23 times

Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized 5 times:

1. "(119) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized if a 'hybrid' was sexually aggressive"
2. "(147) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized if a 'hybrid' had talked about him"
3. "(178) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized to send material to someone else's email"
4. "(190) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized if a 'hybrid' had sex to 'punish' me"
5. "(222) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized to take down my video camera" [Notice how this conveniently excises evidence of sleepwalking.]

September 30, 2010 7:06 AM

Gary Haden said..

Part 2

In the Body of the Complaint

Dr. Jacobs told me: 100 times

Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized: 35 times

Dr. Jacobs asked me 5 times:

2. "(226) Dr. Jacobs asked me to show 'hybrids' that I had taken down my camera" (occurs twice in the text)
4. "(261) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized if I went to a 'hybrid' house" (occurs twice in the text)
5. "(305.3.3) Dr. Jacobs asked me to sign a Temple University Research Consent Form"

Part 3

In the Body of the Complaint

Dr. Jacobs told me: 68 times

Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized: 22 times

Dr. Jacobs asked me 9 times:

1. "(330) Dr. Jacobs asked me to communicate with Elizabeth using his email accounts" 2. "(331.1) During my nineteenth hypnosis session, in the discussion before the hypnotic regressions, Dr. Jacobs asked me about my current experiences."
3. "(332.4) During the conversation, Dr. Jacobs asked me whether there were any single men living in my apartment building."

September 30, 2010 7:06 AM

Gary Haden said..

Continuation of Part 3

4. "(347.4) During the discussion before the hypnotic regression, Dr. Jacobs asked me whether there were any single men living in my apartment building, as detailed in (332) above."
5. "(362.4) Similar to Dr. Jacobs' request that I send my confidential and highly sensitive research material to him via another person's mail and email addresses, as detailed in (178), (179), (180), and (181), above, his request that I take down the video camera that I was using to film myself while I was asleep, as detailed in (222), (223) and (226) above, his request that I reset the screen saver on my computer, as detailed in (230), (245) and (246) above, and his request that I ask a friend to take photographs of the "hybrid" house that I remembered under hypnosis, as detailed in (243) and (247) above, this incident was a foreshadow of a later extended series of increasingly outlandish and psychologically abusive "tactics" that Dr. Jacobs asked me to participate in to "trick" the "hybrids", as detailed further on in this submission."
6. "(364) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized why I was concerned about anonymity"
7. "(365) Dr. Jacobs asked me to transfer my record to email"
8. "(365.4) Similar to Dr. Jacobs' request that I send my confidential and highly sensitive research material to him via another person's mail and email addresses, as detailed in (178), (179), (180), and (181), above, his request that I take down the video camera that I was using to film myself while I was asleep, as detailed in (222), (223) and (226) above, his request that I reset the screen saver on my computer, as detailed in (230), (245) and (246) above, his request that I ask a friend to take photographs of the "hybrid" house that I remembered under hypnosis, as detailed in (243) and (247)

above, and his request that I ask a friend to go to the "hybrid" house that I remembered under hypnosis, as detailed in (262) above, this incident was a foreshadow of a later extended series of increasingly outlandish and psychologically abusive "tactics" that Dr. Jacobs asked me to participate in to "trick" the "hybrids", as detailed further on in this submission."

9. "(330) Dr. Jacobs asked me to communicate with Elizabeth using his email accounts"

Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized 1 time:

(364) Dr. Jacobs asked me while hypnotized why I was concerned about anonymity

September 30, 2010 7:08 AM

e Gary Haden said...

Part 4

Dr. Jacobs told me 116 times

Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized 33 times

Dr. Jacobs asked me 3 times:

1. "(370.4) During the conversation, Dr. Jacobs asked me whether there were any single men living in my apartment building."

2. "(377.20) Several months later, Dr. Jacobs asked me to use the email account that Elizabeth had set up for me on his website for all my communication with him, instead of my own email account, so that "hybrids" would not know that he was in communication with me, as detailed further on in this submission."

3. "(387.1) Previously, during my nineteenth hypnosis session, in the discussion before the hypnotic regressions, I had told Dr. Jacobs about a dream that I had, in which two woman told me not to take any notice of the new people who were moving into my apartment building, as detailed in (332), (347) and (370) above. During the conversation, Dr. Jacobs asked me whether there were any single men living in my apartment building."

September 30, 2010 7:08 AM

e Gary Haden said...

Asking and Telling

The definition of asking versus telling is admittedly subjective. In a client-centered situation, the deference goes to the client. This is the client's synthesis but if the client's synthesis is not germane she has no autonomy. (See the Belmont Report, Part B(1) Respect for Persons, the Office of Human Subjects Research, URL: <http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html>)

If the client's data is unimportant, why is she needed? In listening to Emma's material, her definition of asking versus telling seems apt. Given the ratio of telling to asking revealed above, is it likely David Jacobs had any interest in his client's narrative at all?

Remember that Emma Woods's Complaint consists largely of transcriptions of audio accounts. If she averaged 4 hours for every session, and there were 37 sessions, and she archived it all, there would at least 6 days worth material.

From Emma Woods's Details of the Violations of My Protections as a Human Research Subject of Temple University, submitted to the Division of Compliance Oversight, Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP)

September 30, 2010 7:09 AM

e Gary Haden said...

Counter-Transference and Hybrid ID

Bill Birmes was correct in his involvement of transference in his analysis of the case. See "Potential Model Law for Redress in Pennsylvania," defining a hypnotist as a psychotherapist and precluding the "I'm just an amateur" escape hatch deployed by people like Jacobs.

The structure of the hypnotic process, regardless of the expertise of the participants, is inescapably psychoanalytic, allowing for Birmes's deployment of a psychoanalytic framework to analyze the case.

"Projective identification has an unparalleled value in psychotherapeutic work," says Lavinia Gomez in her book *An Introduction to Object Relations*, "since the client or patient may transmit directly to the therapist the actual experience that he cannot manage. It thus forms a major part of the counter-transference, which later Kleinians extended to include all reactions and responses of the therapist in relation to the patient."

You cannot locate where Jacobs gets his material to create the hybrids unless you study the transference-counter-transference artifacts in his hypnosis sessions. The transference unmask who the hybrids really are.

As Emma's resistance evolves, it is no accident that panic begins to show in Jacobs's narrative. The hybrid threat to Jacobs's life is in actuality the threat Emma poses to his narrative. Jacobs's contention that the hybrids may force him to suicide himself is his unconscious realization that, in fact, he is hanging himself in the case. The use of the MPD diagnosis to defray the threat is in actuality both a panicked attempt to salvage the coherence of his work and a psychological booby trap he can spring if Emma goes public.

David Jacobs uses method acting to create the characters of the hybrids, borrowing from his reactions to his client and his clients' reactions to him to provide depth, form, and content to his performance.

His hybrids, disproportionately violent, sexually and physically, in comparison to other abduction narratives, are constructed from his clients' virulent adverse reactions to his interventions and are the lovechildren of what Michael Balint might call a dysharmonious, interpenetrating mix-up.

September 30, 2010 7:13 AM

 **Gary Haden said..**

Manufactured Evidence

It doesn't matter what your presentation to Jacobs is, if the Emma Woods Case is any indication, he will make it into the narrative of his choice.

Whether your actual experience reflects his narrative or not, whether you want to or not, in the hypnosis you will be physically and sexually assaulted, you will be forced into bizarre sexual scenarios, and if you don't, if you don't comply with the narrative, David Jacobs will take steps in the hypnosis to see to it that the contraindications in your experience are nullified by the stigmatization of your sanity.

The de facto argument of choice in a debate in the paranormal community, to the point of brain-numbing cliché, for or against the ETH, for example, is the insanity offense, the reverse of the insanity defense. The defendant says Your honor, I'm not responsible because I was insane at the time. The defendant is on defense. A Jacobsian Prosecutor would charge: Your honor, they're not credible because they're crazy. The Prosecutor is on offense.

My opponent is crazy.

Knowing his market, Jacobs creates material he can use as refutation of criticism of his work based on the insanity offense.

Jacobs manufactures both the evidence for his theories and the evidence against his ex-client detractors, in the hypnosis.

September 30, 2010 7:52 AM



Emma@ufoalienabductee.com said..

"Knowing his market, Jacobs creates material he can use as refutation of criticism of his work based on the insanity offense.

Jacobs manufactures both the evidence for his theories and the evidence against his ex-client detractors, in the hypnosis."

I think that it is similar to a rapist raping someone, and causing them emotional and psychological distress, and then when the rape survivor speaks out about it, the rapist pointing to the distress that the rape survivor suffered as a result of his raping them, and trying to portray it as evidence that they are "crazy" and that no one should listen to what they say about what he did to them.

(In a way, I think that "purrgurni" (see comment above) has fallen into exactly this trap that Dr. Jacobs has attempted to set.)

September 30, 2010 2:06 PM



Emma@ufoalienabductee.com said..

I am sure that Bill Birnes is right about there having been transference and counter-transference between Dr. Jacobs and myself.

My hypnosis sessions totaled about 180 - 190 hours over a two year period. Dr. Jacobs told me that I am one of three people with whom he has conducted the most hypnosis, and one of two people with whom he has done the most work (the other person is Elizabeth.) In between the hypnosis sessions, he kept in constant email contact with me. In hindsight, I think that this was to maintain his hold on me in between the hypnosis sessions.

Although Dr. Jacobs puts out disclaimers saying that he is not a therapist (and he has no training as a therapist), he told me that he is like a therapist to people.

In Dr. Jacobs' defamatory statement he says:

"Since 1986 I have helped some 150 people who have reported suspected UFO abduction experiences recover their memories of these events. Most of these people have been grateful that they had the opportunity to gain intellectual and emotional control over situations that have intruded upon their lives ... My work with UFO abductees is focused on helping them recover and understand their memories."

In my opinion, the fact that Dr. Jacobs says that his work is focused on helping people to "understand" their "memories", and that he helps them to "gain intellectual and emotional control over situations that have intruded upon their lives", is a further acknowledgment by him that he tries to play the role of "therapist" with his research subjects, in spite of admitting that he has no training whatsoever to do so.

September 30, 2010 2:33 PM



Regan Lee said...

An excellent, painstaking post. It puts into specifics -- actual examples from sessions -- of Jacobs tactics, his "twilight language," and so on and all those red flags -- that I and others, like Vaeni, among others, who have been saying this isn't *right*. Jacobs, at best, was carried away by his perceived role as UFO Researcher With a Degree (albeit, history) and naive; combined with an ego and an arrogance that allowed him to run wild in an arena no one outside of this arena takes seriously. An academic at a university researching abduction tales? The infrastructure has marginalized and trivialized such things for so long that caring two beans about what a professor in their midst is doing is not a priority -- no one's much caring. Until now...

And at worst, Jacobs is projecting his own pathology.

I respectfully disagree with purrgurl who says we should "walk away holding our noses" from *both*. I'm still astounded by the general silence on this by the UFO community/culture. This is very serious, for a lot of reasons, which to me, seems obvious. Thank you Gary for this.

September 30, 2010 7:55 PM



Gary Haden said...

Public Domain Declaration of Emma's Material

As to whether Emma Woods has the right to publish her account of the ordeal she endured under David Jacobs's supervision, the following provision in the Temple University Research Consent Form, signed by David Jacobs, establishes her material as being in the public domain.

"3. I understand that my remarks will be "on the record," i.e. they enter the public domain."

From Appendix 4 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), entitled Details of the Violations of My Protections as a Human Research Subject of Temple University

October 1, 2010 6:24 AM



Gary Haden said...

Informed Consent

Emma Woods and David Jacobs signed a "Research Agreement Form" entitling him to publish her material and proscribing her from playing her hypnotic sessions in public. Her hypnotic sessions constitute "remarks", established in the Temple University Research Consent Form as in the "Public Domain." David Jacobs is a signatory to that document. That paragraph 3 conflicts with and therefore negates the ability of Jacobs to then copyright the material in the first paragraph below.

The text of the Research Agreement is as follows:

"RELEASE: TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

I, [my name], grant David M Jacobs permission to publish any material in his files and records pertaining to the UFO experiences of myself and my children. This permission includes hypnotically recollected information as well as information gathered through audio and video taping, and any other kind of information gathering means, written, spoken, or artistic, and extends to any book he may publish and to all other media. I agree not to play taped copies of the hypnotic sessions in public or for non-family members without the permission of David M Jacobs. In exchange, David M Jacobs agrees not to make public or identify in any way the names and/or addresses of the witness or his/her family or employer unless I specifically request that he do

so.

I understand that David M Jacobs is neither a professional hypnotist nor a psychologist, nor a therapist. David M Jacobs has informed me that serious psychological problems could arise as a result of memory collection. I assume full responsibility for all the memories that I recover. I understand that all memories might not be reflective of reality. I assume full responsibility for and I release and absolve David M Jacobs from all liability for any physical or psychological problems that I might have during and after the memory recovery process.

Signed: [my signature] [Dr. Jacobs's signature]"

Given the occasions of physical and sexual assault outlined above, iatrogenically produced, that is, "created by the doctor", the statement in the second paragraph "that serious psychological problems could arise" is woefully inadequate information concerning the project. Emma Woods, in her statement to the Division, contends:

"In the Research Agreement is a statement that Dr. Jacobs had told me that serious psychological problems could arise as a result of memory collection [hypnosis]. However, he had not informed me of this before I signed the form."

Additionally, the "memories" David Jacobs assigns responsibility for are inadequately defined. As demonstrated above, they're not just memories, but "memories" of physical and sexual trauma and abduction.

In the above, David Jacobs is demonstrably responsible for Emma Woods's "memories."

There is no information as to what steps would be taken should the "serious psychological problems" arise.

There is no informed consent as the information provided is insufficiently descriptive as to justify the phrase "informed." The Agreement is invalid.

From Appendix 5 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), entitled Details of the Violations of My Protections as a Human Research Subject of Temple University

October 1, 2010 6:24 AM

e Gary Haden said..

On September 30th, I added the audio file containing Jacobs's comments about standards and declaring himself a rank amateur.

On October 1st, I corrected the quote attributed to Alfred Molina's character in the James Mangold film Identity.

October 1, 2010 6:43 AM

e Gary Haden said..

The Ontological Necessity of Method Hypnosis: Blending Reality into the Trance Product

To justify the use of hypnotic regression in researching Alien Abduction, aliens and/or alien-human hybrids must necessarily exist. Otherwise, the researcher is asking the subject to risk psychological damage by simulating physical and sexual assault and torture. The assault has to be real or it constitutes abuse by the practitioner. The researcher has to insist, a priori, going into involvement with the subject, that extraterrestrials exist or he risks exposing himself as someone who psychologically tortures people for no reason.

The hypnotist MUST produce data reflecting the existence of extraterrestrials.

As Emma Woods details in her complaint, David Jacobs repeating injects data he retrieved from other clients, who presumably have been subjected to the same kind of indoctrination experienced by Emma Woods, into Emma Woods's hypnosis, while she is under trance. This amounts to manufacture of corroborating evidence for the existence of extraterrestrials. It seduces Emma into believing she has company and subjects her to peer pressure. This is something cult leaders do.

Emma Woods stated in her complaint, regarding Elizabeth, another client and Jacobs's webmaster: "(67) Dr. Jacobs said Elizabeth was the main person he discussed his research with, (68) Dr. Jacobs discussed my case extensively with Elizabeth," and that "(69) Elizabeth offered to communicate with me." That contact was made.

The involvement of Elizabeth, and others, served to provide evidence of ET activity external to the hypnosis thereby justifying the hypnosis. The name "Elizabeth" occurs 457 times in the complaint, 251 times in the final part

alone, attesting to the ferocity with which Jacobs pursued the establishment of the context of the hypnosis in reality, the intensity escalating in tandem with Emma's escalating awareness of her victimization.

In order for the events of the hypnosis to seem real, they **MUST** be connected to reality. They **MUST** appear in mundane everyday life for Jacobs to claim any reality for his narrative.

Elizabeth and Emma **MUST** interact in order for the dramatization of "the threat" to Jacobs to be taken as the real thing. They're played against each other to verify the reality of their hypnotic content, one to the other.

Source

Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Parts 1-4

October 2, 2010 6:13 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Corroboration

In the twentieth hypnosis session, at successive time stamps in the audio archive, Jacobs assembles corroboration for his narrative.

Emma states that "(407) Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized Elizabeth had the same experiences as me," "(408) Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized that "hybrids" gave Elizabeth awful images," and in response to these suggestions she says that "(412) While hypnotized I remembered "hybrids" threatening Dr. Jacobs and Elizabeth."

Jacobs begins to involve everyday reality in the narrative:

"(419) Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized that Elizabeth told a 'hybrid' about email," Emma states in the Complaint. She says that "(433) I thought that Elizabeth's account of her 'experiences' was genuine, (434) Dr. Jacobs conducted hypnosis with Elizabeth on instant messenger, [and] (435) Elizabeth presented herself convincingly to me as an 'abductee'."

This is an attempt to give the hybrid narrative reality orientation by involving another abductee in the hypnosis and encouraging communication between Emma and Elizabeth. Subsequently, Jacobs centers his justification for applying the MPD hypnotic suggestion in Emma's hypnosis on threats to his person received in "instant messages from hybrid aliens."

"(448) Dr. Jacobs told me Elizabeth had the same treatment from "hybrids" as I did," Emma states in the complaint. Perhaps attempting to augment the resistance he was receiving from his clients into the narrative, "(449) Dr. Jacobs told [Emma] Elizabeth and I were cyclically in and out of 'compliance'".

By compliance he meant compliance with the hybrids. In the post above, it is suggested that Jacobs equals the Hybrids. The compliance he's talking about is the compliance of Elizabeth and Emma with his narrative.

All citations above have audio archives and transcriptions assembled by Emma Woods.

Source

Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 4

October 2, 2010 6:32 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Obsessed with Ethics: Researcher or Perpetrator?

It is seen and heard above that David Jacobs requests Emma Woods's panties for "laboratory analysis." Emma Woods makes the following statement in her OHRP complaint:

"(28) Dr. Jacobs tried to put a camera in a woman's bedroom without her knowing

"(28.1) Later, Dr. Jacobs told me about an occasion when he had tried to put a video camera in a female research subject's bedroom without her knowledge, as detailed further on in this submission.

"(28.2) Dr. Jacobs told me that he had received funding from a wealthy person to conduct covert surveillance on an "abductee" as part of his research. The funder had asked Dr. Jacobs to keep his identity confidential. Dr. Jacobs had then asked the female research subject to sign a release saying that he could conduct covert surveillance of her, which she had done. He had then tried to enlist the help of a neighbor to install a video camera in the woman's bedroom without her knowledge. Another woman who got to hear about the plan was horrified. As Dr. Jacobs had disclosed the name of the funder to them, the woman therefore knew who he was. She had gone to

the funder and had told him what Dr. Jacobs was trying to do. The funder had been angry with Dr. Jacobs for disclosing his name, and he had withdrawn the funding and stopped the project.

“(28.3) When Dr. Jacobs told me about this, he did not seem to think that there was anything wrong with what he had been trying to do. He was disparaging of the woman who had gone to the funder, and said that she was just ‘obsessed with ethics’.

“(28.4) In my opinion, this event is one of many that illustrates that Dr. Jacobs does not adhere to accepted community standards of ethics in regard to his research subjects.”

Section 28 of Emma Woods’s Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 1

October 2, 2010 6:33 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Obsessed with Ethics: Researcher or Perpetrator?

The following was included in Emma Woods’s Complaint. It includes a transcription of her audio archive:

“(424) Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized to send my underpants to someone else

“(424.1) While I was hypnotized during my twentieth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me to send him my unwashed underpants to analyze for “hybrid” sperm, as detailed in (423) above.

“(424.2) However, further on in the hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me not to send my underpants to him, but to send them to someone else instead. He said that he would give me their address to send them to.

“(424.3) I was embarrassed about the prospect of sending my unwashed underpants to someone whom I did not know. However, I agreed to do it. In hindsight, I think that this was partly because I was hypnotized at the time.

“(424.4) Transcribed from point 04:25:40 in the hypnosis session:

Dr. Jacobs: In fact, I will send you an address to send - you - don't send it to me.

Myself: Right.

Dr. Jacobs: I'll send you an address to send it to, in a few minutes.

Myself: Okay.

Dr. Jacobs: And, it will not be to me.

Myself: Right. Okay. Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: So. It'll be to somebody else.

Myself: Right.

Dr. Jacobs: Is that okay?

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay.

(424.5) After the hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs sent me a mailing address to send my underpants to, which he had told me was someone else's address, as detailed further on in this submission. Subsequently, I did not send my underpants to that address, as detailed further on in this submission.

(424.6) Much later, Dr. Jacobs told me that the address was, in fact, a “mail drop” address that belonged to him. However, he said that he had wanted me to believe that it was someone else's address, ostensibly so that “hybrids” would also believe that, as detailed further on in this submission.

(424.7) In my opinion, Dr. Jacobs' conduct in this regard displayed a lack of awareness of, or concern for, the negative effects of his deception on me as his research subject, in view of the fact that it involved a personal matter of an embarrassing nature, and he did it while I was hypnotized.”

Source

Section 424 of Emma Woods’s Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 4

October 2, 2010 6:51 AM

e Gary Haden said..

LaboratoryAnalysis and the Maniac Husband

Emma Woods included the following statement in her Complaint. The section does not reference an audio archive.

“(425) Dr. Jacobs told me while hypnotized another woman sent him her underpants

“(425.1) Later, Dr. Jacobs told me that another woman had sent him her underpants, and that they might contain “hybrid” sperm, as detailed further on in this submission. He said that she was married, and that therefore he would need to obtain a sample from her husband as well, in order to rule him out as the source of the sperm. However, Dr. Jacobs told me that her husband was a “maniac”, and consequently he would not be able to obtain a sample from him. He said that because of this, he had not arranged a DNA analysis. Nevertheless, he said that he had still kept her underpants, as detailed further on in this submission.”

Section 425 of Emma Woods’s Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 4

October 2, 2010 6:56 AM

e Gary Haden said..

A Pleased Laboratory Analyst

In Emma Woods’s complaint, she states the following:

“(423.2) In a previous email, on February 17, 2006, Dr. Jacobs had told me:

“(423.2.1) Dr. Jacobs: ... if there is any residue from sexual activity [with “hybrids”], I would be extremely pleased to receive it in the mail. Getting the DNAs is not exactly like finding the Holy Grail, but it will add tremendous credibility to everything if we can show anomalies within the DNA.”

David Jacobs’s statement is on audio archive.

Sections 423.2, 423.2.1 of Emma Woods’s Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 4

October 2, 2010 7:27 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Hypnotic Cover

In her complaint to OHRP, presumably reviewed by the Complaint Division, Emma Woods submitted the following transcriptions of her audio archive of her work with David Jacobs:

“(441) Dr. Jacobs told me to send my underpants to someone else’s address

“(441.1) Earlier, while I had been hypnotized during my twentieth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs had told me to send him two of my unwashed underpants, and not to remember doing it afterwards, so that he could have them analyzed for “hybrid” sperm, as detailed in [423.7 below.]

“(423.7) Further on in the [20th] hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me [Emma is under hypnosis] to send him two of my unwashed underpants as soon as I possibly could, and not to remember doing it afterwards.

Transcribed from point 04:24:51 in the hypnosis session:

Myself: I think there was another episode. And then he left. ...

Dr. Jacobs: You mean another sexual episode?

Myself: Yeah. I think so.

Dr. Jacobs: Well, if you happen to have that pair of knickers ... put the two of them, because it’s two different guys ... in a bag, and send them out with whatever else you want to send out. I would prefer just to send ‘em out. Just stick ‘em in an envelope. Send ‘em out as soon as you possibly can. First thing in the morning.

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Alone. Without anything. And just ... send them to me. And ... don’t give it another thought.

Myself: Okay. Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: And, you will never even remember doing it afterwards. It will

never even occur to you to remember doing it afterwards. It will ... never go back into your mind. You just do it.”

[Rest of 441.1 omitted.]

“(441.2) At the end of the twentieth hypnosis session, after Dr. Jacobs had brought me out of the hypnotic state, he told me that I would not think about anything relating to clothes that night. I understood that he was referring to my underpants, and that he meant that this would prevent “hybrids” from knowing that he had asked me to send them to be analyzed.

[441.3, 441.4 omitted.]

October 2, 2010 7:38 AM

 **Gary Haden said..**

Hypnotic Cover--Cont'd

“(441.5) Transcribed from point 00:00:39 in Hypnosis Session 20 – Excerpts 1:

Dr. Jacobs: You're not thinking about anything relating to clothes at all.

Myself: No.

Dr. Jacobs: Not tonight. There will be no thought about clothes tonight.

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: You haven't done anything. You're not going to do anything. Everything is fine.

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Tomorrow, however, get up, and just ... grab those knickers, put 'em in a plastic bag, put 'em in an envelope, send 'em off to me, and that's that.

Myself: Okay.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh. Send 'em out not to me, but to an address that I will send to you in a few minutes.

Myself: Okay.

Transcribed from point 00:01:34 in Hypnosis Session 20 – Excerpts 1:

Dr. Jacobs: I'll send you the address. And, I'm going to do that as soon as we hang up.

Myself: Right.

Dr. Jacobs: I'm not going to wait on this.

Transcribed from point 00:06:36 in Hypnosis Session 20 – Excerpts 1:

Dr. Jacobs: But ... I'm going to send you that address instantly.”

“(441.6) After the hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs sent me a mailing address to send my underpants to, which he had told me was someone else's address, as detailed further on in this submission. Subsequently, I did not send my underpants to that address, as detailed further on in this submission.

“(441.7) Much later, Dr. Jacobs told me that the address was, in fact, a “mail drop” address that belonged to him. However, he said that he had wanted me to believe that it was someone else's address, ostensibly so that ‘hybrids’ would also believe that, as detailed further on in this submission.

“(441.8) In my opinion, Dr. Jacobs' conduct in this regard displayed a lack of awareness of, or concern for, the negative effects of his deception on me as his research subject, in view of the fact that it involved a personal matter of an embarrassing nature, and that he did it initially while I was hypnotized.”

Sources

Sections 423.7, 441.1 through 441.8 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 4

October 2, 2010 7:40 AM

 **Gary Haden said..**

Some background on problems in the Emma Woods' Case from the Healthcare Training Institute, a continuing education website for Psychologists, Social Workers, Counselors, & MFTs.

On Problems with Countertransference
URL: <http://www.homestudycrredit.com/courses/contentUR/trkUR01lo.html>

On Problems with Hypnosis
URL: <http://www.homestudycrredit.com/courses/contentUR/trkUR02lo.html>

October 2, 2010 8:01 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Informed Consent and Exculpatory Language

Section 46.116 of the Code of Federal Regulations says in part in the first paragraph that "No informed consent, whether oral or written, may include any exculpatory language through which the subject or the representative is made to waive or appear to waive any of the subject's legal rights, or releases or appears to release the investigator, the sponsor, the institution or its agents from liability for negligence."

Code of Federal Regulations § 46.116, from Title 45: PUBLIC WELFARE, Department of Health and Human Services, PART 46, PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

The Research Agreement signed by David Jacobs and Emma Woods, in the second paragraph, contains the following exculpatory language:

"I understand that David M. Jacobs is neither a professional hypnotist nor a psychologist, nor a therapist. David M. Jacobs has informed me that serious psychological problems could arise as a result of memory collection. I assume full responsibility for all the memories that I recover. I understand that all memories might not be reflective of reality. I assume full responsibility for and I release and absolve David M. Jacobs from all liability for any physical or psychological problems that I might have during and after the memory recovery process."

The paragraph does not contain complete information on the nature of hypnotically retrieved memories with regard to the possibility they may be confabulated and may be significantly contaminated by the hypnotist's counter-transferential material. More succinctly, there is no information on the counter-transferential possibilities inherent in memory retrieval. The responsibility for their retrieval cannot then be ascribed solely to the client alone.

Retrieved memories are the undoubted cause of any psychological problems which may arise. Since the provider may contribute to the manufacture of the memories, he cannot exempt himself from liability for their consequences.

Therefore, the language is exculpatory.

Below is the URL of a PDF of the Research Agreement bearing Jacobs's signative.

<http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/documents/david-jacobs-research-agreement.pdf>

Below is the URL of a PDF of the Temple University Research Consent Form bearing Jacobs's signature, establishing Emma Woods as a Research Subject, qualifying her to seek redress under Human Subjects Research Law and establishing Jacobs's mockery, in his defamation post on his website, of her complaint to HHS, the governmental agency responsible for oversight, as the boast of a cruel idiot.

<http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/documents/temple-university-david-jacobs-research-consent-form.pdf>

October 4, 2010 7:04 AM

e Gary Haden said..

The word "signitive" above should read "signature."

October 4, 2010 7:09 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Enough About Me Let's Talk About Me: Hybrid Identification

In the "enough about me let's talk about me" episodes below, Jacobs first establishes himself as the center of concern (in 147) and then goes on a diatribe about the egocentricity of the hybrids (in 145). This is occurring while Emma Woods is in trance.

The transcriptions are enumerated out of order in the Complaint. Section 147 has an earlier timestamp than 145.

Hypnosis was the basis of Freud's formulation of the transference concept. He abandoned it because he felt there was clear contamination going on. Trance, in other words, was the vehicle of transference.

You can clearly see, structurally, when you replace "them" with "me" and "they" with "I", in 145.1, how a counter-transference is occurring. As well, when he asks Emma if the hybrids are talking about him, (in 147), it occurs earlier in the same session but it's a sign, when he tells Emma it's all about them, of both his identification with them and his confusion over who should be the focus of the session.

It is also possible for hypnotists to enter into the trance state themselves.

See The Interactional Basis of Hypnotic Experience by Dr. Michael J. Diamond

URL:
http://www.dr-michaelj-diamond.com/docs/MJD_1987_InteractionalBasisHypnoticExperience.pdf

As there is apparently counter-transferring going on, the trance is now dyadic and not monadic. An interpenetrating mix-up is going on and contaminating material is being exchanged. David Jacobs is both identified with the hybrids and is confused as to who should be the source of the trance-product.

David Jacobs, the theory goes, is in trance. Notice as the hypnosis progresses Emma produces material involving Jacobs.

October 4, 2010 8:03 AM

Alfred Lehmborg said...

I suspect, Emma, that persons so easy to effortlessly smirk at your situation would sing a different tune if hazarded by the same sociopathy as you have been proved to suffer.

Verily, I've had about enough, myself, of people who shout "enough already" or "message received, you can stop now," or my personal favorite, "You asked for it," when a demonstrated innocent is raped, intellectually or otherwise, and speaks up about it publicly. Let's put your shoes on those feet. Oh yeah! You'd see some "wailing and moaning" and real "gnashing of teeth then," you can bet!

Ironically, then, upon your fatuous expressions of "enough, already" or "message received, you can stop now," or, "walk away holding your nose," sans any redress for the conjectured persons abused sensibilities, at all, but the vocalization of that abused persons righteous complaint, I submit you'd have to defend yourself with "sword and shield" from this "alternatively shoed" offended person. Provoked myself, my foot pushes the accelerator to the floor, eh?

Additionally Let's talk about Dr. (immaterial) Jacob's subsequent "research subjects," eh? Do they get thrown under a psychotic's bus, too, when Doctor Jacobs gets his anxious panties in a damp wad?

October 7, 2010 6:07 AM

Emma@ufoalienabductee.com said...

Thank you Alfred. I appreciate it.

I am sure that anyone who has been harmed by unscrupulous people in the way that we have, and who has had to deal with that, knows how hard it is. As you say, if we do not stand up to the abuse, then they can just go on and harm the next person. Those that have not been in our position are the fortunate ones.

October 11, 2010 3:21 AM

Gary Haden said...

On October 14th, audio clips were added to transcription quotations.

The excerpt from audio clip 8 was shortened to the transcription point.

The sound file for the "alien" propensity to incorporate people into their plans was added.

The sound file containing the quote from Jacobs attesting to the lack of standards in abduction research was added.

October 14, 2010 7:05 AM

Gary Haden said...

In the following, replace "them" with "me" and "they" with "I":

“(145.1) While I was hypnotized during mytwelfth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs told me that “aliens” cared only about themselves.

Transcribed from point 2:13:02 in the hypnosis session:
Dr. Jacobs: There's always this inequitable situation. It's all about them. It's never about you. It's all about them. How you can help them. How you're not helping them. How you're going against their orders. How you're obeying their orders. How you're ... doing this they don't like, you're doing that they do like. ... but it's all from their perspective. ... they have ... no concern with disrupting your life, with ... making you ... have this life of ... unusual events ... God knows how it's affected relationships that you've had over your life, and ... none of that matters. Nothing matters other than them. ... [I]t's completely selfish. ... [T]here's no reciprocity whatsoever. ... [T]here's all stick and no carrot.”

Section 145 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 1 URL: <http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-12-aliens-completely-selfish.mp3>

October 14, 2010 7:07 AM

Gary Haden said..

Enough About Me Let's Talk About Me: Hybrid Identification II

“(147.1) While I was hypnotized during mytwelfth hypnosis session, Dr. Jacobs asked me whether a “hybrid” had talked to me about him.

Transcribed from point 1:00:56 in the hypnosis session:
Dr. Jacobs: Does he make any—any allusion to these phone conversations we've been having?

Myself: I think so, 'cause I think ... there was some stuff about you ...

Dr. Jacobs: You get the sense of sort of what he says about me or - ?

Myself: I think it was kind of like the things that I ask you, and stuff. ... He doesn't really like me asking you stuff, and –

Dr. Jacobs: Does he refer to me as just the guy who you're talking to, or does he refer to me by – ?

Myself: I kind of have a – kind of like a visual kind of thing.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay. So he doesn't refer to me as, “That guy, Jacobs”, or whatever?

Myself: I don't remember.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay. But whatever it is, he doesn't like it.

Myself: No. He doesn't like me listening to you. Hearing what you've got to say that kind of thing. ... what you're telling me. He doesn't like me asking you stuff, he ... doesn't like you telling me things.

Dr. Jacobs: I see.

Myself: It seems to be almost that more than the hypnosis sessions.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah. Well, does he ask how you—does he wonder about how you know what's going on?

Myself: I don't remember him really—I don't specifically remember much about that.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay.

Myself: He seems to know.

Transcribed from point 1:13:36 in the hypnosis session:
Myself: He's saying stuff about you as well.

Dr. Jacobs: What's he saying? ...

Myself: It was ... part of what he didn't want me to do ... just basically being in contact with you, I think. I think that's when he was ... saying that he was going to take me away and I was not going to come back.

Dr. Jacobs: If you kept talking to me, you mean?

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay.

Myself: And, he's saying that they won't let me talk to you and ... I mustn't talk

to you, and stuff like that. I'm going to lose – I'm going to lose all my family and everything.

Dr. Jacobs: You mean your mother, and your father, and – ?

Myself: Yeah, 'cause they're not - he's going to take me away.

Dr. Jacobs: Oh, 'cause he's going to take you away and you'll never see your parents again?

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Your family, your brother and sister and everything.

Myself: Yeah.

Dr. Jacobs: Yeah. This is typical. They start going right to ...this kind of threats ... I've been hearing a lot of this recently from other people.

Myself: Right.

Dr. Jacobs: And, what they want you to do is just obey ... They don't want you to have a life of your own, they want you to have a life for them.

Myself: Yeah. Are they ... telling other people that they won't see their family and stuff like that?

Dr. Jacobs: Worse. ... Much worse. This is very mild stuff.

Transcribed from point 1:20:28 in the hypnosis session:

Myself: And ... they know you. Like, he told me that they know you.

Dr. Jacobs: You mean they know who I am?

Myself: Yeah. Like, they know about you and that.

Transcribed from point 1:22:58 in the hypnosis session:

Myself: I just had this ... like he was ... saying stuff to me about you and about other people, but I don't know whether it's real or not.

Dr. Jacobs: Okay. Which other people do you remember?

Myself: I don't – maybe that they know about you from other people as well. But that, I mean ... pretty much could be me."

Section 147 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 1
URL: <http://www.ufoalienabductee.com/hypnosis-session-12-jacobs-ask-if-hybrids-talk-about-him.mp3>

October 14, 2010 7:10 AM

e Gary Haden said..

On October 14th, 2010, audio URLs were added to comments regarding sections 145.1 and 147.1 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Office for Human Research Protections.

October 14, 2010 7:16 AM

e Gary Haden said..

Mutual Hypnosis--Highway to Transferential Identification?

"Communication alters consciousness," writes George Gleason in *WHOLE EARTH REVIEW*, "and altered states affect communication. What happens when you connect these variables together in a positive-feedback loop? Imagine for a moment a uniquely state-active way of communicating, and a state of consciousness uniquely sensitive to communication."

"The term for this is mutual hypnosis. The experience ranges from something like a vivid fantasy all the way to an empathic/psychedelic trip complete with vivid multisensory imagery."

"The first paper on mutual hypnosis," Gleason continues, "was published by Charles Tart in his classic anthology *Altered States of Consciousness* (1969, 1990; Harper San Francisco, SF). Tart was studying ways of increasing responsiveness to hypnotic suggestions; he reasoned that having two people simultaneously fulfill the roles of hypnotist and subject would lead to improved hypnotic communication, and therefore heightened response. Two psychology grad students were trained in basic hypnotic induction, including consecutive sessions in which each led the other into trance."

Startlingly, "[t]hrough neither participant had ever taken hallucinogens, characteristic psychedelic elements emerged. These included synaesthesia (merger of sensory elements, as for example feeling colors) and substantial

changes in self- and body-image (such as feeling disembodied, feeling transparent). Throughout the mutual hypnosis sessions, the sensory imagery was vivid and solid, as in lucid dreams or deep psychedelic experiences. Much of the content could be seen as psychoanalytically significant: a descent down a tunnel; an ascent into the heavens; meetings with archetypal figures."

Mutual hypnosis - research on empathy, includes a list of reference materials
WHOLE EARTH REVIEW, Summer, 1992 by George Gleason
URL: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m1510/is_n75/ai_12244718/

November 12, 2010 6:34 AM

Gary Haden said..

Mutual Hypnosis Cases

"Following Tart's study," George Gleason reported in his Whole Earth Review piece, "some researchers looked at clinical applications. Freda Morris (1970) and Michael Diamond (1980) used mutual hypnosis to induce trance in people who had difficulty attaining the trance state through more conventional means. The subject would be instructed in basic trance induction, and have the chance to lead the therapist into trance. The entranced therapist would then hypnotize the subject. Morris reported success using this technique with clinical interns in training, and Diamond found it useful with clients in hypnotherapy."

[Diamond, Michael J.: "The Client as Hypnotist: Furthering Hypnotherapeutic Change," International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis, Volume 28 (1980), 197-207.]

[Diamond, Michael J.: "Therapeutic Indications in Applying an Innovative Hypnotherapeutic Technique: the Client as Hypnotist," American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis, Volume 25, no. 4 (April 1983), 242-247.]

"Morris also reported on a long-term personal exploration of mutual hypnotherapy with a colleague, Marion Pastor (1973). In one procedure, they alternated hypnotist and client roles between themselves in the two consecutive hours which formed each complete session. They also used simultaneous inductions, to induce altered states in both individuals together."

"Over the course of the project, Morris and Pastor became close personal friends. They reported dreamlike experiences, shared fantasies, and a range of intense emotions. Positive therapeutic outcomes included DEEP INTEGRATION OF REPRESSED PSYCHOLOGICAL MATERIAL."

[Morris, F., and Pastor, M.: "Mutual Hypnotherapy," Voices: The Art and Science of Psychotherapy, Volume 9, no. 1 (Spring 1970), 13-18.]

Mutual hypnosis - research on empathy, includes a list of reference materials.

Whole Earth Review, Summer, 1992 by George Gleason.

URL: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m1510/is_n75/ai_12244718/

November 12, 2010 6:35 AM

Gary Haden said..

Methodology of the Ethically Blind

"(462.2) Dr. Jacobs provides a Biography page on his website, at <http://www.ufoabduction.com/biography.htm>, in which he says the following:

'... Dr. Jacobs is a STRONG ADVOCATE OF STRICT SCIENTIFIC AND ETHICAL RESEARCH METHODOLOGY. With colleagues Budd Hopkins and John Carpenter, he has given a series of workshops for members of the mental health community in the methods of abduction hypnosis, research, and therapy. In recent years he has concentrated on ascertaining the proper methodological techniques for the hypnosis and therapy of abductees. Hopkins' and Jacobs' revised "Suggestions for Hypnosis and Therapy of Abductees" was originally published in 1994. At present Dr. Jacobs is currently working on a book about the methodology of hypnosis of abductees.'" (Capitalization emphasis supplied.)

Section 462.2 of Emma Woods's Complaint to the Division of Compliance Oversight Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP), Part 5 (Draft)

November 19, 2010 6:52 AM

Anonymous said..

he was talking to her for free and if she got bad advice that's her own fault, that's like someone trying to sue someone for giving them bad advice/ She's an idiot and needs to get laid

February 9, 2011 11:45 PM

Post a Comment

Note: Only a member of this blog may post a comment.

Comment as: Google Account 

Post Comment



[Newer Post](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

Simple template. Powered by [Blogger](#).